in

and:	Church Property Trustees
the matter of:	proposed Plan Change 14 to the Christchurch District Plan
under:	the Resource Management Act 1991

Church Property Trustees (Submitter 825)

Statement of evidence of Peter Carney on behalf of Church Property Trustees (Structural Engineering)

Dated: 20 September 2023

Reference: Jo Appleyard (jo.appleyard@chapmantripp.com) Annabel Hawkins (annabel.hawkins@chapmantripp.com)

chapmantripp.com T +64 3 353 4130 F +64 4 472 7111 PO Box 2510 Christchurch 8140 New Zealand Auckland Wellington Christchurch



STATEMENT OF EVIDENCE OF PETER CARNEY ON BEHALF OF CHURCH PROPERTY TRUSTEES

INTRODUCTION

- 1 My full name is Peter Edward Carney.
- 2 I hold a Bachelor's of Science and Master's of Science in Engineering from the University of Washington in Seattle (United States of America).
- 3 I am the Structures Manager for the Christchurch office of Holmes NZ LP, a role I have held since 2022. My previous role was as a Project Director at Holmes.
- 4 I have 15 years' experience in the structural engineering field. Relevant to this evidence, I have worked on and led a number of heritage strengthening and restoration projects, including the St. Mary's Church (Timaru), Hawke's Bay Opera House, Hastings Municipal Building, the Arts Centre of Christchurch, and Christ Church Cathedral.

CODE OF CONDUCT

5 While this is not an Environment Court hearing, I note that in preparing my evidence I have reviewed the Code of Conduct for Expert Witnesses contained in Part 9 of the Environment Court Practice Note 2023. I have complied with it in preparing my evidence. I confirm that the issues addressed in this statement of evidence are within my area of expertise, except where I state that I am relying on the opinion or evidence of other witnesses. I have not omitted to consider material facts known to me that might alter or detract from the opinions expressed.

SCOPE OF EVIDENCE

- 6 My evidence will address the following:
 - 6.1 My comments on the Aurecon Group (*Aurecon*) 2011 strengthening scheme; and
 - 6.2 My comments on the evidence of Clara Caponi for the Council.
- 7 In preparing this evidence I have:
 - 7.1 Reviewed the evidence of Clara Caponi for the Council as relating to the St. James Riccarton Church; and
 - 7.2 Carried out a high-level desktop review of the following documents prepared by Aurecon:

- (a) St. James Riccarton, Strength & Repair Assessment for Godfrey & Company (*Strength and Repair* Assessment);¹
- (b) St. James Church Re-Strengthening drawings (*Re-Strengthening drawings*).²

COMMENTS ON AURECON STRENGTHENING SCHEME

- 8 I have carried out a high-level review of Aurecon's Strength and Repair Assessment and Re-Strengthening Drawings only. I note that in the time available I have not yet visited the site and am only familiar with the building through the photographs provided in the Strength and Repair Assessment.
- 9 My suggested amendments/additions to Aurecon's strengthening scheme are based on my past experience with buildings similar to the St. James Church (i.e. unreinforced masonry/concrete buildings with timber roofs).
- 10 The document attached to my evidence as **Appendix 1** outlines the amendments/additions to the Aurecon strengthening scheme I see as being required to achieve a seismic capacity of 67% NBS IL2.
- 11 The primary addition I recommend to the Aurecon strengthening scheme involves work to connect perimeter walls into the existing roof structure and, where required, strengthen that roof structure to resist anticipated loads.
- 12 The Aurecon Strength & Repair Assessment makes no mention of the church's foundation performance forming part of the assessment. The scope of work listed in Aurecon's report includes the provision of a "Concept strengthening design if the building proves to be earthquake prone". It is not unusual for a concept scheme to be completed without the completion of geotechnical investigations that allow for structural assessment of foundation performance. However, I would typically note this as a risk to clients and, should a strengthening project proceed, recommend geotechnical investigations be completed, foundation performance assessed, and, if foundation performance issues are identified, design for appropriate strengthening. In the context of the current discussion regarding the St. James Church, I note foundation performance as a risk item that could potentially result in higher strengthening costs.

¹ Aurecon St. James Riccarton, Strength & Repair Assessment for Godfrey & Company (03 August 2011).

² Aurecon *St. James Church Re-Strengthening drawings* (28 July 2011).

COMMENTS ON THE ENGINEERING EVIDENCE OF MS CAPONI

13 My main takeaway from Ms Caponi's evidence lies in her Conclusion, which reads:

There are viable engineering options available to repair the building to a safe and useable condition.

- I do not disagree there are viable engineering options available. However, in my opinion Aurecon's previous scheme, which I understand is the basis of the Council's current evidence and cost estimate, does not achieve the level of strength required due to inadequate strength in the roof diaphragm and its connections to perimeter walls.
- 15 Ms Caponi's evidence also talks about strengthening and changing the use of the building to make it a more attractive purchase. Aurecon's strengthening scheme is based on achieving a target seismic capacity of 67% NBS IL2. Section 115 of the Building Act 2004 states (my emphasis in **bold**):

An owner of a building must not change the use of the building -

- ...
- (b) in any other case, unless the territorial authority gives the owner written notice that the territorial authority is satisfied, on reasonable grounds, that the building in its new use, --
 - (i) will comply, as near as is reasonