APPENDIX H - Table 3: Reply Zoning Requests Accept and Reject Table- Medium Density Residential Zone (MRZ) - IHP RECOMMENDATIONS

This Appendix Table is based on the Reply by the Christchurch City Council to matters arising during the hearing of submissions on proposed plan change 14, 17 May 2024 - Attachment 4: Zoning Requests Accept/Reject tables reflecting the Council Reporting Officers' final recommendations: *Table 3 PC14 Reply Zoning Requests Accept and Reject Table – Medium Density Residential Zone (MRZ) requests*

This table includes the Independent Hearing Panel (the Panel) recommendations on the zoning requests, and should be read in conjunction with Part 7 of the IHP Recommendations Report.

Council's Reply on PC14 – MRZ zone requests – s42A of Ike Kleynbos

The following provides an updated account of response to submissions relevant to the s42A of Ike Kleynbos for Plan Change 14. Changes to recommended responses to submissions have been formatted in the same manner as Right of Reply provisions, being:

Text in <u>bold dark orange underlined</u> indicates responses to submissions that are recommended to be altered from the recommendations to the s42A and text recommended to be deleted in <u>bold dark orange strikethrough</u>.

In an effort to efficiently address the scale submission requests, consistent themes or directly comparable requests have been grouped together and treated as one. Similarly, further submissions on submission requests have not been incorporated, however recommendations reached on primary submissions may be applied accordingly. Council have provided documentation of both original and further submissions and is available via the following link: https://makeasubmission.ccc.govt.nz/PublicSubmissionSearch.aspx.

Zone codes:

| RS RSDT | Residential suburban zone Residential suburban density transition zone | MRZ HRZ FUZ | Medium density residential zone High density residential zone Future urban zone | RuUF CBP CL | Rural urban fringe zone Commercial Banks Peninsula zone Commercial local zone |
|------------|--|-------------------|---|-------------------|--|
| RMD RCC | Residential medium density zone Residential central city zone | TCZ LCZ | Town centre zone Local centre zone | IG SPS | Industrial general zone Specific Purpose (School) zone |
| RBP RNN | Residential Banks Peninsula zone Residential new neighbourhood zone | NCZ | Neighbourhood centre zone | SPT SPH SPC | Specific Purpose (Tertiary) zone Specific Purpose (Hospital) zone Specific Purpose (Cemetery) zone |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--|------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|--|---|---------------------|---|---|----------------|---|---|
| 826 | Jo Appleyard for LMM Investments 2012 Limited | LMM Investments 2012 Limited | | 826.1 | Not Stated | LMM consider that the site is appropriate for rezoning to Medium Density Residential Zone (MDRZ) including an appropriate ODP and associated amendments to the policy and rule framework to give effect to the relief sought. [Site referred to is Whisper Creek Golf Resort land zoned Specific Purpose (Golf Resort) Zone] | Whisper Creek Golf Resort - 144 Turners Road - 165 Turners Road - 240 Spencerville Road | MRZ | Specific Purpose (Golf Resort) | Specific Purpose (Golf Resort) | Reject | This site is located outside of the urban environment, outside of a Policy 3 catchment, is not a relevant residential zone, and is therefore considered out of scope for the plan change. | Reject for reasons in Part 7 of Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommendation |
|-----|--|----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---|---|--|--------------------|---|----------------|--|--|
| 809 | Anita Collie for Scenic Hotel Group Limited | Scenic Hotel Group Limited | | 809.4 | Oppose | Rezone the site to provide for visitor accommodation and commercial activities, and any related and consequential changes to provisions of the District Plan (including the retention of any operative overlays). [Including] rezoning surrounding properties if this was considered necessary to assist the relief sought. | 88 Papanui Road 96 Papanui Road 19 Holly Road | An alternative zone that provides for visitor accommodati on and commercial activities | HRZ | 88 Papanui Road - RMD with ACF Overlay 96 Papanui Road - RMD with ACF Overlay 19 Holly Road - RSDT | Reject in-part | Council has acknowledged the error made not to carryover the Accommodati on and Community Services Overlay, submitting that this should be included within the HRZ framework and zoning. The site is a relevant residential zone and HRZ has been applied, being within a Policy 3 catchment. It is recommende d that the zoning request is rejected. Reference should be made to the Rebuttal evidence of Ike Kleynbos (page 14) dated 16 | Reject for reasons in Part 7 of Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------|--------------|-----------------|------|-------------------|--|----------------|--|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | October 2023. | |
| 36 | Alana Harper | | | 36.1 | Oppose | Cashmere Hills should all stay as Residential Hills Zone or change to Future Urban Zone. | | Residential Hills Zone or Future Urban Zone | MRZ | RH | Reject <u>in-part</u> | There are areas of Cashmere Hills where the current District Plan Residential Hills Zone is retained, where a qualifying matter applies such as Low Public | Reject for Reasons in Part 4 and 5, and in Part 7 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|-----------------------------|
| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Recommenda tion Transport Accessibility, which introduces the Suburban Hill Density Precinct. For other areas of Cashmere Hills, these must apply the MDRS provisions in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD subject to qualifying matters, such as the Loess Soils Management Area and impervious surface controls. | Recommenda |
| | | | | | | | | | | | These use the precincts in their spatial application, however retaining the Residential Hills zone may be an alternative means to respond to these matters. | |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 47 | Laura Cary | | | 47.3 | Oppose | Oppose the | | Unspecified. | MRZ | N/A | Reject | application, however retaining the Residential Hills zone may be an alternative means to respond to these matters. Council is | Reject for |
| | , | | | | | introduction of the Medium Density Residential Zone. | | | | | | required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones-and Policy 3. | Reasons in Part 4 and 5, of the Report |
| 794 | Greg Partridge | | | 794.1 | Seek Amend ment | South Richmond should be exempt from the Housing Intensification [Plan Change]. | | Unspecified. | MRZ | Mostly RMD, outer areas being RSDT or RS | Reject, apply Local Centre Intensification Precinct (LCIP) | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for Reasons in Part 4 and 5, and in Part 7 |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 106 | Karyn Butler | | | 106.1 | Seek | That the | The area between | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Council is | Reject for |
| | | | | | Amend | Council amends | Watford Street | | except | | | required to | Reasons in |
| | | | | | ment | the Housing and | and Papanui | | 399 | | | give effect to | Part 3, 4 and 5 |
| | | | | | | Business Choice | Road, Strowan, | | Papanui | | | s77G of the | of the Report. |
| | | | | | | Plan Change 14 | Christchurch. | | Road | | | Act and apply | |
| | | | | | | (PC14) from the | | | (MRZ) | | | MDRS across | |
| | | | | | | proposed High | | | | | | all relevant | |
| | | | | | | Density | | | | | | residential | |
| | | | | | | Residential | | | | | | zones and | |
| | | | | | | Zone (HRZ) in a | | | | | | <u>provide a</u> | |
| | | | | | | continuous strip | | | | | | response to | |
| | | | | | | parallel to | | | | | | Policy 3 <u>of the</u> | |
| | | | | | | Papanui Road | | | | | | NPS-UD. The | |
| | | | | | | through | | | | | | HRZ applies in | |
| | | | | | | Strowan | | | | | | areas | |
| | | | | | | (stretching from | | | | | | identified as | |
| | | | | | | Papanui Road to | | | | | | within | |
| | | | | | | Watford Street) | | | | | | walkable | |
| | | | | | | to a Medium | | | | | | catchments to | |
| | | | | | | Density | | | | | | centres. | |
| | | | | | | Residential | | | | | | Greater | |
| | | | | | | Zone (MRZ). In | | | | | | intensification | |
| | | | | | | particular, the | | | | | | near centres | |
| | | | | | | residential area | | | | | | best achieves | |
| | | | | | | of Watford | | | | | | polic y<u>ies</u> 1 | |
| | | | | | | Street, | | | | | | and 3 of the | |
| | | | | | 1 | Christchurch. | | | | | | NPS-UD. | |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| 255 | William Bennett | | | 255.2 | Seek Amend ment | That Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) be identified in the Christchurch District Pan as a Medium Density Residential zone and a Residential Character Overlay Area and be made subject to the rules that apply to Residential Character areas: or, If Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) are not included as a Residential Character Area, that the Area be zoned Medium Density Residential. | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street are within walkable catchments to Merivale centre_(larger LCZ) and therefore proposed to be HRZ in accordance with Policy 3(d) of the NPS-UD. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of the NPS-UD). | Accept in part for Reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| 698 | Ann-Mary & Andrew Benton | | | 698.2 | Seek Amend ment | That Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) be identified in the Christchurch District Pan as a Medium Density Residential zone and a Residential Character Overlay Area and be made subject to the rules that apply to Residential Character areas: or, If Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) are not included as a Residential Character Area, that the Area be zoned Medium Density Residential: and, That sunlight access be better protected by | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street are within walkable catchments to Merivale centre (larger LCZ) and therefore proposed to be HRZ in accordance with Policy 3(d) of the NPS-UD. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of the NPS-UD). | Accept in part for Reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | | further | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | amending the | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | medium/high | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | density | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | southern | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | boundary | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | recession plane | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | to 45° from 3m | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | at the | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | boundary: and, | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | That | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | neighbours along the | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | southern | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | boundaries of | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | any proposed | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | developments | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | that involve | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | non- | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | compliances | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | with height or | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | access to | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | sunlight | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | rules can be | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | notified of the | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | required | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | resource | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | consents and to make | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | maке submissions. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Any further | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | or other | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | decisions that | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | achieve the | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | outcomes | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | sought by this | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | submission, or | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | are required as | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | a consequence | | | | | | | |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|----------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | of the relief [sought]. | | | | | | | |
| 272 | Caitriona Cameron | | | 272.1 | Seek Amend ment | "Rattray St should be included in the Medium Density Residential zone (i.e. included in the area south and west of the street)." | Rattray Street | MRZ | HRZ | RSDT | Reject Accept – MRZ is recommended in response to the Airport Noise Influence Area (ANIA) QM. | The Residential Heritage Interface Area qualifying matter than applies to Rattray Street, protects the significant heritage values of a Residential Heritage Area by managing residential development on adjacent sites in line with Plan Change 13 controls. In addition, the | Reject for Reasons in Part 4 and 5, of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | ANIA has been proposed to extend over this area. | |
| 851 | Robert Leonard Broughton | | | 851.5 | Seek Amend ment | [A]II areas referred to in WSP's Putaringamotu Riccarton Bush Heritage Landscape Review [known as the Kauri Cluster] (recom mended for inclusion in the RBIA) should be limited to 2- storeys and remain Residential Sub urban density. That specifically the area remain as currently zoned: Residential Suburban. Specifically in | Kauri cluster bounded by Riccarton Bush (inc Kahu Rd and Titoki St) to the north, Kauri St to the west, the lane between the commercial and residential interface to the south, Straven Rd to the east. | RS | RS / MRZ / TCZ | RS | Reject in-part | The Riccarton Bush Interface Area qualifying matter protects the heritage landscape of Riccarton Bush by limiting development within the overlay to 8m in height (two storeys). The RS zone has been applied in some areas as a response to the ANIA. Areas beyond this a limited to medium density only | Reject for Reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5, and in Part 7 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | my case that the south side of Rata Street not be rezoned Medium Density | | | | | | (12m), rather than the 20m high density surrounds. | |
| 28 | Alastair Grigg | | | 28.5 | Seek Amend ment | [At the eastern end of Rugby Street, west of Papanui Road] change zone to Medium Density Residential Zone instead of High Density Residential Zone. | area at the eastern end of Rugby St, west of Papanui Rd | MRZ | HRZ | RMD | Reject | Rugby Street is within a walkable catchment of Papanui (large TCZ) and therefore proposed to be HRZ in accordance with Policy 3(d) of the NPS-UD. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres supports a well- functioning urban environment | Reject for Reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5, of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point | Support | Decision Sought | Address / Area | Requested | Notified | Operative | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda | Panel Recommenda |
|-----|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|---|----------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--|---|
| | | | OI | No. | Oppose | Only | | Zoning | Zoning | Zoning | | tion (Policy 1 of the NPS-UD). | tion |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 252 | Phil Ainsworth | | | 252.3 | Seek Amend ment | [Do not have Medium and High Density Residential Zones in Hornby] | | Unspecified. | MRZ | | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for Reasons in Part 3 and 4 of the Report. |
| 277 | Eriki Tamihana | | | 277.1 | Seek Amend ment | [Extend MRZ/ MDRS across] the hill suburbs, Belfast, Hoon Hay, Hei Hei, Casebrook, St Albans, Mairehau, Westhaven, Burwood, Parklands, Heathcote, Westmorland, Ilam, and Avonhead | | MRZ | RH, MRZ, RS, RSDT | RH, RMD, RS, RSDT | Partially accept in-part | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. Residential areas not proposed to | Accept in part for Reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5, of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | be MRZ or HRZ are those where a qualifying matter applies. | |
| 647 | Michael Palmer | | | 647.1 | Seek Amend ment | [Limit extent of MRZ / intensification to the inner] suburbs surrounding the city centre including St Albans, Linwood, Philipstown, Addington and Merrivale. | | Unspecified. | | | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for Reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5, of the Report. |
| 216 | Russell Wills | | | 216.2 | Seek Amend ment | [No Medium Density Residential zone in Hornby] | | Unspecified. | | | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and Policy 3. | Reject for Reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| | Lauren Gibson | | | 708.4 | Oppose | [Opposes intensification plan change and in particular for 19a Russell Street] | 19a Russell Street | Unspecified. | HRZ, with TCZ TCIP (Town Centre Intensifica tion Precinct) Precinct | RMD | Reject_remove TCIP Precinct | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. Russell Street is identified within a walkable catchment to a Town centre zone, therefore is proposed to be zoned HRZ. Greater intensification near centres supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of the NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|---|--|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 797 | Zsuzsanna Hajnal | | | 797.1 | Seek Amend ment | [R]econsider the zoning of the area from 157 to 193 Wainoni Road and beyond [from "Residential Suburban Zone".] | RS zoned land from 157 to 193 Wainoni Road and beyond | MRZ | RS | RS | Accept in-part | This area is within a Low Public Transport QM. A recommendat ion has been made to rezone areas within this QM as MRZ and a Precinct to manage the QM outcomes. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 478 | Mark Siddall | | | 478.1 | Seek Amend ment | [R]estrict the MRZ to areas surrounding the CBD and suburban shopping areas. | | Unspecified. | HRZ, MRZ | RCC, RMD, RSDT, RS | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| 801 | Jean Turner | | | 801.1 | Seek Amend ment | [R]ezone area with 800 metres of Pak n Save Wainoni, to "Medium Density Residential Zone" | Area within 800 metres of Pak n Save Wainoni, 172 Wainoni Rd | MRZ | RS / MRZ | RS | Accept in-part | The areas surrounding Pak n Save Wainoni (Local Centre Zone) are identified as within a Low Public Transport Accessibility qualifying matter. A recommendat ion has been made to rezone areas within this OM as MRZ and a Precinct to manage the QM outcomes. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 797 | Zsuzsanna Hajnal | | | 797.1 0 | Seek Amend ment | [R]ezone the area between 135 to 185 Wainoni Road, and beyond, to "Medium Density Residential Zone" [from Residential Suburban Zone]. | RS zoned land from 135 Wainoni Road - 193 Wainoni Road and beyond | MRZ | RS | RS | Accept in-part | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility QM. A recommendat ion has been made to rezone areas within this QM as MRZ and a Precinct to manage the QM outcomes. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| | | <u>uests – IHP Re</u> | commenda | tions | | | | | | | , | | |
|-----|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|--|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
| 801 | Jean Turner | | | 801.1 | Seek Amend ment | [R]ezone the area between 135 to 185 Wainoni Road, and beyond, to "Medium Density Residential Zone". | RS zoned land from 135 Wainoni Road - 185 Wainoni Road and beyond | MRZ | RS | RS | Accept in-part | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility QM. A recommendat ion has been made to rezone areas within this QM as MRZ and a Precinct to manage the QM outcomes. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5, and in Part 7 of the Report. |
| 801 | Jean Turner | | | 801.1 | Seek Amend ment | [R]ezone the area from 157 to 193 Wainoni Road, and the surrounding area, to "Medium Density Residential Zone" | RS zoned land from 157 to 193 Wainoni Road, and the surrounding area | MRZ | RS | RS | Accept in-part | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility QM. A recommendat ion has been made to rezone areas within this QM as MRZ and a Precinct to manage the QM outcomes. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| 797 | Zsuzsanna Hajnal | | | 797.1 | Seek Amend ment | [R]ezone the Residential Suburban area of Keyes Road to "Medium Density Residential Zone" | Residential Suburban area of Keyes Road | MRZ | RS | RS | Reject | Keyes Road is located within a Low Public Transport Accessibility qualifying matter as well as the Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter and therefore should retain RS zoning. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 4 and 5, and in Part 7 of the Report. |
| 796 | Justin Woods | | | 796.1 | Seek Amend ment | [R]ezone the Residential Suburban portion of Keyes Road, to "Medium Density Residential Zone" | Residential Suburban area of Keyes Road | MRZ | RS | RS | Reject | Keyes Road is located within a Low Public Transport Accessibility qualifying matter as well as the Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter and therefore should retain RS zoning. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 4 and 5, of the Report. |

| | Rezoning Requ | | l | LIOIIS | | | | | 1 | | | | D 1 |
|-----|---------------|--------------|-----------|--------|---------|------------------|------------------|--|----------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| No. | Name | | On Behalf | Point | Support | Decision Sought | | Requested | Notified | Operative | | Reasons for | Panel |
| | | Organisation | Of | No. | Oppose | Only | Address / Area | Zoning | Zoning | Zoning | Recommendation | Recommenda | Recommenda |
| | | | 0. | 110. | Оррозо | 01.11 | | , and the second | 2011119 | | | tion | tion |
| 802 | Anita Moir | | | 802.1 | Seek | [R]ezone the | Residential | MRZ | RS | RS | Reject | Keyes Road is | Accept in part |
| | | | | 6 | Amend | Residential | Suburban area of | | | | | located within | for reasons in |
| | | | | | ment | Suburban | Keyes Road | | | | | a Low P <u>ublic</u> | Part 4 and 5 |
| | | | | | | portion of Keyes | | | | | | T <u>ransport</u> | of the Report. |
| | | | | | | Road, to | | | | | | Accessibility | |
| | | | | | | "Medium | | | | | | qualifying | |
| | | | | | | Density | | | | | | matter as well | |
| | | | | | | Residential | | | | | | as the | |
| | | | | | | Zone" | | | | | | Tsunami | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Management | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Area | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | qualifying | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | matter and | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | therefore | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | should retain | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | RS zoning. | |
| 801 | Jean Turner | | | 801.1 | Seek | [R]ezone the | Residential | MRZ | RS | RS | Reject | Keyes Road is | Accept in part |
| | | | | 6 | Amend | Residential | Suburban area of | | | | | located within | for reasons in |
| | | | | | ment | Suburban | Keyes Road | | | | | a Low P <u>ublic</u> | Part 4 and 5 |
| | | | | | | section of Keyes | | | | | | T <u>ransport</u> | of the Report. |
| | | | | | | Road to | | | | | | Accessibility | |
| | | | | | | "Medium | | | | | | qualifying | |
| | | | | | | Density | | | | | | matter as well | |
| | | | | | | Residential | | | | | | as the | |
| | | | | | | Zone." | | | | | | Tsunami | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Management | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Area | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | qualifying | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | matter and | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | therefore | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | should retain | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | RS zoning. | |
| 797 | Zsuzsanna | | | 797.1 | Seek | [R]ezone this | approximately | MRZ | RS / NCZ | RS / CL | Reject | This area is | Accept in part |
| | Hajnal | | | 2 | Amend | area from ~100 | 100 Wainoni Road | | | | | within a Low | for reasons in |
| | | | | | ment | to ~300 | to approximately | | | | | Public | Part 3, 4 and 5 |
| | | | | | | Wainoni Road | 300 Wainoni Road | | | | | Transport | of the Report. |
| | | | | | | (and further | (and further | | | | | Accessibility | |
| | | | | | | afield), to | afield) | | | | | qualifying | |
| | | | | | | "Medium | | | | | | matter <u>as well</u> | |
| | | | | | | Density | | | | | | as the | |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | | Residential Zone". | | | | | | Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter as well as the Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter and therefore should retain RS zoning. The RS should remain to remain consistent with the surrounding zoning. | |
| 802 | Anita Moir | | | 802.1 | Seek Amend ment | [R]ezone this area from ~100 to ~300 Wainoni Road (and further afield), to "Medium Density Residential Zone". | approximately 100 Wainoni Road to approximately 300 Wainoni Road (and further afield) | MRZ | RS / NCZ | RS / CL | Reject | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility qualifying matter as well as the Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter as well as the Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter as qualifying matter and | |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | therefore should retain RS zoning. The RS should remain to remain consistent with the surrounding zoning. | |
| 802 | Anita Moir | | | 802.1 | Seek Amend ment | [R]ezone this area from 135 to 185 Wainoni Road (and further afield), to "Medium Density Residential Zone" | RS zoned land from 135 to 185 Wainoni Road (and further afield) | MRZ | RS | RS | Reject | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility qualifying matter as well as the Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter as well as the Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter as well as the Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter and therefore should retain RS zoning. The RS should remain to remain consistent with the surrounding zoning. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| | | uests – IHP Re | Commenda | uons | | | | | | | | | D 1 |
|-----|--------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---|---|
| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
| 796 | Justin Woods | | | 796.8 | Seek Amend ment | [R]ezone this area from 135 to 185 Wainoni Road (and further afield), to "Medium Density Residential Zone" [from Residenti al Suburban Zone]. | RS zoned land from 135 to 185 Wainoni Road (and further afield) | MRZ | RS | RS | Reject Accept inpart | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility qualifying matter as well as the Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter as well as the Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter as well as the Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter and therefore should retain RS zoning. The RS should remain to remain consistent with the surrounding zoning. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 796 | Justin Woods | | | 796.9 | Seek Amend ment | [R]ezone this area from 135 to 185 Wainoni Road (and further afield), to "Medium Density Residential Zone" [from Residential | RS zoned land from 135 to 185 Wainoni Road (and further afield) | MRZ | RS | RS | Reject | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility qualifying matter as well as the Tsunami Management Area | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | Suburban Zone]. | | | | | | qualifying matter as well as the Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter and therefore should retain RS zoning. The RS should remain to remain consistent with the surrounding zoning. | |
| 802 | Anita Moir | | | 802.1 | Seek Amend ment | [R]ezone this area from 157 to 193 Wainoni Road (and further afield), to "Medium Density Residential Zone" | RS zoned land from 157 to 193 Wainoni Road (and further afield) | MRZ | RS | RS | Reject | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility qualifying matter as well as the Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter as well as the Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter and therefore should retain RS zoning. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | The RS should remain to remain consistent with the surrounding zoning. | |
| 796 | Justin Woods | | | 796.1 0 | Seek Amend ment | [R]ezone from ~100 to ~300 Wainoni Road (and further afield) to "Medium Density Residential Zone" [from Residential Suburban Zone]. | RS zoned land from 100 Wainoni Road to approximately 300 Wainoni Road (and further afield) | MRZ | RS | RS | Reject Accept in- part | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility qualifying matter as well as the Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter as well as the Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter as well as the Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter and therefore should retain RS zoning. The RS should remain to remain consistent with the surrounding | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 9 of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 239 | Andrea Floyd | | | 239.6 | Seek Amend ment | [Reduce extent of medium and high density residential zones] | | Unspecified. | | | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3 and 4 of the Report. |
| 465 | Stuart Roberts | | | 465.2 | Seek Amend ment | [Reduce extent of MRZ - limit to central city] | | Unspecified. | | | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3 and 4 of the Report. |
| 437 | David Allan | | | 437.1 | Seek Amend ment | [Reduce extent of MRZ] Medium density housing should only be permitted in the central city and in large tracts of land that were designed for that purpose. | | Unspecified. | | | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3 and 4 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 321 | George Hooft | | | 321.1 | Seek Amend ment | [Reduce extent of MRZ] The sort of medium level intensification that is predicated should be reserved for areas inside or around the four aves or other new designated areas where they are known in advance. | | Unspecified. | | | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3 and 4 of the Report. |
| 667 | Liz Oliver | | | 667.1 | Seek Amend ment | [Reduce proposed extent of MRZ] The MRZ should be concentrated closer to commercial areas. | | Unspecified. | | | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3 and 4 of the Report. |
| 158 | Susan Thomas | | | 158.1 | Seek Amend ment | [Remove MRZ in Dallington] | Dallington area | Not stated | MRZ | RS | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a response to | Reject for reasons in Part 3 and 4 of the Report. |

| No. | Rezoning Requ Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Policy 3 <u>of the</u> <u>NPS-UD</u> . | |
| 316 | Jo Jeffery | | | 316.5 | Oppose | [Remove MRZ] Keep the height change proposal within the four avenues until such a time that further housing is required outside of that. | | Unspecified. | | | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3 and 4 of the Report. |
| 321 | George Hooft | | | 321.2 | Seek Amend ment | [Retain existing residential zones, outside the four aves and other new designated areas] | | Unspecified. | | | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3 and 4 of the Report. |
| 471 | Kem Wah Tan | | | 471.2 2 | Not Stated | [Retain operative plan zoning for postcode area 8053, including Aorangi Road] | Postcode area 8053 | Mainly RS | Mainly MRZ | Mainly RS | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant | Reject for reasons in Part 3 and 4 of the Report. |

| No. | Rezoning Requ Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | residential zones and provide a response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | |
| 418 | Zoe McLaren | | | 418.4 | Support | [S]upport[s] the changes to replace zones with medium/high density zones. | | | | | Accept | This support for MRZ as notified is noted. | Accept |
| 677 | Donna Kenton- Smith | | | 677.1 | Oppose | [Seeks removal of] intensification plans for Merivale[; and considers it] more sensible to restrict the area where taller buildings can be built. | Merivale area | Unspecified | HRZ | RMD | Reject | Parts of Merivale that are proposed to be zoned HRZ are located within walkable catchments to larger commercial centres and the City Centre Zone (CCZ). Greater intensification near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of the NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3 and 4 of the Report. |

| N | No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|---|-----|---------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| 5 | 530 | Chris Wilison | | | 530.3 | Seek Amend ment | [Seeks that the area identified as] Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street), be rezoned as Medium Density Residential Zone as opposed to the proposed High Desnity Residential Zone under PC14. | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street are within walkable catchments to Merivale centre the City Centre Zone (CCZ) and therefore proposed to be HRZ. Greater intensification (HRZ) near CCZ centres is directed by Policy 3(c) of the NPS-UD and supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of the NPS-UD). | Accept for reasons in Part 3 and 4 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--|--|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|---|---|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| 730 | Geoff White for Gwynfa Ave Residents Association | Gwynfa Ave Residents Association | | 730.1 | Seek Amend ment | [Seeks that] the Council exclude Gwynfa Ave from increased residential density and ask them to also consider other private hill lanes who will be facing many of the same issues. | Gwynfa Avenue (also other similar private hill lanes) | Exclude from intensification | MRZ | RH | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and Policy 3. Gwynfa Avenue adopts a Residential Hills Precinct, this precinct thas a minimum lot size of 650m² and adopts current Residential Hill Zone subdivision standards, including earthwork controls and proposed impervious surface controls. | Reject for reasons in Part 4 and 5 of the Report. Residential Hills zone is not a relevant residential zone so PC14 does not apply to it; Status quo prevails and submission accepted. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|---|--|
| 67 | Rachel Davies | | | 67.3 | Seek Amend ment | [Seeks to] reduce the zones for High and Medium Density to closer to the city centre - so that it is not encroaching on exisiting neighbourhoods in Spreydon and Hoon Hay. | | Unspecified. | | | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3 and 4 of the Report. |
| 318 | Nicholas Latham | | | 318.3 | Seek Amend ment | [Seeks] [I]ess restrictions on increasing housing, especially mixed zone areas Support[s] more housing, with an especially in the city centre | | Unspecified. | | | Partially accept | The support for proposed zones as notified is noted. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3 and 4 of the Report. |
| 726 | Michele McKnight | | | 726.3 | Oppose | [Seeks] the council, to remove Gwynfa Ave and any other similiar streets on this hill from the medium density proposal | Gwynfa Avenue (also other similar private hill lanes) | Exclude from intensification | MRZ | RH | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and Policy 3. Gwynfa Avenue adopts a Residential Hills Precinct, this precinct has a | Reject for reasons in Part 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-----------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | minimum lot size of 650m² and adopts current Residential Hill Zone subdivision standards, including earthwork controls_and proposed impervious surface controls. | |
| 564 | Rachel Hu | | | 564.1 | Seek Amend ment | [Standardise the MRZ and HRZ zones] e.g., choose for developers to have a clear guideline for 3-storeys or 6-storeys. Or at least make it more standard per suburb than every street block. | | Unspecified | | | Reject Accept in- part | The hierarchy of zones and mix of rules/standar ds in MRZ and HRZ is required, as per National Planning Standards and the application of MDRS and Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. However, an opportunity does exist to better refine zone boundaries to provide a more logical | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3 and 4 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | zone boundary, where appropriate. MDRS applies across both zones and efforts have been made to align other controls across both zones. | |
| 795 | Andrew Stevenson | | | 795.1 | Seek Amend ment | [T]hat CCC consider rezoning the area from 135 to 185 Wainoni Road and beyond to a "Medium Density Residential Zone". | RS zoned land from 135 to 185 Wainoni Road and beyond | MRZ | RS | RS | Accept in-part, in areas where the Tsunami Management Area (TMA) does not apply. | This area is within a Low Public Transport QM. A recommendat ion has been made to rezone areas within this QM as MRZ and a Precinct to manage the QM outcomes. Much of this area is also covered by the TMA and a recommendat ion has been made to | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | retain operative zoning where greater than 30% of the site is covered. | |
| 795 | Andrew Stevenson | | | 795.1 0 | Seek Amend ment | [T]hat CCC rezone the area from 157 to 193 Wainoni Road, including the surrounding region, to a "Medium Density Residential Zone" [from Residenti al Suburban Zone]. | RS zoned land from 157 to 193 Wainoni Road, including the surrounding region | MRZ | RS | RS | Accept in-part | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility Area QM. A recommendat ion has been made to rezone areas within this QM as MRZ and a Precinct to manage the QM outcomes. Areas within the Tsunami Management Area should remain RS. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |
| 795 | Andrew Stevenson | | | 795.1 3 | Seek Amend ment | [T]hat CCC rezone the Residential Suburban area of Keyes Road to "Medium Density Residential Zone". | Residential Suburban area of Keyes Road | MRZ | RS | RS | Reject | Keyes Road is located within a Low Public Transport Accessibility Area qualifying matter as well as the | Reject for reasons in Part 3 ,4 and 5 of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Tsunami Management Area qualifying matter and therefore should retain RS zoning. | |
| 795 | Andrew Stevenson | | | 795.1 | Seek Amend ment | [T]hat the CCC should rezone the area spanning from approximately 100 to 300 Wainoni Road and beyond as a "Medium Density Residential Zone". | approximately 100 Wainoni Road to approximately 300 Wainoni Road and beyond | MRZ | RS / NCZ | RS / CL | Accept in-part | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility Area QM. A recommendat ion has been made to rezone areas within this QM as MRZ and a Precinct to manage the QM outcomes. Areas within the Tsunami Management Area should remain RS. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |
| 238 | Prue Manji | | | 238.1 | Seek Amend ment | [T]hat the streets in the Watford Street, College Avenue, Uranga Avenue and Brenchley Avenue blocks remain [Residential Suburban | Watford Street, College Avenue, Uranga Avenue and Brenchley Avenue blocks | RS | MRZ | RS | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a | Reject for reasons in Part 3 and 4 of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--|--|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | instead of Medium Density Residential Zone] | | | | | | response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | |
| 188 | Tony Simons for Riccarton Bush - Kilmamock Residents' Association | Riccarton Bush - Kilmarnock Residents' Association | | 188.2 | Seek Amend ment | [That all sites located within the Riccarton Bush Interface Area + the Kauri cluster (Kauri St, Rata St, Titoki St, and Rimu St + the north side of Rata Street and the west side of Rimu St extending to Straven Road) are zoned Residential Suburban instead of MRZI | Kauri cluster bounded by Riccarton Bush (inc Kahu Rd and Titoki St) to the north, Kauri St to the west, the lane between the commercial and residential interface to the south, Straven Rd to the east. | RS | RS/MRZ/T CZ | RS | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--|--|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 188 | Tony Simons for Riccarton Bush - Kilmamock Residents' Association | Riccarton Bush - Kilmarmock Residents' Association | | 188.8 | Seek Amend ment | [That all sites located within the Riccarton Bush Interface Area, + the Kauri cluster (Kauri St, Rata St, Titoki St, and Rimu St + the north side of Rata St and the west side of Rimu St extending to Straven Road) are zoned Residential Suburban instead of MRZ] | Kauri cluster bounded by Riccarton Bush (inc Kahu Rd and Titoki St) to the north, Kauri St to the west, the lane between the commercial and residential interface to the south, Straven Rd to the east. | RS | RS/MRZ/T CZ | RS | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |
| 340 | Kirsten Templeton | | | 340.4 | Seek Amend ment | [That Avonhead is not zoned Medium Density Residential] | Avonhead area | Not stated | MRZ | RS | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act and apply MDRS across all relevant residential zones and provide a response to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, and 4 of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 430 | Tracey Berry | | | 430.4 | Seek Amend ment | [That Avonhead, including Westall Lane, is zoned Medium Density Residential] [Relates to request to remove Airport Noise QM and RuUF zoning on Westall Lane] | Avonhead area including Westall Lane | MRZ | RS / RuUF | RS / RuUF | Reject | Rezoning requests from rural zones to residential zones is out of scope of this plan change - as it does not give effect to Policy 3 of the NPS UD. Evidence by Ms Oliver recommends that operative zoning beneath the Airport Noise Contour is maintain, where currently Residential Suburban under the operative Plan. NOTE: See additional discussion in IHP request #34 accept / reject tables. | Accept in part in relation to that part of land zoned RS only reasons in Part 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| 701 | lan McChesney | | | 701.1 | Seek Amend ment | [That Rattray Street in Riccarton is zoned MRZ instead of HRZ] - Rattray St should be included in the MDR zone (i.e. included in the area south and west of the street). | Rattray Street | MRZ | HRZ | RSDT | Reject Accept | The Residential Heritage Interface Area applies to the west side of Rattray Street which protects the significant heritage values of a Residential Heritage Area by managing residential development on adjacent sites in line with Plan Change 13 controls. Changes to the ANIA mean that this street is now recommende d to be zoned | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |

| No. | Name | uesis – inir Ke | | 1110113 | | | | | | | | Doccors for | Panel |
|------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| INO. | Ivailie | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Recommenda tion |
| 905 | Declan Bransfield | | | 905.3 | Seek Amend ment | [That that area north of Riccarton Road and west of Straven Road be zoned HRZ instead of MRZ] | North of Riccarton Road, west of Straven Road | HRZ | RS/MRZ/T CZ | RS/CC | Reject | This area is adjacent to the Riccarton Bush Interface Area which protects the heritage landscape of Riccarton Bush by limiting development within the overlay to 8m in height (two storeys) and associated bulk and location controls. Areas beyond this a limited to medium density only (12m), rather than the 20m high density surrounds. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 585 | Nick Brown | | | 585.1 | Seek Amend ment | [That the area of Strowan between] Heato n Street/Innes Road and Blighs Road [be zoned MRZ instead of HRZ] | Area between Heaton Street/Innes Road and Blighs Road zoned HRZ | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | This area is within a walkable catchment to either Papanui TCZ or Merivale LCZ centres, and therefore is zoned HRZ. Greater intensification | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of NPS UD). | |
| 803 | Tamsin Woods | | | 803.1 | Seek Amend ment | [That the] area from 135 to 185 Wainoni Road [157-193 Wainoni Road and 100-300 Wainoni Road] (and further afield) [is zoned] "Mediu m Density Residential Zone" [instead of Residential Suburban] | RS zoned land from 135 to 185 Wainoni Road, 157-193 Wainoni Road, and 100- 300 Wainoni Road (shaded in red within image) and further afield [encircled area] | MRZ | RS | RS | Accept in-part | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility Area QM. A recommendat ion has been made to rezone areas within this QM as MRZ and a Precinct to manage the QM outcomes. Areas within the Tsunami Management Area should remain RS. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| Io. Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|---|---|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Melen Broughtor for Waipu Halswell- Hornby- Riccarton Communi Board | na Hornby- Riccarton Community Board | | 902.3 | Seek Amend ment | [That all sites within the Riccarton Bush Interface Area and on Matai Street] retain Suburban Density Zoning. [Note: Area includes sought extension to RBI: The southern side of Rata Street to Rimu Street and Kauri Street; Kahu Road opposite the entrance to Riccarton House; The Kauri Cluster, the precinct beside Riccarton House and Bush on the southern side; all [both sides of] Ngahere Street [and] Girvan Street; Houses adjoining the Avon e.g. 36a Kahu Road and adjoining | Area surrounding Riccarton Bush: southern side of Rata Street to Rimu Street and Kauri Street; Kahu Road opposite the entrance to Riccarton House; The Kauri Cluster, the precinct beside Riccarton House and Bush on the southern side; all [both sides of] Ngahere Street [and] Girvan Street; Houses adjoining the Avon e.g. 36a Kahu Road and adjoining houses; the larger area as indicated by the Riccarton Bush /Kilmarnock Residents' Association]. | RS | RS/MRZ | RS/RMD | Reject | The Riccarton Bush Interface Area QM protects the heritage area through applying a lower height limit and zoning beyond this area to MRZ, not HRZ. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 9 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | Of | No. | Oppose | houses; the larger area as indicated by the Riccarton Bush /Kilmarnock Residents' Association]. | Address / Alled | Zoning | Zoning | Zoning | TO CONTINUE TO CON | tion | tion |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---|--|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 902 | Helen Broughton for Waipuna Halswell- Hornby- Riccarton Community Board | Waipuna Halswell- Hornby- Riccarton Community Board | | 902.2 | Seek Amend ment | [That the HRZ in the] Deans Avenue Precinct [that] covers the area from Matai Street East to Blenheim Road and from Deans Avenue to the Railway line [is limited to] the former Addington saleyards site; [and that the remainder of the area is zoned MRZ]. | HRZ area bounded by Matai Street East to the north, Deans Avenue to the east, Blenheim Road to the south, and the railway to the west. | MRZ | HRZ | RMD | Reject | This area is within a walkable catchment to the Riccarton TCZ centre. Greater intensification near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD supports well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 810 | Anita Collie for Regulus Property Investments Limited | Regulus Property Investments Limited | | 810.2 | Seek Amend ment | [That the property] located at 149 Waimairi Road and surrounding properties are rezoned to High Density Residential [instead of Medium Density Residential] | 149 Waimairi Road and surrounding properties | HRZ | MRZ | RS | Reject | This area is not identified as being within a walkable catchment to a centre zone. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |
| 480 | Selma Claridge | | | 480.2 | Seek Amend ment | [That] Harris Crescent [Papanui, retains its operative zoning instead | Harris Crescent, Papanui | RS | HRZ | RS | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones in accordance | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|--|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | of Medium Density Residential] | | | | | | with Policy 3 of the NPS- UD. | |
| 335 | Lorraine Wilmshurst | | | 335.5 | Seek Amend ment | [That] suburban areas [are not zoned] Medium Density Residential | | RS | MRZ | RS | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |
| 390 | Mike Singleton | | | 390.3 | Seek Amend ment | [That] the area between Deans Ave and the Railway [is zoned] Medium Density Residential [instead of] High Density Residential. | Area between Deans Ave and the Railway | MRZ | HRZ | RMD | Reject | Much of this area is within a walkable catchment to the Riccaron TCZ centre. Greater intensification near centres is directed by Policy 4 of the NPS-UD and further intensificatio n in accessible areas supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |

| No. | Name | uests – IHP Re | | LIUIIS | | | | | | | | D | Panel |
|-----|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| NO. | ivairie | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Recommenda tion |
| 898 | Denis McMurtrie | | | 898.3 | Seek Amend ment | [That] the area South and East of Harewood Road and Main North Road [Paparoa Street / Strowan] is zoned Residential Suburban [instead of MRZ or HRZ]. | Residential area South and East of Main North Road [Paparoa Street / Strowan] | RS | HRZ/MRZ | RMD/RS | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to residential zones in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. The areas zoned HRZ are within a walkable catchment to larger centres. Greater intensification near centres supports well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 891 | Alan John David Gillies | | | 891.2 | Seek Amend ment | [That] the High Density Residential Zone proposed for the Strowan Residential blocks from Normans to Blighs Road be changed to a Medium Density Residential Development zone. | HRZ area from Normans Road to Blighs Road | MRZ | HRZ except 399 Papanui Rd (MRZ) | RS | Reject | The area proposed to be HRZ from Normans Road to Blighs Road is identified as being within a walkable catchment to Papanui TCZ or Merivale centre. Greater intensification near centres | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. Nan | me | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|---------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of NPS-UD). | |
| | ly Elford M.I.I.G nited | M.I.I.G Limited | | 388.2 | Seek Amend ment | [That] the Rural Urban Fringe zoned land located between QEII Drive south and Prestons Local Centre north, and between Prestons to the east and Marshlands Road to the west, in particular Part Rural Section 1705, [is re- zoned to] Medium Density Residential Zone (Planning Maps 19, 25 and 26) | Rural Urban Fringe zoned land located between QEII Drive south and Prestons Local Centre north, and between Prestons to the east and Marshlands Road to the west, in particular Part Rural Section 1705 [80 Mairehau Road - property shaded in purple in image] | MRZ | RuUF | RuUF | Reject | The scope of Plan Change 14 is limited to giving affect to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and apply MDRS in relevant residential zones. As this rezoning request is not for enabling building heights of density in an applicable Policy 3 centre, it is out of scope of the plan change pursuant to Section 77G of the RMA. In addition, this area is surrounded by MRZ which | Reject for reasons of scope in Part 1 and 7 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | has a Low PT overlay and Waste water constraints overlay. NOTE: See additional discussion in IHP request #34 accept / reject tables. | |
| 446 | Sarah Lovell | | | 446.2 | Seek Amend ment | [That] Council [retain the existing zones in] the bulk of the city's suburbs e.g. St Martins, Hillsborough and not rezone to medium density | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to residential zones in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. Greater intensification near centres supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| | Rezoning Req | uesis – ind Ke | l | เเบเเธ | | | | | | | | _ | David |
|-----|--------------|----------------|-----------|--------|---------|--|--------------------|-----------|--|-----------|----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| No. | Name | | On Behalf | Point | Support | Decision Sought | | Requested | Notified | Operative | | Reasons for | Panel |
| | | Organisation | Of | No. | Oppose | Only | Address / Area | Zoning | Zoning | Zoning | Recommendation | Recommenda | Recommenda |
| | | | | | | , and the second | | , | , and the second | _ | | tion | tion |
| 165 | Catherine & | | | 165.3 | Seek | [That] he area | Helmores Lane, | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Helmores | Accept for |
| | Peter | | | | Amend | consisting of | Desmond Street | | | | | Lane, | reasons in |
| | Baddeley | | | | ment | Helmores Lane, | and Rhodes | | | | | Desmond | Part 3, 4 and 5 |
| | | | | | | Desmond Street | Street (to Rossall | | | | | Street and | of the Report |
| | | | | | | and Rhodes | Street) | | | | | Rhodes Street | |
| | | | | | | Street (to | | | | | | are within | |
| | | | | | | Rossall Street) | | | | | | walkable | |
| | | | | | | [be zoned MRZ | | | | | | catchments to | |
| | | | | | | instead of HRZ] | | | | | | Merivale | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | centre <u>the</u> | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | <u>City Centre</u> | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Zone and | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | therefore | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | proposed to | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | be HRZ. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Greater | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | intensification | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | (HRZ) near | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | <u>this</u> centre <u>is</u> | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | directed by | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Policy 3(c) of | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | the NPS-UD | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | supports a | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | well- | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | functioning | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | urban | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | environment | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | (Policy 1 of | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | the NPS-UD). | |
| 681 | Andrew | | | 681.4 | Seek | [That] the | | MRZ | RH | | Accept in-part | This area is | Reject for |
| | McCarthy | | | | Amend | entire | | | | | | within a Low | reasons in |
| | | | | | ment | existing | | | | | | Public | Part 4 and 5 |
| | | | | | | Residential Hills | | | | | | Transport | of the Report |
| | | | | | | Zone is [zoned] | | | | | | <u>Accessibility</u> | |
| | | | | | | MDRZ | | | | | | <u>Area</u> QM. A | |
| | | | | | | (Residential | | | | | | recommendat | |
| | | | | | | Hills Precinct). | | | | | | ion has been | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | made to re- | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | zone areas | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | within this | |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | QM as MRZ and a Precinct to manage the QM outcomes. | |
| 885 | Peter Dyhrberg | | | 885.2 | Seek Amend ment | [That] the areas of the city north of Armagh Street and between Fitzgerald Avenue to the East and Madras Street to the Westbe zoned as a Medium Density Residential [instead of] High Density Residential. | HRZ area north of Armagh Street, between Fitzgerald Avenue to the east and Madras Street to the west | MRZ | HRZ | RCC | Reject | Armargh Street is located within a walkable catchment to the city centre. Greater intensification near this centre is directed by Policy 3(c) of the NPS-UD and supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 869 | Dawn E Smithson | | | 869.2 | Seek Amend ment | [That] the eastern blocks of Strowan from Normans Road to Blighs Road [be zoned MRZ instead of HRZ] | HRZ area from Normans Road to Blighs Road | MRZ | HRZ except 399 Papanui Rd (MRZ) | RS | Reject | Areas in Strowan which are proposed to be HRZ are located within walkable catchments to Papanui TCZ centre. Greater intensification | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |

| No. | Rezoning Requ Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of NPS-UD). | |
| 281 | Mary Crowe | | | 281.3 | Seek Amend ment | 1. Amend the zoning of Hurley Street from High to Medium density. | Hurley Street | MRZ | HRZ | RCC | Reject | Hurley Street is located within a walkable catchment to the city centre. Greater intensification near this centre is directed by Policy 3(c) of the NPS-UD and supports a wellfunctioning urban environment (Policy 1 of NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 192 | Nan Xu | | | 192.1 | Seek Amend ment | 147A Yaldhurst Rd, Uper Riccarton, Christchurch. 8042 and 147B Yaldhurst Rd, Uper Riccarton, Christchurch. 8042 should be Medium Density Residential Zone. | 147A and 147B Yaldhurst Road | MRZ | RS | RS | Accept in-part | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility Area QM. A recommendat ion has been made to rezone areas within this QM as MRZ and a Precinct to manage the QM outcomes. | Accept for reasons in Part 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 102 | Zhijian Wang | | | 102.3 | Not Stated | Adding medium-density and high-density housing to established neig hborhoods is not an ideal solution. Infrastructure will not be able to cope with demand, infrastructure improvements will be costly and impact on rates, and there will be further interruption with excavations and road closures. | | Unspecified | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to residential zones in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. Greater intensification near centres supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | | There will be | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | increased | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | concrete and | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | asphalt | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | footprints and | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | reduced garden | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | areas, affecting | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | the natural | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | infiltration of | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | rainwater, | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | increasing the | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | burden on infrastructure | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | and that may cause | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | flooding. There | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | will be an | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | associated | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | impact on | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Christchurch's | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | brand as a | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Garden City. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | which has taken | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | time to | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | develop. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Instead, the | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | urban-rural | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | fringe area | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | should be | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | developed with | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | medium and | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | high density | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | residential | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | areas within 20- | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 30 minutes of | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | the City Centre. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | This is the ideal | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | living and | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | working | | | | | | | |
| Ì | | | | | | environment | | 1 | | | 1 | | |

| No. | Rezoning Requ | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | where infrastructure can be planned and constructed according to the needs of the next 30 years. Funding would be from investors and developers, reducing financial pressure on the City Council and maintaining the stability of rates. Construct ion will not affect the traffic in the city. | | | | | | | |
| 419 | James Thomas | | | 419.1 | Seek Amend ment | Allow further intensification on the Port Hills | | Unspecified | | | Accept in-part | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility Area QM. A recommendat ion has been made to rezone areas within this QM as MRZ and a Precinct to manage the QM outcomes. | Accept for reasons in Part 4 and 5 of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 8 | Graham Thompson | | | 8.1 | Seek Amend ment | Amend proposed Medium Residential zone across the city to exempt culde-sacs and narrow accessways from zone. | | Unspecified | | | Reject | Cul-de-sacs and narrow accessways is not a qualifying matter that could preclude areas from having MDRS applied. Council must apply MDRS to residential zones in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS- UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 711 | Andrea Williams | | | 711.1 | Oppose | Amend residential zoning in Hornby from HDZ and MDZ to RS. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to residential zones in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. Areas in Hornby which are proposed to be HRZ are identified as being location to centres. Greater intensification near centres supports a well-functioning urban | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |

| No. | Name | uests – IIII IVE | | | | | | | | | | Reasons for | Panel |
|-----|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Recommenda tion | Recommenda tion |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | environment (Policy 1 of NPS-UD). | |
| 220 | Martin Snelson | | | 220.1 | Seek Amend ment | Amend the Medium Density Residential Zone [surrounding] the proposed North Halswell town centre, to [apply] to the areas being developed and not to those newly built areas | | Unspecified | MRZ | RNN | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to residential zones in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS- UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |
| 221 | Cynthia Snelson | | | 221.1 | Seek Amend ment | Amend the Medium Density Residential Zone [surrounding] the proposed North Halswell town centre, to [apply] to the areas being developed and not to those newly built areas | | Unspecified | MRZ | RNN | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to residential zones in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS- UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---|---|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|---|---|----------------|--|--|
| 77 | Richard McLaughlin | | | 77.1 | Seek Amend ment | Amend the planning maps to change the zoning of Watford Street and the surrounding Strowan Area (Watford Street, Normans Road, Halton Street and Hawthorne Street) from High Density Residential to Medium Density Residential. | Area surrounded by Watford Street, Normans Road, Halton Street, and Hawthorne Street | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Areas of Strowan that are proposed to be HRZ are located within walkable catchments of Papanui TCZ centre. Greater intensification near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of NPS-UD). | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |
| 850 | Hamish Wright for Crichton Development Group Limited | Crichton Development Group Limited | | 850.2 | Seek Amend ment | Amend the planning maps to rezone the properties at 5-19 John Paterson Drive and 451 Halswell Junction Road as MRZ. | 5, 9, 15, 19 John Paterson Drive and 451 Halswell Junction Road | MRZ | RuUF with respective designatio ns | RuUF 5 & 19 John Paterson Drive and 451 Halswell Junction Road with NZTA Future Works designation | Reject | The scope of Plan Change 14 is limited to giving effect to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and apply MDRS in relevant residential zones. As this rezoning request is not for enabling building heights of density in an applicable | Reject for reasons of scope in Part 1 and 7 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Policy 3 centre, it is out of scope of the plan change pursuant to Section 77G of the RMA. NOTE: See additional discussion in IHP request #34 accept / reject tables. | |
| 111 | Andrew Butler | | | 111.1 | Seek Amend ment | Amend the proposed High Density Residential Zone (HRZ) in a continuous strip parallel to Papanui Road through | HRZ area parallel to Papanui Road up to Strowan | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | This area of Strowan is located within a walkable catchment to Papanui TCZ centre. Greater intensification | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |
| | | | | | | Strowan (stretching from Papanui Road to Watford Street) to a Medium Density Residential Zone (MRZ). In particular, the residential area of Watford Street, Christchurch | | | | | | near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of NPS-UD). | |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| 69 | John Campbell | | | 69.1 | Seek Amend ment | Amend the Residential Suburban zoning of the area around Riccarton Bush [to south of Rata Street and Kauri Street] to Medium Density Residential. | South of Rata Street and Kauri Street | MRZ | MRZ | RS | Accept | The notified zoning of the area south of Rata Street and Kauri Street is for MRZ. The Area is subject to the ANIA and the Riccarton Bush Interface Area. | Accept for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |
| 114 | Connor McIver | | | 114.3 | Seek Amend ment | Amend the walkable catchments for the central city and other centres to 1.8km for the central city and 1.2km for other centres. | | Unspecified | | | Partially Accept in-part | The walkable catchments are determined by distance. Some increases to the walkable catchment distances are recommende d. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| 86 | Melissa and Scott Alman | | | 86.2 | Seek Amend ment | Amend the zoning of Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) from High Density Residential to Medium Density Residential | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street are within a walkable catchment to Merivale centre the City Centre Zone and therefore proposed to be HRZ. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres is directed by Policy 3(c) of the NPS-UD supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of the NPS-UD). | Accept for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| 668 | Keri Murison | | | 668.2 | Seek Amend ment | Amend the zoning of Strowan from HRZ to MRZ | Strowan area | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | This area of Strowan is located within a walkable catchment to Papanui TCZ centre. Greater intensification near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of NPS-UD). | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |
| 62 | Thomas Calder | | | 62.2 | Seek Amend ment | Amend zoning of Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) from High Density Residential to Medium Density Residential. | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street are within walkable catchments to Merivale centre the City Centre Zone and therefore proposed to be HRZ. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres is directed by | Accept for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |

| | Rezoning Requ | uests – IHP Re | commenda | tions | • | | | | | | | | |
|-----|------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Policy 3(c) of the NPS-UD supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of the NPS-UD). | |
| 107 | Heather Woods | | | 107.3 | Seek Amend ment | Amend zoning of this area from ~100 to ~300 Wainoni Road (and further afield), to "Medium Density Residential Zone" because it is close to all required amenities - closer than many other areas that are already "Medium Density Residential Zone". | approximately 100 Wainoni Road to approximately 300 Wainoni Road (and further afield) | MRZ | RS / NCZ | RS / CL | Accept in-part | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility Area QM. A recommendat ion has been made to rezone areas within this QM as MRZ and a Precinct to manage the QM outcomes. Areas within the Tsunami Management Area should remain RS. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--|---|
| 737 | Christian Jordan | | | 737.1 | Seek Amend ment | Apply MDRS zone across all areas of the City. | | MRZ | | | Reject | A range of MRZ and HRZ is applied across Christchurch in response to as required by the MDRS and Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. Other areas are subject to qualifying matters which are necessary to manage development due to constraints. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 121 | Cameron Matthews | | | 121.4 | Seek Amend ment | Around the Sydenham south commercial area, up-zone sites within blocks containing parts of Local Centre Zones from Medium Density Residential Zone to High Density Residential Zone to match the building height and density limits of | MRZ near Sydenham South commercial area | HRZ | MRZ | RMD | Reject Accept in- part | Areas zoned MRZ in Sydenham are not identified as being within walkable catchments of main centres, therefore MRZ is most appropriate zoning. Sydenham South commercial centre has been re- evaluated | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-------------------|--|------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| 155 | Trudi Bishop | | | 155.4 | Oppose | Beckenham should be removed from the medium residential zone | MRZ within Beckenham area | Not stated | MRZ | RS | Reject | and the Local Centre Intensificatio n Precinct has been recommende d within a walkable catchment of at least 200m of the centre. This permits development up to 14m high. Council must apply MDRS to residential zones in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS- UD. It is noted that a large part of this area is within the proposed Beckenham Loop Residential Character Area. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| o. Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| 01 John Hudson | | | 901.1 | Oppose | CCC PLAN CHANGE 14 to alter the NP- SUD. MY thoughts are mainly regarding the MDRS to replace the RS zones. I d[D]o not agree with the MDRS zone replacing the current RS zones and I d[d]on't agree with certain aspects of plan change 14 and reasons and discussion follow. The CCC has excellent plans for the future growth of Christchurch and the mandated MDRS rules are a huge step backwards. Under MDRS intensification can take place much further out from the CBD. It will be totally | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to residential zones in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of the NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | Оррозс | the intensification of the CBD using existing building zone rules. | | Zoriilig | Zoriiing | Zoming | | tion | tion |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| lo. Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|----------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 01 John Hudson | | | 901.8 | Oppose | CCC PLAN CHANGE 14 to alter the NP- SUD. MY thoughts are mainly regarding the MDRS to replace the RS zones. I d[D]o not agree with the MDRS zone replacing the current RS zones and I d[d]on't agree with certain aspects of plan change 14 and reasons and discussion follow. The CCC has excellent plans for the future growth of Christchurch and the mandated MDRS rules are a huge step backwards. Under MDRS intensification can take place much further out from the CBD. It will be totally | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to residential zones in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of the NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and of the Repor |

| No. | Rezoning Requ Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | the intensification of the CBD using existing building zone rules. | | | | | | | |
| 485 | John Buckler | | | 485.1 | Seek Amend ment | Change 45 St. Albans Street to a Medium Density Residential zone or preserve current sunlight. | 45 St Albans Street | MRZ | HRZ | RMD | Reject | St Albans Street is located within a walkable catchment to a both the Merivale LCZ and the City centre zone. Greater intensification near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well-functioning urban environment | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Rezoning Requ | iests – ine ke | commenda | tions | | | | | | | | D f | Panel |
|------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| INO. | ivame | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Recommenda tion |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | (Policy 1 of NPS-UD). | |
| 121 | Cameron Matthews | | | 6 | Seek Amend ment | Change Addington to a Medium Local Centre Change the zoning of the area around Addington Centre and Addington MUZ to HRZ (or at least LCIP). | Area around Addington Centre and Addington area zoned MUZ | HRZ | MRZ | RMD | Reject | The Addington Centre does not meet the criteria for further intensification under Policy 3(d) of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 535 | PRUDENCE MORRALL | | | 535.2 | Oppose | Change MRZ to not apply to Therese Street | Therese Street | Not stated | MRZ | RSDT | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to residential zones in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| 901 | John Hudson | | | 901.1 | Seek Amend ment | Change Watford St from HRZ to MRZ | HRZ zoned properties along Watford Street, Papanui | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | This area of Watford Street is located within a walkable catchment of the Papanui TCZ centre. Greater intensification near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of NPS-UD). | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 40 | Steven & Diana Marshall | | | 40.1 | Seek Amend ment | Change zoning of Helmores Lane/ Desmond Street/ Rhodes St (from Helmores to Rossall) from High Density Residential to Medium Density Residential | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Helmores Lane, Desmond Strete and Rhodes Street are located within a walkable catchment to the City Centre Zone. Greater intensification near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well- | Accept for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|------|---|--|--|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of NPS-UD). | |
| 1052 | Bradley Nicolson for Oxford Terrace Baptist Church on behalf of Oxford Terrace Baptist Church | Oxford Terrace Baptist Church | Oxford Terrace Baptist Church | 1052. 1 | Seek Amend ment | Clarify whether the site at 288 Oxford Terrace is HRZ or MRZ, it is currently shown as split zoning. | 288 Oxford Terrace | Not stated | HRZ & MRZ | RCC | Partially accept | This site should be all zoned HRZ to avoid split zoning of the site as it is located within a walkable catchment of a centre. | |
| 800 | Ramon Gelonch Roca | | | 800.1 | Seek Amend ment | Consider rezoning the area from 157 to 193 Wainoni Road, and surrounding areas, to the "Medium Density Residential Zone" [from Res idential Suburban Zone]. | RS zoned land from 157 to 193 Wainoni Road, and surrounding areas | MRZ | RS | RS | Accept in-part | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility Area QM. A recommendat ion has been made to rezone areas within this QM as MRZ and a Precinct to manage the QM outcomes. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Areas within the Tsunami Management Area should remain RS. | |
| 67 | Rachel Davies | | | 67.16 | Seek Amend ment | Develop more multistorey or terraced styled housing in new subdivisions where infrastructure can be put in place to best service these new dwellings. | | Unspecified | | | Reject in-part | Council must apply MDRS to residential zones in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. Greenfield areas have been identified as Future Urban Zones, established greenfield areas have been proposed to be MRZ. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|---|
| 746 | Simon Fowke | | | 746.1 | Oppose | Do not Re-Zone Paparoa Street to Medium Density | Paparoa Street | Not stated | MRZ / HRZ | RS | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to residential zones in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of the NPS-UD). | |
| 15 | Martin Jones | | | 15.2 | Seek Amend ment | Do not zone Cashmere View Street or surrounds as High Density Residential Zone. | Cashmere View Street and surroundinf area | Not HRZ | MRZ | RS | Partially accept | Cashmere View Street is proposed to be MRZ as notified, not HRZ. | Accept for reasons in Part 4 and 5 of the Report |
| 107 | Heather Woods | | | 107.2 | Seek Amend ment | Enable tiny houses in all zones | | Unspecified | | | Partially accept | The purpose of the MRZ and HRZ are to provide an increase in housing choice (typically smaller dwellings), but not standalone tiny homes that are single storey as this | Accept in part for reasons in Part 4 and 5 of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | would not support a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS- UD). | |
| 740 | Matt Bonis for Woolworths | Woolworths | | 740.4 | Support | Except as otherwise modified by this submission, including amended zoned boundaries associated with the North Halswell Town Centre zone and St Albans (Neighbourhoo d / Local) Centre zone, retain amended residential zoning and nomenclature. | | | | | Accept | The support for the Centres zoning as notified is noted. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 and 7 of the Report. |
| 88 | Peter Evans | | | 88.1 | Oppose | Harlech Mews and Avonhead rezoned to Residential Suburban Zone | | Unspecified | MRZ | | Reject | Harlech Mews and parts of Avonhead are proposed to be MRZ as notified. Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones in | Reject for reasons in Part 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| | Rezoning Req | uesis – IHP Ke | commenda | แบบร | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | accordance with <u>s77G of</u> <u>the Act Policy</u> 3 of the NPS UD . | |
| 901 | John Hudson | | | 901.1 | Oppose | I d[D]o not agree with the MDRS zone replacing the current RS zones and I d[d]on't agree with certain aspects of plan change 14 and reasons and discussion follow. | | Unspecified | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones in accordance with s77G of the Act Policy 3 of the NPS UD. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of the NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 178 | Jorge Rodriguez | | | 178.1 | Seek Amend ment | I s [S]trongly oppose the proposed zoning of 5B Frome Place as a Residential Suburban Zone and urge the Christchurch City Council to rezone the property and the St Albans area in general as a Residential Medium Density Zone. | 5B Frome Place and the St Albans area | MRZ | RS | RS | Accept | Frome Place is located within a walking catchment from a core bus route and should be rezoned MRZ. The same should apply for similar sites. | Accept for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |
| 665 | Lawrence & Denise May | | | 665.2 | Seek Amend ment | If Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) are not included as a Residential Character Area, that the Area be zoned Medium Density Residential | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street are located within a walkable catchment to the City of centre zone. Greater intensification (HRZ) near this centre is directed by Policy 3(c) of the NPS-UD and supports a well- functioning urban environment | Accept for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | (Policy 1 NPS- UD). | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| lo. Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|---------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| 81 Kate Gregg | | | 381.4 | Seek Amend ment | If Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) are not included as a Residential Character Area, that the Area be zoned Medium Density Residential: and, that sunlight access be better protected by further amending the medium/high density southern boundary recession plane to 45° from 3m at the boundary: and that neighbours along the southern boundaries of any proposed developments that involve non- compliances with height or access to sunlight rules | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street are located within a walkable catchment to the City ef centre zones. Greater intensification (HRZ) near this centre is directed by Policy 3(c) of the NPS-UD and supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS-UD). | Accept in par for reasons in Part 3, 4 and of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | of the required resource consents and to make submissions. | | 1407 | | | | | |
| 300 | Sam Holdaway | | | 300.1 | Seek Amend ment | Include Kenwyn Ave in Medium Density [or]introduce a medium zone between the [Residential Suburban Density] and Medium Density. | Kenwyn Ave | MRZ | RS | RS | Accept | Kenwyn Avenue is located within a walking catchment from a core bus route and should be re- zoned MRZ. The same should apply | Accept for reasons in Part 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | for similar sites. | |
| 626 | Carol Shu | | | 626.1 | Seek Amend ment | Keep Hyde Park and Avonhead area all RS zoning. | Avonhead area surrounding Hyde Park | RS | MRZ / RS | RS | Reject | Parts of Hyde Park and Avonhead are proposed to be zoned MRZ as notified. Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones in accordance with s77G of the Act Policy 3 of the NPS UD. | |
| 696 | Terence Sissons | | | 696.2 | Seek Amend ment | Limit the HDRZ to the central city area and provide for MDRZs around the suburban shopping centres | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. Greater intensification (HRZ) near | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|------------------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | centres supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS- UD). | |
| 90 | Blair McCarthy | | | 90.3 | Seek Amend ment | Limit the High Density Residential Zone along Papanui Road north from the Merivale commercial centre to Heaton Street/Innes Road and south from the Papanui commercial centre to Blighs Road. That the area of proposed HRZ in between, particularly around St Andrews College and east of Watford Street, be zoned Medium Density | HRZ area east of Watford Street | MRZ | HRZ except 399 Papanui Road (MRZ) | RS | Reject | The areas proposed to be HRZ along Papanui Road are located within a walkable catchment to Papanui and Merivale centres. Great intensification (HRZ) near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS-UD). | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Rezoning Requ Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | Residential instead of HRZ. | | | | | | | |
| 666 | Cooper Mallett | | | 666.5 | Seek Amend ment | Make all the tall buildings in the middle of the city. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. This includes allowing for greater heights in MRZ. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| 892 | Wayne Robertson | | | 892.2 | Seek Amend ment | Medium Density Zones should apply to all areas not classified as High Density Zones [relates to request to restrict HRZ extent to four avenues and comprehensive developments] | | Unspecified | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. Greater intensification (HRZ)-near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 561 | Deidre Rance | | | 561.1 | Seek Amend ment | No medium [density zone in the Strowan area] | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres is | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| | Rezoning Requ | uests – IHP Re | commenda | tions | | | | | | | | | 1 |
|-----|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS- UD). | |
| 498 | Hone Johnson | | | 498.1 | Oppose | Oppose all higher density zoning changes | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| | | uests – IHP Re | l | 10113 | | | | | | | | | Donal |
|-----|-----------|----------------|-----------|-------|---------|------------------|----------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| No. | Name | | On Behalf | Point | Support | Decision Sought | | Requested | Notified | Operative | | Reasons for | Panel |
| | | Organisation | Of | No. | Oppose | Only | Address / Area | Zoning | Zoning | Zoning | Recommendation | Recommenda | Recommenda |
| | | | O1 | 140. | Оррозс | Only | | Zorning | Zoriirig | Zorning | | tion | tion |
| 864 | Douglas | | | 864.5 | Oppose | Oppose building | | | | | Reject | Council must | Reject for |
| | Corbett | | | | | heights over 2 | | | | | | apply MDRS | reasons in |
| | | | | | | storeys. | | | | | | to <u>relevant</u> | Part 3, 4 and 5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | residential | of the Report. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | zones and | • |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | provide an | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | intensificatio | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | n response in | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | accordance | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | with Policy 3 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | of the NPS | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | UD. Greater | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | intensification | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | (HRZ)-near | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | centres <u>is</u> | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | directed by | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Policy 3 of the | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | NPS-UD and | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | supports a | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | well- | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | functioning | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | urban | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | environment | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | (Policy 1 NPS- | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | ÙD). | |
| 185 | Nick Dore | | | 185.3 | Seek | Oppose HRZ of | HRZ land | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Areas in | Accept in part |
| | | | | | Amend | block of land | bounded by | | except | | , | Papanui | for reasons in |
| | | | | | ment | bounded by | Papanui Road, | | 399 | | | proposed to | Part 3, 4 and 5 |
| | | | | | | Papanui Road, | Normans Road, | | Papanui | | | be HRZ are | of the Report. |
| | | | | | | Normans Road, | Watford St and | | Road | | | identified as | ' |
| | | | | | | Watford St and | Blighs Road | | (MRZ) | | | being located | |
| | | | | | | Blighs Road | J · · · · · | | | | | within a | |
| | | | | | | (Planning Map | | | | | | walkable | |
| | | | | | | 24) | | | | | | catchment to | |
| | | | | | | Seeks this to be | | | | | | Papanui or | |
| | | | | | | MDRZ | | | | | | Merivale | |
| | | | | | | (currently RS in | | | | | | centres. | |
| | | | | | | the District | | | | | | Greater | |
| | | | | | | Plan) | | | | | | intensification | |
| | | | | | 1 | 1, | | | 1 | | | (HRZ) near | |

| | Rezoning Req | uests – IHP Re | commenda | tions | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
| 2002 | Daphne Robinson | | | 2002. | Oppose | Oppose intensification zoning in leafy suburbs such as Strowan. | | Unspecified | Zorinig | Zorinig | Reject | centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS-UD). Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS-UD). | |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| 181 | Jill Young | | | 181.1 | Oppose | Oppose MDRZ for Brodie Street, Ilam (Planning Map 30). Retain current RS zone in District Plan. | Brodie Street | RS | MRZ | RS | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 433 | John Dunford | | | 433.4 | Seek Amend ment | Oppose MRZ as it applies to 81 Fendalton Road | 81 Fendalton Road | Not stated | MRZ | RS | Reject | council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | |
| 409 | Brett Morell | | | 409.3 | Seek Amend ment | Oppose proposed MDZ height. Seeks to retain single storey housing in Belfast area (Planning Map 11) | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------|--|--|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---|---|
| 870 | Susanne Antill | | | 870.1 | Oppose | Oppose replacing existing residential zones in the city with two new ones - a medium density zone and a high density zone. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. Greater intensification (HRZ)-near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 792 | Carmel Woods | | | 792.1 8 | Oppose | Oppose Residential Suburban Zone as it applies to 100 to 300 Wainoni Road, seek that this area is included in the Medium Density Residential Zone. | RS zoned land from 100 to 300 Wainoni Road | MRZ | RS | RS | Reject Accept in- part | This area of Wainoni Road is located within the Tsunami Management Area, therefore RS zone is the most appropriate. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 440 | Sandi Singh | | | 440.7 | Oppose | Oppose the application of Medium | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant | Reject for reasons in |

| | Rezoning Requ | uesis – INP Re | Commenda | เมอกร | | | | | | | | | D I |
|-----|---------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
| | | | | | | Density Residential Zone across the city. | | | | | | residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. | Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 502 | Kyri Kotzikas | | | 502.5 | Oppose | Oppose the zoning of High Density Residential for Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street), and seek that it be Medium Density Residential zone. | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street are located within a walkable catchment to the City of centre zone. Greater intensification (HRZ) near this centre is directed by Policy 3(c) of the NPS-UD supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS-UD). | Accept for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | acoto – IIII INC | | | 6 | Destate of the | | D | No PC of | 0 | | Reasons for | Panel |
|-----|---|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Recommenda tion | Recommenda tion |
| 152 | Defyd Williams for Papanui Heritage Group | Papanui Heritage Group | | 152.6 | Oppose | Opposed to the imposition of the Medium Density Residential Zone as it is not considered necessary. The gradual building of infill housing, or blocks of single or double storey flats on empty sections, as is happening now, is considered to meet Papanui's future housing needs. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 151 | Defyd Williams for Papanui Heritage Group | Papanui Heritage Group | | 151.6 | Oppose | Opposed to the imposition of the Medium Density Residential Zone as it is not considered necessary. The gradual building of infill housing, or blocks of single or double storey flats on empty sections, as is happening now, is considered to meet Papanui's future housing needs. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Rezoning Requ Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|--|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| 232 | Kurt Higgison | | | 232.6 | Oppose | Opposes developments in already built areas and seeks that new development areas grow into new areas, | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 452 | Carolyn Mulholland | | | 452.2 | Oppose | Opposes Medium and/or High Density Residential zoning in Amyes Road, Hornby | Residential zoning in Amyes Road, Hornby | Not stated | MRZ / HRZ | RS / RMD / RSDT | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 122 | Philip Rance | | | 122.1 | Oppose | Opposes the increased level of housing intensification in areas indicated by the Council. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | | | | | 5 6 | | | | | | Reasons for | Panel |
|-----|-------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Recommenda | Recommenda |
| | | | Oi | | Oppose | Offig | | _ | _ | , and the second | | tion | tion |
| 822 | Emma Lewis | Naxos | | 822.1 | Oppose | Opposes zoning | 14 Field Terrace, | HRZ | MRZ | RS | Accept in-part | Field Terrace | Reject for |
| | for Naxos | Enterprises | | | | of 14 Field | Upper Riccarton | | | | | is not | reasons in |
| | Enterprises | Limited and | | | | Terrace, Upper | | | | | | identified as | Part 3, 4 and 5 |
| | Limited and | Trustees MW | | | | Riccarton. Seeks | | | | | | being within a | of the Report. |
| | Trustees MW | Limited | | | | that it is | | | | | | walkable | |
| | Limited | | | | | rezoned to HRZ. | | | | | | catchment to | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | a centre zone. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | However, is located | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | adjacent to a Policy 3 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | catchment | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | and is located | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | in very near | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | proximity to a | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | strong public | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | transport | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | corridor | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | (Riccarton | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Road) and the | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | South Express | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Cycleway and | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | therefore | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Policy 1 is | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | supportive of | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | greater | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | intensification | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | . If the | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | updated ANIA | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | is applied, this | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | area has also | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | been | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | identified as | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | being | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | appropriate | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | to be HRZ as | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | compensatory | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | intensification | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | due to the | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | loss of HRZ | |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | from the ANIA. | |
| 413 | Caroline May | | | 413.1 | Seek Amend ment | Opposes zoning that enables 3 storey buildings. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. This includes | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | uesis – ind Re | | | | 5 11 2 7 | | ъ | NI IICI I | | | Reasons for | Panel |
|-----|------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| | | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Recommenda tion | Recommenda tion |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | increasing building heights to accommodati on increased intensity. | |
| 67 | Rachel Davies | | | 67.20 | Seek Amend ment | Potentially redevelop existing large buildings into apartments e.g. Princess Margaret hospital (potentially moving the services offered there now to new premises to free up space not being used). | | | | | Reject | Plan Change 14 cannot require individual properties to be redeveloped. Council must apply MDRS to residential zones in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 149 | Curtis Bush | | | 149.2 | Oppose | Reconsider the rezoning of Therese Street, Spreydon which is proposed to go to Residential Medium Density. | Therese Street, Spreydon | Not stated | MRZ | RSDT | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| 303 | Bron Durdin | | | 303.2 | Seek Amend ment | Reduce extent of the application of the Medium Density Residential Zone to central city, inner city residential suburbs, or within a radius of 2km (example) of the central city. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 58 | Stephen Walsh | | | 58.3 | Seek Amend ment | Reduce the extent of the medium density [residential zone] | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 748 | Karen Fowke | | | 748.1 | Oppose | Reject Medium Density Dwellings in Paparoa Street | Paparoa Street | Not stated | MRZ / HRZ | RS | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an | |

| No. | Name Name | | On Behalf | Point | Support | Decision Sought | | Requested | Notified | Operative | _ | Reasons for | Panel |
|-----|------------------|--------------|-----------|-------|---------|---|----------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------------|---|---|
| | | Organisation | Of | No. | Oppose | Only | Address / Area | Zoning | Zoning | Zoning | Recommendation | Recommenda tion | Recommenda tion |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. | |
| 208 | Amie Cocking | | | 208.2 | Oppose | Reject the rule changes that allow for higher intensity residential development outside of the inner city (Four Avenues). | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 807 | Howard Pegram | | | 807.3 | Oppose | Remove blanket MDRS across the city. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| 108 | Charles Etherington | | | 108.2 | Oppose | Remove Medium Density Residential zoning in the inner suburbs | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 755 | Margaret Stewart | | | 755.1 | Oppose | Remove Medium Density Residential zoning. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 206 | Emma Wheeler | | | 206.2 | Seek Amend ment | Removing St James Avenue and Windermere Road from the intensification plan. | St James Avenue and Windermere Road, Papanui | Not stated | MRZ/HRZ | RS/RSDT | Reject | Parts of St James avenue and Windermere Road proposed to be zoned HRZ are located within a walkable catchment to the Papanui TCZ commercial centre zone. Greater | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | intensification (HRZ)-near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS-UD). | |
| 894 | Jacq Woods | | | 894.1 | Seek Amend ment | Replace HRZ with MRZ on Strowan blocks west of Papanui Road from Normans Road to Blighs Road, along Watson Road. | West of Papanui Road from Normans Road to Blighs Road, along Watford Street | MRZ | HRZ except 399 Papanui Road (MRZ) | RS | Reject | Areas in Strowan proposed to be zoned HRZ have been identified as being located within the Papanui TCZ commercial centre zone. Greater intensification (HRZ)-near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS-UD). | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--|---|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| 202 | Trevor Wilson | | | 202.1 | Seek Amend ment | Request the proposed Medium Density Residential Zone be extended to incorporate parts of Hollis & Bowe nvale Aves. and Lansdowne Tce. including Roseneath Place that are within walking distance, or 1km, of Centaurus Rd. and access to the Orbiter bus route. | Parts of Hollis & Bowen vale Aves. and Lansdowne Tce. including Roseneath Place | MRZ | RS/RH with LPTAA | RS/RH | Accept in-part | The parts of Hollis Avenue, Bowenvale Avenue and Roseneath Place are within a walkable catchment to a core bus route and should be rezoned MRZ. This should apply to similar sites. | Accept for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 877 | Ed Leeston for Otautahi Community Housing Trust | Otautahi Community Housing Trust | | 877.1 | Support | Retain MRZ over areas where MRZ is proposed in PC14 as notified. | | | | | Accept | The support for MRZ as notified is noted. | Accept for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 9 of the Report |
| 852 | Jo Appleyard for Christchurch International Airport Limited (CIAL) | Christchurch International Airport Limited (CIAL) | | 852.2 | Seek Amend ment | Retain the operative District Plan residential zones beneath the contours, rather than apply the MRZ and HRZ. | ANIA extent | | | | Accept in-part | The vast majority of areas are proposed to retain operative zoning, however it has been recommende d that areas around | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| | Rezoning Requ | uests – IHP Re | commenda | แบบร | | | | | | | | | D I |
|-----|---------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Riccarton are upzoned to either HRZ or MRZ. | |
| 323 | Darryl Swann | | | 323.1 | Seek Amend ment | Retain the operative District Plan zoning of land outside the Centre City. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 132 | Tiffany Boyle | | | 132.1 | Oppose | Revoke the idea of high rise housing buildings in Hornby and work to rebuild existing infrastructure to handle the current demand in the area. | | MRZ | HRZ | RS/ RSDT/ RMD | Reject | Council must apply Policy 3 of the NPS-UD to provide increased height within walkable catchments of Town Centres and existing or planned rapid transit networks. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| 827 | Anita Collie for MGZ Investments Limited | MGZ Investments Limited | | 827.5 | Seek Amend ment | Rezone 65 Parkston Avenue, Ilam and surrounding area from Medium Density to High Density. | 65 Parkston Avenue, Ilam and surrounding MRZ area | HRZ | MRZ | RS | Reject | The site and surrounding neighbourhoo d is not located within a walkable catchment of a large commercial centre Town Centre or rapid transit network. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 785 | Vanessa Wells | | | 785.2 | Seek Amend ment | Rezone area from Main North Road south east to medium density housing. | HRZ area southeast from Main North Road to Grants Road | MRZ | HRZ | RMD | Reject | Areas proposed to be HRZ as notified have been identified as located within the Papanui TCZ commercial centre zene. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| lo. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|----------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 21 | Cameron | | | 121.2 | Seek | Re-zone areas | Core bus routes | | | | Reject in-part | Council is | Reject in part |
| | Matthews | | | 7 | Amend | to at least 4- | | | | | | required to | for reasons in |
| | | | | | ment | storeys within | | | | | | intensify | Part 3, 4 and |
| | | | | | | walkable | | | | | | beyond MDRS | of the Repor |
| | | | | | | catchments | | | | | | only within | |
| | | | | | | from Core Bus | | | | | | Policy 3 | |
| | | | | | | Routes (Orbiter, | | | | | | catchments. | |
| | | | | | | #1, #3, #5, #7, | | | | | | Many bus | |
| | | | | | | and eventually | | | | | | routes | |
| | | | | | | any future Core | | | | | | however | |
| | | | | | | Bus Routes such | | | | | | traverse | |
| | | | | | | as the #28). | | | | | | centres where | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | four to six | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | storey | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | buildings are | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | enabled, or | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | where | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | catchments | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | are proposed | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | to be | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | extended | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | through Policy | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 due to the | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | presence of | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | strong public | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | transport | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | corridors. | |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| 121 | Cameron Matthews | | | 121.2 | Seek Amend ment | Re-zone areas to at least 4- storeys within walkable catchments of Major Cycle Routes. | | | | | Reject in-part | Council is required to intensify beyond MDRS only within Policy 3 catchments. A number of Major Cycle Routes however traverse centres where four to six storey buildings are enabled, or where catchments are proposed to be extended through Policy 1 due to the presence of strong public and/or active transport corridors. | Reject in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 68 | Darren Fabri | | | 68.1 | Seek Amend ment | Rezone John Paterson Drive from rural to residential. | John Paterson Drive | Residential | RuUF | RuUF | Reject | Land is adjacent to MRZ located between Knights Stream and Halswell Junction Road. The land is adjacent to the NZTA Future Works designation. However, the rezoning of Rural zoning to Residential zoning is out of scope of this Plan Change as it does not give effect to Policy 3 of the NPS UD. NOTE: See additional discussion in IHP request #34 accept / | Reject for reasons of scope in Part 1 and 7 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| 784 | Jessica Adams | | | 784.1 | Oppose | Rezone Prestons subdivision from MRZ to Residential Suburban Zone. | Prestons Park Drive, Marshland | RS | MRZ | RNN | Reject | This area is subject to a relevant residential zone and MRZ is considered appropriate as it is newly developed. The Wastewater Constraints QM manages development relative to wastewater capacity. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and of the Report |
| 210 | Victor Ong | | | 210.3 | Seek Amend ment | Rezone Rural Urban Fringe (RuUF) around 565 Yaldhurt Road to Medium Density Residential (MRZ) | 565 Yaldhurst Road and surrounding RuUF | MRZ | RuUF | RuUF | Reject | This site is located within an area surrounded by RuUF zoned land. Rezoning this site to residential zone would not give effect to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. NOTE: See additional discussion in IHP request #34 accept / reject tables. | Reject for of scope in Part 1 and 7 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| 789 | Eric Woods | | | 789.1 | Seek Amend ment | Rezone the area from 135 to 185 Wainoni Road (and further afield), to "Medium Density Residential Zone" [instead of Residential Suburban] | RS zoned land from 135 to 185 Wainoni Road (and further afield) | MRZ | RS | RS | Accept in-part | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility Area QM. A recommendat ion has been made to rezone areas within this QM as MRZ and a Precinct to manage the QM outcomes. Areas within the Tsunami Management Area should remain RS. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 800 | Ramon Gelonch Roca | | | 800.1 | Seek Amend ment | Rezone the area from 135 to 185 Wainoni Road and beyond to "Medium Density Residential Zone"[From Residential Suburban zone]. | RS zoned land from 135 to 185 Wainoni Road and beyond | MRZ | RS | RS | Accept in-part | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility Area QM. A recommendat ion has been made to rezone areas within this QM as MRZ and a Precinct to manage the QM outcomes. Areas within the Tsunami Management | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf | Point | Support | Decision Sought | Address / Area | Requested | Notified | Operative | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda | Panel Recommenda |
|-----|------------|--------------|-----------|------------|-----------------------|---|--|-----------|----------|-----------|----------------|--|---|
| | | Organisation | Of | No. | Oppose | Only | Addi C33 / Ai Ca | Zoning | Zoning | Zoning | Recommendation | tion | tion |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Area should remain RS. | |
| 789 | Eric Woods | | | 789.1 6 | Seek Amend ment | rezone the area from 157 to 193 Wainoni Road (and further afield), to "Medium Density Residential Zone" [instead of Residential Suburban] | RS zoned land from 157 to 193 Wainoni Road and beyond | MRZ | RS | RS | Accept in-part | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility Area QM. A recommendat ion has been made to rezone areas within this QM as MRZ and a Precinct to manage the QM outcomes. Areas within the Tsunami Management Area should remain RS. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|---|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| 800 | Ramon Gelonch Roca | | | 800.1 | Seek Amend ment | Rezone the area spanning from approximately 100 to 300 Wainoni Road and beyond as a "Medium Density Residential Zone"[from Residential Suburban zone]. | RS zoned land from 100 to 300 Wainoni Road and beyond | MRZ | RS | RS | Accept in-part | This area is within a Low Public Transport Accessibility Area QM. A recommendat ion has been made to rezone areas within this QM as MRZ and a Precinct to manage the QM outcomes. Areas within the Tsunami Management Area should remain RS. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 32 | Guy Mortlock | | | 32.1 | Seek Amend ment | Rezone the block bounded by Creyke Road, Ilam Road, Wilfrid Street and Barlow Street from Residential Suburban Zone to either Medium Density Residential Zone or Residential Suburban Density Transition Zone | | MRZ or RSDT | RS/RSDT | RS/RSDT | Reject | The neighbourhoo d block is within the Airport Noise Influence Area (ANIA) qualifying matter, and therefore maintaining the operative zoning is recommende d. | Accept for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| 800 | Ramon Gelonch Roca | | | 800.1 5 | Seek Amend ment | Rezone the Residential Suburban area of Keyes Road to "Medium Density Residential Zone" | Residential Suburban area of Keyes Road | MRZ | RS | RS | Reject | Keyes Road is located within a Low Public Transport Accessibility Area and Tsunami Management Area, therefore RS zone is the most appropriate. | Reject for reasons in Part 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 789 | Eric Woods | | | 789.1 | Seek Amend ment | Rezone the Residential Suburban portion of Keyes Road, to "Medium Density Residential Zone | | MRZ | RS | RS | Reject | Keyes Road is located within a Low Public Transport Accessibility Area and Tsunami Management Area, therefore RS zone is the most appropriate. | Reject for reasons in Part 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 398 | Jan Mitchell | | | 398.2 | Seek Amend ment | Seek amendment to only apply new intensification rules to new subdivisions. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| 1023 | Cyril Warren Price | | | 1023. | Seek Amend ment | Seek that Paparoa Street, Papanui, Christchurch become part of a Residential Suburban Zone restricted to urban residential living. | Paparoa Street, Papanui | RS | MRZ / HRZ | RS | Reject | Parts of Paparoa Street proposed to be zoned HRZ as this is are located within a walkable catchment to the Papanui TCZ commercial centre zones. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 298 | Mason Plato | | | 298.4 | Oppose | Seek to remove Medium Density Residential Zone. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | | | | | | | _ | | | | Reasons for | Panel |
|-----|------------------|--------------|-----------|-------|---------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Organisation | On Behalf | Point | Support | Decision Sought | Address / Area | Requested | Notified | Operative | Recommendation | Recommenda | Recommenda |
| | | | Of | No. | Oppose | Only | | Zoning | Zoning | Zoning | | tion | tion |
| 286 | Millie | | | 286.2 | Seek | Seek to | West side of | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Parts of | Accept in part |
| | Silvester | | | | Amend | rezone west | Paparoa Street | | | | | Paparoa | for reasons in |
| | | | | | ment | side of Paparoa | | | | | | Street | Part 3, 4 and 5 |
| | | | | | | Street to | | | | | | proposed to | of the Report. |
| | | | | | | Medium | | | | | | be zoned HRZ | |
| | | | | | | Density | | | | | | as this is are | |
| | | | | | | Residential | | | | | | located within | |
| | | | | | | instead, like the | | | | | | a walkable | |
| | | | | | | east side. We | | | | | | catchment to | |
| | | | | | | propose that | | | | | | the Papanui | |
| | | | | | | the | | | | | | <u>TCZ</u> | |
| | | | | | | demarcation of | | | | | | <u>commercial</u> | |
| | | | | | | High Density | | | | | | centre zones . | |
| | | | | | | Residential zone | | | | | | Greater | |
| | | | | | | be redrawn | | | | | | intensification | |
| | | | | | | much closer to | | | | | | (HRZ) near | |
| | | | | | | Northlands | | | | | | centres <u>is</u> | |
| | | | | | | Mall. This will | | | | | | directed by | |
| | | | | | | still allow for | | | | | | Policy 3 of the | |
| | | | | | | more housing | | | | | | NPS-UD | |
| | | | | | | without | | | | | | supports a | |
| | | | | | | impacting the | | | | | | well- | |
| | | | | | | residents in the | | | | | | functioning | |
| | | | | | | area, as stated | | | | | | urban | |
| | | | | | | above, and | | | | | | environment | |
| | | | | | | ruining what | | | | | | (Policy 1 NPS- | |
| | | | | | | makes Paparoa | | | | | | UD). | |
| | | | | | | Street a prime | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | example of the | | | | | | | |
| 287 | Mark Nichols | | | 287.2 | Seek | Garden City. Seek densificati | | | | | Dojoct | Council must | Dojoct for |
| 201 | IVIALK IVICTIOIS | | | 201.2 | Amend | on in a planned | | | | | Reject | apply MDRS | Reject for reasons in |
| | | | | | ment | and staged way | | | | | | to <u>relevant</u> | Part 3, 4 and 5 |
| | | | | | ment | by staging the | | | | | | residential | of the Report. |
| | | | | | | effective date of | | | | | | zones and | от тне керогт. |
| | | | | | | the zoning | | | | | | provide an | |
| | | | | | | changes in for | | | | | | intensificatio | |
| | | | | | | example rings | | | | | | n response in | |
| | | | | | | coming out | | | | | | accordance | |
| | | | | | | from the city | | | | | | with Policy 3 | |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | centre and/or major shopping areas, so that the densification occurs in a structured way over time, rather than in a haphazard way across most of the city. This will allow for a more staged build out of the infrastructure required to support the densification. | | | | | | of the NPS UD. | |
| 381 | Kate Gregg | | | 381.1 | Seek Amend ment | Seeks that Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) be identified in the Christchurch District Pan as a Medium Density Residential zone and a Residential Character Overlay Area and be made subject to the rules that apply | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street are located within a walkable catchment to the City of centre zone. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well- functioning | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | to Residential Character areas. | | | | | | urban environment (Policy 1 NPS- UD). | |
| 838 | Georgie McLaughlin | | | 838.2 | Seek Amend ment | Seeks that Strowan is rezoned from HRZ to MRZ (Halton Street, Hawthorne Street, Watford Street, Normans Road). | Area bounded by Halton Street, Hawthorne Street, Watford Street, Normans Road | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Areas in Strowan which are proposed to be HRZ are located within walkable catchments to the Papanui TCZ commercial centre. Greater intensification near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of NPS-UD). | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|---|---|
| 775 | Brigitte Masse | | | 775.1 | Oppose | Seeks to retain streets in Spreydon as Residential Suburban Density Transition Zone, as opposed to zoning them as Medium Residential Zone. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 352 | Janice Lavelle | | | 352.1 | Not Stated | Seriously rethink the Medium Density Residential zones across Christchurch. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 788 | Marc Duff | | | 788.8 | Support | Supports MRZ zoning in Hornby. Change HRZ to MRZ zoning. | HRZ land in Hornby | MRZ | HRZ | RS / RSDT / RMD | Partially accept | The support for MRZ zoning is noted. Where areas have been identified as HRZ, they are located within walkable catchments of larger commercial centres. Greater intensification | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|--|---|----------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 of NPS-UD). | |
| 110 | Marie Mullins | | | 110.1 | Support | Supports the zoning of property at 18 Kauri Street as medium density. | 18 Kauri Street | Supports MRZ | MRZ | RS | Accept | The support for MRZ zoning as notified is noted. | Accept for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 159 | Jenny Crooks | | | 159.1 | Seek Amend ment | That 25a Greenhaven Drive, Burwood, be rezoned from Rural Urban Fringe Zone to residential (Medium Density Residential Zoning preferred). | 25a Greenhaven Drive, Burwood | MRZ | Rural Urban Fringe, Residentia I Suburban Zone (lesser) | Rural Urban Fringe, Residential Suburban Zone (lesser) | Reject in-part | The residential area directly adjacent along Greenhaven Drive, Burwood is recommende d as MRZ since the #7 bus route is a high frequency route and the zoned RSZ with Qualifying Matters: Low Public | Accept in part for residential zone for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. Reject in relation to RuUF, for reasons of scope in Part 1 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | | 1407 | | | Transport Accessibility Area qualifying matter should not apply and Water body Setback. The site is otherwise surrounded by RuUF zone and OCP zone. Rezoning of rural land is not within scope of this Plan Change as it does not give effect to Policy 3 of the NPS UD. | |
| 215 | Graham Thomas Blackett | | | 215.1 | Seek Amend ment | That all of the area of St Albans north of Bealey Avenue and south of Edgeware Road be zoned Medium Density Residential [instead of High Density Residential]. | HRZ land north of Bealey Avenue and south of Edgeware Road | MRZ | HRZ | RMD | Reject | Areas of St Albans zoned HRZ are identified as being located within a walkable catchment to the City centre zone. Greater intensification (HRZ) near this centre is directed by Policy 3(c) of the NPS-UD | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | uests – IHP Re Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | and supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS- UD). | |
| 119 | Tracey Strack | | | 119.1 | Seek Amend ment | That Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) be identified in the Christchurch District Pan as a Medium Density Residential zone and a Residential Character Overlay Area and be made subject to the rules that apply to Residential Character areas: or, If Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street are located within a walkable catchment of the Papanui TCZ commercial centre zone. Greater intensification (HRZ)-near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS-UD). | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | Street) are not included as a Residential Character Area, that the Area be zoned Medium Density Residential | | | | | | | |
| 164 | James and Adriana Baddeley | | | 164.2 | Seek Amend ment | That Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) be identified in the Christchurch District Pan as a Medium Density Residential zone and a Residential Character Overlay Area. | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street are located within a walkable catchment of the Papanui TCZ commercial centre zone. Greater intensification (HRZ)-near | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS-UD) | |
| 376 | Colin Gregg | | | 376.3 | Seek Amend ment | That Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) be be zoned Medium Density Residential [instead of HRZ] | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street (to Rossall Street) | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Helmores Lane, Desmond Street and Rhodes Street are located within a walkable catchment of the Papanui TCZ commercial centre zone. Greater intensification (HRZ)-near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS-UD) | Accept for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| 326 | Vivienne Boyd | | | 326.2 | Seek Amend ment | That higher density housing [is not enabled] on narrow, no exit streets. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS UD. Narrow and no-exit streets are not a qualifying matter which precludes this, however building form provisions have been proposed to mitigate the effect of HRZ development. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| 3333 | Eric Ackroyd | | | 333.3 | Seek Amend ment | That higher density housing development be prioritised in the city centre ahead of other residential zones. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well-functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS-UD). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 9 of the Report |
| 211 | Pauline McEwen | | | 211.1 | Seek Amend ment | That the area at the eastern end of Rugby Street be zoned Medium Density Residential instead of the proposed High Density Residential | HRZ land at eastern end of Rugby Street | MRZ | HRZ | RMD | Reject | Rugby Street is within a walkable catchment of Papanui and therefore proposed to be HRZ. Greater intensification (HRZ) near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS- UD). | |
| 162 | Jill Edwards | | | 162.1 | Oppose | That the area surrounding and including Rose st should require a resource consent for development and that the area be zoned as a suburban character area | Residential land surrounding Rose Street | suburban character area | MRZ | RS | Reject | Rose Street is proposed to be zoned MRZ as notified. Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 888 | David Smithson | | | 888.2 | Seek Amend ment | That the Council change the HRZ which is proposed for the eastern blocks of Strowan from Normans Road to Blighs Road to MRZ. | HRZ land east of Strowan from Normans Road to Blighs Road | MRZ | HRZ except 399 Papanui Road (MRZ) | RS | Reject | Parts of Strowan proposed to be zoned HRZ are located within a walkable catchment of the Papanui TCZ commercial | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf | Point | Support | Decision Sought | Address / Area | Requested | Notified | Operative | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda | Panel Recommenda |
|-----|--------------------|--------------|-----------|-------|-----------------------|--|--|-----------|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|---|
| | | | Of | No. | Oppose | Only | | Zoning | Zoning | Zoning | | tion | tion |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | centre zone. Greater intensification (HRZ)-near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS-UD). | |
| 328 | Bruce Taylor | | | 328.2 | Seek Amend ment | That the eastern side of Allister Avenue (Merivale) be zoned MRZ | Eastern side of Allister Avenue, Merivale | MRZ | HRZ | RS | Reject | Part of Allister Avenue zoned HRZ is identified as being within the walkable catchment of the Merivale LCZ. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 709 | Philippa Tucker | | | 709.4 | Seek Amend ment | That the northwest side of Windermere Road is not zoned Medium Density Residential | MRZ land northwest side of Windermere Road | Not MRZ | MRZ | RS | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 172 | Traci Mendiola | | | 172.1 | Seek Amend ment | That the property located at 8 Gilders Grove, | 8 Gilders Grove, Heathcote | MRZ | Rural Urban Fringe Zone, | Rural Urban Fringe Zone, Residential | Reject Accept in- part | Site is directly adjacent to RHZ and is Low Public | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommen tion |
|------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | | | Heathcote, | | | Residentia | Hill Zone | | Transport | Reject for |
| | | | | | Christchurch to | | | I Hill Zone | (lesser) | | Accessibility | RuUF for |
| | | | | | be rezoned | | | (lesser) | | | Area | reasons of |
| | | | | | [from Rural | | | | | | Qualifying | scope in Pa |
| | | | | | Urban Fringe | | | | | | Matter. Part of the | 1 of the |
| | | | | | zone to] Medium | | | | | | alternative | Report. |
| | | | | | Density | | | | | | proposal is to | |
| | | | | | Residential | | | | | | re-zoning this | |
| | | | | | Zone. | | | | | | as MRZ, with | |
| | | | | | Zoric. | | | | | | a Precinct to | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | manage | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | density in | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | accordance | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | with the QM. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | The #8 bus is | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | recognised as | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | a high | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | frequency bus | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | service, | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | meaning that | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | the Low | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | <u>Public</u> | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | <u>Transport</u> | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Accessibility | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Area should | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | not apply. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | MRZ should | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | apply to the | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Residential | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Hill zoned | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | proportion of the site; rural | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | zones are | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | considered | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | out of scope | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | for PC14 as | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | they are not a | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | relevant | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | residential | |

| | Rezoning Requ | uests – IHP Re | commenda | tions | | | | | | | | _ | Daniel |
|-----|---------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | vone or part of the urban environment. NOTE: See additional discussion in IHP request #34 accept / reject tables. | |
| 895 | Tim Priddy | | | 895.2 | Seek Amend ment | That the proposed High Density Residential Zone (HRZ) for the blocks in the Strowan area, west of Papanui Road, from Normans Road to Blighs Road be revised to Medium Density Residential Zone (MRZ). | HRZ land west of Papanui Road from Normans Road to Blighs Road | MRZ | HRZ except 399 Papanui Rd (MRZ) | RS | Reject | Areas in Strowan which are proposed to be HRZ are located within walkable catchments to the Papanui TCZ commercial centre. Greater intensification near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS- UD). | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|---|---|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| 138 | Mathias Roehring | | | 138.1 | Seek Amend ment | That the boundary of Residential Suburban Zoning and Medium Density Residential zoning within the block between Tauiwi Crescent and Ranui Street be moved to either Tauiwi Crescent and Ranui Street. | The block between Tauiwi Crescent and Ranui Street | RS or MRZ (shifting boundary so it is one zone?) | RS, MRZ | RS | Reject in-part | The part of Tauiwi Cresent in RS is located within a Low Public Transport Accessibility Area qualifying matter. The remainder of Tauiwi Crescent and Ranui Street are proposed to be MRZ as within in a 200m buffer from HRZ zoning to create a suitable transition. | Accept for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 29 | Malcolm Leigh | | | 29.1 | Seek Amend ment | That Blair Avenue in Papanui will be rezoned from High Density Residential Zoning to Medium Density Residential Zoning through the application of a Qualifying Matter. | Blair Avenue | MRZ | HRZ | MRZ | Reject | Blair Avenue is identified as being within a walkable catchment to Papanui centre. Greater intensification near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a well- functioning | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | urban environment (Policy 1 NPS- UD). | |
| 121 | Cameron Matthews | | | 121.3 | Seek Amend ment | The area around the existing passenger rail station in Addington and around other feasible station locations such as Hornby, Riccarton, Papanui, Sydenham/Moo rhouse, Heathcote Valley and Lyttelton, be zoned for at least HRZ, MUZ or equivalent density, such that they are at least above baseline MRZ density limits. | | HRZ / MRZ with Precinct | HRZ & MRZ | RS / RSDT / RMD | Reject in-part | There are no current or planned rail services that can be considered as rapid transport stops and therefore Policy 3 cannot apply. Council will be required to intensify as per Policy 3(c)(i) of the NPS-UD once such stops are identified within the RLTP. | Reject in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| N | lo. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|----|-----|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|--|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| 1: | 21 | Cameron Matthews | | | 121.3 | Seek Amend ment | The area around the existing passenger rail station in Addington and around other feasible station locations such as Hornby, Riccarton, Papanui, Sydenham/Moorhouse, Heathcote Valley and Lyttelton, should be zoned for at least HRZ, MUZ or equivalent density, such that they are at least above baseline MRZ density limits. | | HRZ / MRZ with Precinct | HRZ & MRZ | RS / RSDT / RMD | Reject in-part | There are no current or planned rail services that can be considered as rapid transport stops and therefore Policy 3 cannot apply. Council will be required to intensify as per Policy 3(c)(i) of the NPS-UD once such stops are identified within the RLTP. | Reject in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| 351 | Jono de Wit | | | 351.4 | Seek Amend ment | The area north of Riccarton road and west of Straven Road should be HRZ not MRZ | The area north of Riccarton road and west of Straven Road | HRZ | MRZ | RS | Reject | The area north of Riccarton Road and west of Straven Road is adjacent to the Riccarton Bush Interface Area. This Qualifying Matter protects the heritage landscape of Riccarton Bush by limiting development within the overlay to 8m in height (two storeys) and related bulk and location controls. Areas beyond this a limited to medium density only (12m), rather than the 20m high density surrounds. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 475 | Rachel Sanders | | | 475.9 | Seek Amend ment | The attached document captures the details why we believe it is correct to retain Mount Pleasant as Residential Hills rather than MDRS. Notable topics are: Significance of Port Hills Aesthetics | | RH | RH, RS | RH | Partially accept Reject in-part | The suburb of Mount Pleasant is recommende d to be zoned MRZ with the Suburban Hill Density Precinct applied in response proposed to retain either residential Hills Zone or Residential Suburban Zone due to the qualifying matter of Low Public Transport Accessibility Area. The precinct reduces development to a scale comparable to the operative zone, subject to some exemptions. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| o. Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|---|
| 75 Rachel Sanders | | | 475.1 0 | Seek Amend ment | The attached document captures the details why we believe it is correct to retain Mount Pleasant as Residential Hills rather than MDRS. Notable topics are: Emergency egress | | | RH, RS | | Partially accept | The suburb of Mount Pleasant is recommende d to be zoned MRZ with the Suburban Hill Density Precinct applied in response proposed to retain either residential Hills Zone or Residential Suburban Zone due to the qualifying matter of Low Public Transport Accessibility Area. The precinct reduces development to a scale comparable to the operative zone, subject to some exemptions. The accessibility of emergency services has been | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | addressed within Chapter 7 standards (Appendix 7.5.7), adding further requirements for hill development. | |
| 475 | Rachel Sanders | | | 475.5 | Seek Amend ment | The attached document captures the details why we believe it is correct to retain Mount Pleasant as Residential Hills rather than MDRS. Notable topics are: Emergency service access | | | RH, RS | | Partially accept | The suburb of Mount Pleasant is recommende d to be zoned MRZ with the Suburban Hill Density Precinct applied in response proposed to retain either residential Hills Zone or Residential Suburban Zone due to the qualifying matter of Low Public Transport Accessibility Area. The precinct reduces development to a scale comparable to the | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|---|--|
| 475 | Rachel Sanders | | | 475.7 | Seek Amend ment | The attached document captures the details why we believe it is correct to retain Mount Pleasant as Residential Hills rather than MDRS. Notable topics are: Lack of Amenities | | | RH, RS | | Partially accept | operative zone, subject to some exemptions. The accessibility of emergency services has been specifically addressed within Chapter 7 standards (Appendix 7.5.7), adding further requirements for hill development. The suburb of Mount Pleasant is recommende d to be zoned MRZ with the Suburban Hill Density Precinct applied in response proposed to retain either residential Hills Zone or Residential Suburban Zone due to the qualifying matter of Low | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Public Transport Accessibility Area. The precinct reduces development to a scale comparable to the operative zone, subject to some exemptions. | |
| 475 | Rachel Sanders | | | 475.6 | Seek Amend ment | The attached document captures the details why we believe it is correct to retain Mount Pleasant as Residential Hills rather than MDRS. Notable topics are: Pedestrian Safety Cyclist Safety | | | RH, RS | | Partially accept | The suburb of Mount Pleasant is recommende d to be zoned MRZ with the Suburban Hill Density Precinct applied in response proposed to retain either residential Hills Zone or Residential Suburban Zone due to the qualifying matter of Low Public Transport Accessibility Area. The precinct reduces | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | development to a scale comparable to the operative zone, subject to some exemptions. | |
| | Rachel Sanders | | | 475.8 | Seek Amend ment | The attached document captures the details why we believe it is correct to retain Mount Pleasant as Residential Hills rather than MDRS. Notable topics are: Sewerage and Storm Water Drainage | | | RH, RS | | Partially accept | The suburb of Mount Pleasant is recommende d to be zoned MRZ with the Suburban Hill Density Precinct applied in response proposed to retain either residential Hills Zone or Residential Suburban Zone due to the qualifying matter of Low Public Transport Accessibility Area. The precinct reduces development to a scale comparable | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-------------------|--|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| 190 | Ross Boswell | | | 190.1 | Seek | The block | The block | MRZ | HRZ | RSDT, RMD | Reject | to the operative zone, subject to some exemptions. The Port Hills Stormwater OM is also proposed to apply to better manage stormwater effects on residential hill areas. | Accept in part |
| | | | | | Amend ment | bounded by Riccarton Rd, Harakeke St, Kilmarnock St and the railway line should be included as MRZ (Medium- density residential zone). | bounded by Riccarton Rd, Harakeke St, Kilmarnock St and the railway line | | | | | bounded by Riccarton Road, Harakeke Street, Kilmarnock Street and the railway line is located within a walkable catchment of a Riccarton TCZ commercial centre zone. Greater intensification (HRZ)-near centres is directed by Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and supports a | for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | well- functioning urban environment (Policy 1 NPS- UD). | |
| 327 | Mike Oxlong | | | 327.4 | Oppose | The submitter opposes the Medium Density Residential zone. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 1061 | Elizabeth Harris | | | 1061. | Oppose | The submitter seeks that 31 Cashel Street and the surrounding sites be rezoned to High Density Residential. | 31 Cashel street and surrounding sites | HRZ | MRZ | RCC | Reject | A Residential Heritage Area applies to this area, and therefore MRZ zoning is the most appropriate. | Accept for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 67 | Rachel Davies | | | 67.5 | Seek Amend ment | Three storey housing should only be found in and close to the city centre, not in existing older suburban areas. | | | | | Reject | Council must apply MDRS to relevant residential zones and provide an intensificatio n response in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--|--|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| 592 | Clive Smith for Northwood Residents' Association | Northwood Residents' Association | | 592.1 | Oppose | To not proceed with the rezoning of part of Northwood subdivision [from Residential Suburban to Medium Density Residential]. | Northwood | RS | MRZ | RS | Reject | The area of Northwood zoned MRZ is not restricted by Qualifying Matters and is located close to the TCZ and Main North Road. The Local Centre Intensificatio n Precinct is proposed to apply around this centre in response to the diminished current and prospective future state of the centre. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 431 | Sonia Bell | _ | - | 431.2 | Seek Amend ment | To re-access the existing council flats such as at the beginning of Main South Road and better utilize the land for low cost housing here and on other Council rental properties. | - | NOT A REZONING REQUEST | - | - | Reject | This submission refers to the management and design matters rather than PC rezening matters. | |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 901 | John Hudson | - | | 901.1 6 | Oppose | Walking distance to public transport being the measure of as to whether the area is RS or MDRS is irrelevant. | | NOT A REZONING REQUEST | | | Reject | NPS-UD Policy 3c clearly directs Council to allow for increased density within walkable catchments to public transport, among others. | |
| 475 | Rachel Sanders | | | 475.1 | Seek Amend ment | We would like the Council to uphold PC14 in relation to Mount Pleasant, and similar areas in the Port Hills, remaining Residential Hills zone rather than being redesignated MDRS, for the reasons detailed in our submission. Not upholding it will increase risk to people's safety and increased environmental harm. | | RHZ | RHZ | RHZ | Accept Reject | Submission supports proposed RHZ. The suburb of Mount Pleasant is recommende d to be zoned MRZ with the Suburban Hill Density Precinct applied in response to the qualifying matter of Low Public Transport Accessibility Area. The precinct reduces development to a scale | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|----------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | comparable to the operative zone, subject to some exemptions. The Port Hills Stormwater QM is also proposed to apply to better manage stormwater effects on residential hill areas. | |
| 355 | Elisabeth Stevens | | | 355.1 | Seek Amend ment | Zone [all of Hawthorne Street Papanui Medium Density Residential]. | Hawthorne Street Papanui | MRZ | 71 Hawthorn e Street (HRZ), All other properties (RS) | RS | Reject | As notified, the split zoning along Hawthorne Street is a result of the walkable catchment boundary. However, the recommendat ion is now for walking catchments to increase from Papanui TCZ, which would result in all of Hawthorne Street being zoned HRZ. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--|---|
| 792 | Carmel Woods | | | 792.1 7 | Oppose | Oppose Residential Suburban Zone as it applies to 135 to 185 Wainoni Road. Seek that it be zoned MRZ. | 135 to 185 Wainoni Road | MRZ | RS | RS | Reject Accept in- part | The sites and surrounding area along Wainoni Road are covered by three Qualifying Matters that restrict further intensification . The RS zoning is considered appropriate. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 896 | Claire Coveney | | | 896.1 | Seek Amend ment | Seeks that all medium density housing is located near cycleways and rail corridors, and away from wetlands and rivers. | | | | | Accept in-part | MDRS must be applied to all relevant residential zones and only reduced subject to qualifying matters. These have been identified for waterbodies, requiring buildings to have a greater setback. Further intensificatio n beyond MDRS can only be applied around commercial | |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|------|--|--------------|--|-------|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | centres in accordance with Policy 3 of the NPS- UD. | |
| 176 | David Gibbons | | | 176.2 | Seek Amend ment | Remove the High Density Residential Zoning on Allister Avenue and within 500m of Elmwood School on Leinster Road. | Allister Avenue and within 500m of Elmwood School on Leinster Road. | Not Stated | HRZ | RS | Reject | This area is subject to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD, being within a walkable catchment to the Merivale LCZ. HRZ has been proposed accordingly and no qualifying matter identified around schools. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 1004 | Sally Dixon on behalf of 17 Bellvue Avenue, Papanui, Christchurch | | 17 Bellvue Avenue, Papanui, Christchu rch | 1004. | Oppose | Oppose intensification on Windermere Rd and St James Avenue - [adjoining Papanui War Memorial Avenue heritage item #1459] | | | | | Reject | The qualifying matter ensures the protection of the heritage of the street itself, rather than residential properties that front St | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|------|---|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---|----------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | James Avenue. | |
| 765 | Margaret Howley | | | 765.1 | Oppose | Oppose MRZ and any intensification of housing in Papanui streets which include the Papanui WWII Memorial Plantings. | | | | | Reject | The qualifying matter ensures the protection of the heritage of the street itself, rather than residential properties that front St James Avenue. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 1010 | Robert Forsyth on behalf of Myself | | Myself | 1010. | Oppose | The submitter opposes the rezoning of Beverley Street as Medium Density Residential. The submitter requests that for any decision to remove the heritage requirements or change the zoning of Beverley Street to higher | | Change to higher density and remove Caracter Area (says Heritage but the maps says Character) | MRZ, Character Area | RSDT | Reject | Ms White has recommende d that this Character Area is removed due to not meeting the criteria established through Objectives of the NPS-UD (s77L(b)). | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---|---|-----------------|------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | density the council undertake a traffic impact study to ensure the safety of residents and the impacts of the heritage removal. | | | | | | | |
| 443 | Christine Hetherington for Summerset Group Holdings Limited | Summerset Group Holdings Limited | | 443.1 0 | Seek Amend ment | Extend the MDRZ zoning to the entire Summerset on Cavendish village (147 Cavendish Road, Casebrook, Christchurch), and legally described as Lot 1 DP 519380 (record of title 815809). | | MRZ zoning for whole site | MRZ and FUZ | RNN | Accept | Council accepts that extending MRZ meets the rationale used for MRZ over operative RNN areas as development has been granted and us underway. | Accept for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|--|--|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|--|--|
| 884 | Fiona Aston for Troy Lange | Troy Lange | | 884.2 | Seek Amend ment | Rezone 120, 100, 88, 76, 68, 66, 60, 46, 44, 42, 40 and 38 Hawthornden Road Future Urban Zone or Medium Density Residential. | 120, 100, 88, 76, 68, 66, 60, 46, 44, 42, 40 and 38 Hawthornden Road | FUZ or MRZ | 120 and 100 Hawthorn den Road (RuUF and RS) 88, 76, 68, 66, 60, 46, 44, 42, 40 and 38 Hawthorn den Road (RuUF) | RuUF | Reject Please also see the s42A Report of Ian Bayliss. | The scope of Plan Change 14 is limited to giving affect to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and apply MDRS in relevant residetnial zones. As this rezoning request is not for enabling building heights of density in an applicable Policy 3 centre, it is out of scope of the plan change pursuant to Section 77G of the RMA. NOTE: See additional discussion in IHP request #34 accept / reject tables. | Reject for reasons of scope in Part 1 and 7 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--|---|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|--|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 880 | Fiona Aston for Cathedral City Development Ltd | Cathedral City Development Ltd | | 880.3 | Seek Amend ment | Rezone 85 Harry Ell Drive as MRZ or FUZ. | 85 Harry Ell Drive | MRZ or FUZ | RuPH | RuPH | Reject | The scope of Plan Change 14 is limited to giving affect to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and apply MDRS in relevant residential zones. As this rezoning request is not for enabling building heights of density in an applicable Policy 3 centre, it is out of scope of the plan change pursuant to Section 77G of the RMA. NOTE: See additional discussion in IHP request #34 accept / reject tables. | Reject for reasons of scope in Part 1 and 7 of the Report. |

| o. Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|--|---|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---|--|--|
| Park Ltd, Hartward Investment Trust and Robert Brown | Cashmere Park Ltd, Hartward Investment Trust and Robert Brown | | 593.1 | Seek Amend ment | Rezone site in Hendersons Basin ODP to Medium Density Residential | Hendersons Basin | MRZ | Site not stated | Site not stated | Reject in-part Please also see the s42A Report of Ian Bayliss. | The scope of Plan Change 14 is limited to giving effect to Policy 3 of the NPS-UD and apply MDRS in relevant residential zones. As this rezoning request is not for enabling building heights of density in an applicable Policy 3 centre, it is out of scope of the plan change pursuant to Section 77G of the RMA. It is noted that Council has recommende d that established properties on Leistrella Road are zoned MRZ. | Accept in par for reasons in Part 3, 4 and of the Repor |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|----------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | #34 accept / reject tables. | |
| 467 | Jillian Schofield | | | 467.2 | Oppose | [O]ppose[s] the change in height restrictions that have been proposed and the number of buildings per section in Hornby and surrounding areas [such as] Hei Hei. | | | | | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act, applying MDRS and Policy 3 accordingly. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 382 | Gina McKenzie | | | 382.1 | Oppose | [Seeks that] Hornby [be removed] from | | | | | Reject | Council is required to give effect to | Reject for reasons in |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | the list of suburbs for high denisty development. | | | | | | s77G of the Act, applying MDRS and Policy 3 accordingly. | Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 382 | Gina McKenzie | | | 382.3 | Oppose | [Seeks that] Hornby [be removed] from the list of suburbs for high density development. | | | | | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act, applying MDRS and Policy 3 accordingly. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 121 | Cameron Matthews | | | 121.4 | Seek Amend ment | Change the zoning of the area around Addington Centre and the Addington Mixed Use Zone to a High Density Residential Zone. | | HRZ | MUZ | CMUZ | Reject | Policy 3(d) directs a commensurat e response to the scale of commercial services and community facilities within each centre. Reporting has demonstrated that the Addington LCZ centre is not of a sufficient scale when a commensurat e response is considered appropriate. Evaluating the merits of the proposal, the Mixed Use | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | | commenda | | | | | | | | | Reasons for | Panel |
|-----|---------|--------------|-----------|-------|---------|-----------------|------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------------|----------------|------------|
| | - raine | Organisation | On Behalf | Point | Support | Decision Sought | Address / Area | Requested | Notified | Operative | Recommendation | Recommenda | Recommenda |
| | | Organisation | Of | No. | Oppose | Only | Audi ess / Ai ea | Zoning | Zoning | Zoning | Recommendation | tion | tion |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Zones within | 11011 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | walking | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | catchments of | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | the CCZ and | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | TCZ have | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | been | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | identified for | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | transition to | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | High Density | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Residential | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | through | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Objective | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 15.2.3 and | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Policy | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 15.2.3.2, | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Council's | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | proposed | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | walking | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | catchments | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | exclude | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Addington | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Mixed Use | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Zone, as such | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | the most | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | appropriate | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | zoning and | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | provision | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | approach for | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Addington is | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | as notified. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | However, if | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | the panel | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | decides | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | walking | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | catchments | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | around the | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | CCZ and TCZ | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | should | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | increase, the | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | appropriatene | |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | ss of including Addington in Policy 15.2.3.2 and thus identified for transition will have to be re- evaluated. | |
| 121 | Cameron Matthews | | | 121.3 | Seek Amend ment | Lyttleton should qualify as a Local Centre (Medium) [and] gain commensurate permitted density within the centre and in the surrounding neighbourhood. | | LCZ (Medium) (extent of this not stated) - should gain commensurat e permitted density within the centre and in the surrounding neighbourhoo d. | RBP, CBP, IG, SP | RBP, CBP, IG, SP | Reject | The centre lies within several qualifying matters that (RHA, RCA, LPTAA, Lyttelton Master Plan Overlay) that restrict intensification within and adjacent to the centre under Policy 4 of the NPS- UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 234 | John Goodall | | | 234.1 | Seek Amend ment | Make the Shirley area around the Palms Mall, (particularly Cherryburton Place) a Medium Density Residential Zone instead of a High Density | | MRZ | HRZ | MRZ | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act, applying MDRS and Policy 3 accordingly. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| | Rezoning Req | uesis – inn Ke | Commenda | uons | | | | | | | | | Donal |
|------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|---|--|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
| | | | | | | Residential Zone. | | | | | | | |
| 1076 | Dorothy Lovell-Smith | | | 1076. 1 | Oppose | Oppose intensification in the Hornby area. | | | | | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act, applying MDRS and Policy 3 accordingly. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 412 | Luke Gane | | | 412.3 | Oppose | Oppose the Local Centre Intensification Precinct provisions at 8 Bletsoe Avenue. Retain as Medium Density Residential Zone only. | | Remove Local Centre Intensification Precinct, retain as MRZ only | MRZ, Local Centre Intensifica tion Precinct | RSDT | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act, applying MDRS and Policy 3 accordingly. | Accept for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 52 | Gavin Keats | | | 52.5 | Seek Amend ment | Opposes the extent of the High and Medium Density Residential Zones around commercial centres. | | Not stated | HRZ & MRZ, plus Precincts | Numerous | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act, applying MDRS and Policy 3 accordingly. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| 67 | Rachel Davies | | | 67.18 | Seek Amend ment | Rezone and develop underutilized areas of land closer to the city into new trendy housing development - the development near the railway in the Addington Court Theatre district is a good example of this type of land. | | | | | Reject | Policy 3(d) directs a commensurat e response to the scale of commercial services and community facilities within each centre. Reporting has demonstrated that the Addington LCZ centre is not of a sufficient scale when a commensurat e response is considered appropriate. Evaluating the merits of the proposal, the Mixed Use Zones within walking catchments of the CCZ and TCZ have been identified for transition to High Density Residential through Objective 15.2.3 and | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Rezoning Requ Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | Policy | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 15.2.3.2, | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Council's | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | proposed walking | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | catchments | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | exclude | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Addington | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Mixed Use | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Zone, as such | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | the most | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | appropriate | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | zoning and | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | provision | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | approach for | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Addington is | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | as notified. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | However, if | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | the panel | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | decides | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | walking | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | catchments | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | around the | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | CCZ and TCZ | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | should | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | increase, the | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | appropriatene | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | ss of including | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Addington in | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Policy | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 15.2.3.2 and | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | thus | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | identified for transition will | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | have to be re- | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | evaluated. | |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| 2 | Greg Olive | | | 2.14 | Seek Amend ment | Rezone site at 419 Halswell Junction Road to Mixed Use rather than MDZ | | MUZ | MRZ | RNN, RS | Reject | The site is a relevant residential zone, requiring MDRS to apply. Rezoning to a non-residential zone is considered out of scope as the area is outside of a Policy 3 catchment. NOTE: See additional discussion in IHP request #34 accept / reject tables. | Reject for reasons in Part 4, 5 and 7 of the Report. |

| lo. Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| 5 Tobias Meyer | | | 55.18 | Seek Amend ment | Seek to have intensification around centres increased. Medium Density Residential zone to be applied 3km to 5km from Central City, and 500m from core bus routes. | | | | | Accept in-part | Recommenda tions included in this Report have recommende d that walking catchments are increased around centres, as per Policy 3(d), with some refinement under Policy 3(c) and Policy 1. Medium density must apply within all relevant residential zones, unless a qualifying matter applies. The approach results in MRZ being beyond 10km from the city centre. MRZ is applied within at least 800m from core bus | Accept in parfor reasons in Part 3, 4 and of the Report |

| No. | Rezoning Requ Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| 372 | Julia Tokumaru | | | 372.1 | Support | Support high- density housing near the city and commercial centres. Seek that the council enable 6 to 10 storeys for residential buildings near commercial centres. | Residential buildings near the city and commercial centres. | HRZ | | | Acknowledge | Support for Council's notified proposal is acknowledged | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 433 | John Dunford | | | 433.1 | Seek Amend ment | That the whole zoning is restricted to the CBD areas within the four avenues. | the CBD areas within the four avenues. | | | | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act, applying MDRS and Policy 3 accordingly. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 43 | Rhys Davidson | | | 43.2 | Seek Amend ment | Oppose inclusion of Ryan Street in the Medium Density Residential Zone. | | Not stated | MRZ | RSDT | Reject | The area is within a relevant residential zone and outside any Policy 3 catchment. The street has been identified as a Residential Character Area, with the overlay reducing the medium density outcome accordingly. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Reference is made to evidence by Ms White. | |
| 41 | Sharina Van Landuyt | | | 41.3 | Oppose | Oppose[s] Ryan Street being designated as a medium density residential zone. | Ryan Street | Not stated | MRZ | RSDT | Reject | The area is within a relevant residential zone and outside any Policy 3 catchment. The street has been identified as a Residential Character Area, with the overlay reducing the medium density outcome accordingly. Reference is made to evidence by Ms White. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. Na | ame | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|---------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 179 Sea | ean Walsh | | | 179.2 | Oppose | Request that Cashmere View Street (including #13 Cashmere View Street) Somerfield be a suburban charter area/street. Request that resource consent be required before any development can proceed. | Cashmere View Street | Suburban Character area/street | MRZ | RS | Reject | The area is within a relevant residential zone and outside any Policy 3 catchment. The street has been requested as a Residential Character Area, with the overlay reducing the medium density outcome accordingly. Reference is made to evidence by Ms White and Ms Rennie. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda | Panel Recommenda |
|-----|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------------|---|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---|
| | | | Ol | INO. | Oppose | Offig | | Zorning | Zorning | Zorning | | tion | tion |
| 153 | Susan Peake | | | 153.1 | Oppose | That the proposed zoning for the eight Papanui Living Streets (including Grants Road, Gambia, Mary, Proctor, Frank, Wyndham, Loftus and Horner Streets) be changed from being classified as residential high density and revert to residential m edium density housing [See submission attachments for reference photo] | Papanui Living Streets (including Grants Road, Gambia, Mary, Proctor, Frank, Wyndham, Loftus and Horner Streets) | MRZ | HRZ | MRZ | Reject | The area is within a relevant residential zone and outside any Policy 3 catchment. The street has been requested as a Residential Character Area, with the overlay reducing the medium density outcome accordingly. Reference is made to evidence by Ms White and Ms Rennie. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 294 | Chessa Crow | | | 294.6 | Seek Amend ment | Seek to reduce extent / Remove Medium Residential zoning from New Brighton area and amend to be Residential Suburban Transition Zone | | RSDT | MRZ | RSDT, RS | Accept | The requested approach aligns with Council submission to rezone MRZ areas as RSDT due to coastal hazards. Reference is made to evidence by Ms Oliver. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| o. Name | Or | rganisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----------------------|----|-------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|--|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| Sandfo Lindsay Sandfo | | | | 166.2 | Seek Amend ment | Zoning should be introduced in a staged manner. Using Leicester Crescent in Halswall as an example, my request would be to only classify the streets immediately surrounding the nearby "Town centre zone" (which currently doesn't have a single commercial building), and the major surrounding roads as HRZ, then notify a "pathway" for streets further away (such as Leicester Crescent) to be reclassified as HRZ when a certain percentage (e.g. 50%) of housing closer to the "Town centre zone" has | TCZ on Halswell Road near Leicester Crescent | HRZ in a staged manner | HRZ | RNN, RS | Reject | Council is required to give effect to s77G of the Act, applying MDRS and Policy 3 accordingly. HRZ is proposed to apply within a walking catchment of at least 400m from the North Halswell TCZ commercial centre, which would affect Leicester Crescent. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and of the Report |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | developed as higher density housing. | | | | | | | |
| Ĭ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|--|---|
| 121 | Cameron Matthews | | | 121.4 | Seek Amend ment | Around the Sydenham south commercial area, up-zone sites within blocks containing parts of this commercial centre to match the building height and density limits of the zone (i.e. to 14m). | Sydenham South | Upzoning (not specified) | LCZ, MRZ, HRZ, MUZ | Commercial Core, MRZ, IG | Accept | The centre is at a scale and provides for services whereby an intensification response is considered appropriate under Policy 3(d) and Policy 1 of the NPS-UD. A catchment of at least 200m is proposed, with the Local Centre Intensification Precinct applying over MRZ, enabling 14m development. | Accept for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 226 | Graeme McNicholl | | | 226.4 | Seek Amend ment | Rezone Addingt on, Sydenham and Phillipstown to mixed use commercial with apartment living above. | Addington, Sydenham and Phillipstown | MUZ | MUZ, MRZ, HRZ, LCZ | IG, MRZ, CC | Accept | Council has rezoned Industrial Zones in Sydenham, Addington (South of Lincoln Road) and Phillipstown (around Lancaster Park) to Mixed Use Zone to enable a | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | transition to high density residential. | |
| 121 | Cameron Matthews | | | 121.3 | Seek Amend ment | Sumner should qualify as a Local Centre (Medium) [and] gain commensurate permitted density within the centre and in the surrounding neighbourhood. | Sumner | | | | Reject | Residential land surrounding the site is subject to multiple hazardous qualifying matters meaning that further intensification is inappropriate under Policy 4 of the NPS-UD. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|---|
| 121 | Cameron Matthews | | | 121.3 | Seek Amend ment | Wigram should qualify as a Local Centre (Medium) [and] gain commensurate permitted density within the centre and in the surrounding neighbourhood. | | | | | Accept | This centre has been evaluated and is considered to meet the criteria of Policy 3(d) as a Small Local Centre. It is recommende d that the Local Centre Intensification Precinct is applied at least 200m around the centre, enabling 14m development. | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 18 | Rex Drummond | | | 18.2 | Seek Amend ment | Faiview Street (Cashmere) should be within a Residential Character Area. | Fairview Street | Residential Character Area | MRZ | RS | Reject | Properties on this street to not meet the Residential Character Criteria. Reference is made to evidence by Ms White and Ms Rennie. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |
| 676 | Jack Gibbons | | | 676.1 4 | Seek Amend ment | [Extend MRZ across the proposed Airport Noise Influence Area - relates to requests to remove that QM] | | MRZ | | | Reject in-part | Operative zoning has largely been retain in response to the QM, however areas around Riccarton are | Accept in part for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| 0. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommendation |
|----|-------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------|--|-------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | propose to be either MRZ or HRZ. | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 37 | Fiona Aston | Jane Harrow | | 887.1 | Seek | Rezone land | 384 Sawyers Arms | 384 Sawyers | 384 | 384 Sawyers | Reject | Rurally zoned | Reject for |
| | for Jane | | | | Amend | between the 50 | Road (Image A) | Arms Road | Sawyers | Arms Road | | sites, or | reasons of |
| | Harrow | | | | ment | and 55 Ldn CIAL | 0000 | (FUZ or MRZ) | Arms | (RUFZ) | | proportion of | scope in Par |
| | | | | | | airport noise contour for | 388 Sawyers Arms | 200 Countors | Road | 200 Countors | Reject | sites, are not relevant | 1 of the |
| | | | | | | urban | Road (Image B) | 388 Sawyers Arms Road | (RUFZ) | 388 Sawyers Arms Road | | residential | Report. |
| | | | | | | development, | 420 Sawyers Arms | (FUZ or MRZ) | 388 | (RUFZ) | Reject | zones and | |
| , | | | | | | with no | Road (Image C) | (I OZ OI WINZ) | Sawyers | (1(012) | Reject | outside of the | |
| , | | | | | | restrictions | noda (mage e) | 420 Sawyers | Arms | 420 Sawyers | | urban | |
| | | | | | | relating to | 422 Sawyers Arms | Arms Road | Road | Arms Road | Reject | environment. | |
| | | | | | | airport noise, | Road (Image D) | (FUZ or MRZ) | (RUFZ) | (RUFZ) | , | Re-zoning | |
| | | | | | | including 384, | | | | | | these areas is | |
| | | | | | | 388, 420, 422, | 424 Sawyers Arms | 422 Sawyers | 420 | 422 Sawyers | Reject | considered | |
| | | | | | | 424, 426, 434 | Road (Image E) | Arms Road | Sawyers | Arms Road | | out of scope. | |
| | | | | | | Sawyers | | (FUZ or MRZ) | Arms | (RUFZ) | | | |
| | | | | | | Arms Road and | 426 Sawyers Arms | 40.4.6. | Road | 40.4.6 | Reject | Undeveloped | |
| | | | | | | 123 and 141 Gardiners Road | Road (Image F) | 424 Sawyers Arms Road | (RUFZ) | 424 Sawyers Arms Road | | greenfield areas have | |
| | | | | | | as identified on | 434 Sawyers Arms | (FUZ or MRZ) | 422 | (RUFZ) | Reject | been re- | |
| ļ | | | | | | the aerial | Road (Image G) | (I OZ OI IVIIVZ) | Sawyers | (NOI Z) | Neject | zoned to | |
| , | | | | | | photograph | Rodu (iiriage 0) | 426 Sawyers | Arms | 426 Sawyers | | apply | |
| , | | | | | | below. | 123 Gardiners | Arms Road | Road | Arms Road | Reject | appropriate | |
| , | | | | 1 | | Rezone 384, | Road (Image H) | (FUZ or MRZ) | (RUFZ) | (RUFZ) | , | National | |
| , | | | | 1 | | 388, 420, 422, | | | , , | | | Planning | |
| , | | | | 1 | | 424, 426, 434 | 141 Gardiners | 434 Sawyers | 424 | 434 Sawyers | Reject | Standards | |
| ļ | | | | | | Sawyers Arms | Road (Image I) | Arms Road | Sawyers | Arms Road | | zoning of FUZ. | |
| , | | | | 1 | | Road and 123 | | (FUZ or MRZ) | Arms | (RUFZ) | | 0 "'' | |
| , | | | | | | and 141 | | 400 0 " | Road | 100 | | Council has | |
| , | | | | 1 | | Gardiners Road | | 123 Gardiners | (RUFZ) | 123 | | demonstrated | |
| , | | | | 1 | | Future Urban | | Road (FUZ or | 424 | Gardiners | | that beyond | |
| , | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | Zone or | | MRZ) | 426 | Road (RNN | | sufficient | |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | Density Residential. | | 141 Gardiners Road (FUZ or MRZ) | Arms Road (RUFZ) 434 Sawyers Arms Road (RUFZ) 123 Gardiners Road (FUZ and RUFZ) 141 Gardiners Road (FUZ and RUFZ) | 141 Gardiners Road (RNN and MRZ) | | capacity is provided through PC14. Reference is made to evidence by Ms Oliver, Mr Scallan, and Mr Bayliss. NOTE: See additional discussion in IHP request #34 accept / reject tables. | |
| 439 | Jeff Vesey | | | 439.2 | Seek Amend ment | That the area proposed to be Residential Suburban Zone under the Airport Noise Influence Area in Avonhead/Ilam be zoned Medium Density Residential Zone in line with the surrounding area and the National Policy Statement for Urban | Residential Zone properties in Avonhead/Ilam under the Airport Noise Influence Area | MRZ | RS | RS | Reject | Residential sites beneath the Airport Noise Contour are proposed to retain operative zoning as a consequence of the qualifying matter. | Accept for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |

| No. | Name | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|-----|--|--|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 805 | Stuart Pearson for Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) | Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) | - | 805.2 3 | Seek Amend ment | Update the Residential Suburban Zone properties subject to the Airport Noise | Residential Zone properties under the Airport Noise Influence Area | MRZ | RS | RS | Reject WITHDRAWN | Residential sites beneath the Airport Noise Contour are proposed to | |
| 779 | Glenda Duffell | | | 779.1 | Oppose | Influence Area to the appropriate zoning required under the MDRS. [Oppose intensification in areas with | | | | | Reject | retain operative zoning as a consequence of the qualifying matter. Liquefaction risk of TC2 and TC3 is not | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 |
| | | | | | | liquefaction risk] | | | | | | considered a significant natural hazard risk, in accordance with s6(h). | of the Report. |

| lo. Name | | Organisation | On Behalf Of | Point No. | Support Oppose | Decision Sought Only | Address / Area | Requested Zoning | Notified Zoning | Operative Zoning | Recommendation | Reasons for Recommenda tion | Panel Recommenda tion |
|------------------|--------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|
| 79 Gareth | Bailey | | | 579.2 | Seek Amend ment | Exclude properties within waterway setbacks from MDRZ classification. | | | | | Reject | Council has applied a waterbody setback QM to manage development here. Rezoning is not needed to manage such development. | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 9 of the Report |
| Jaimita Jongh | de | | | 583.7 | Seek Amend ment | Seek that increased density is not allowed in areas that drain into the mid-Heathcote Ōpāwaho | | | | | Reject in-part | Council has chosen to manage its stormwater through Bylaws and can manage discharge on a case-by-case basis. Council is also subject to a city-wide stormwater discharge consent, managed by Canterbury Regional Council. A Port Hills Stormwater QM has been proposed to manage stormwater runoff from | Reject for reasons in Part 3, 4 and 5 of the Report. |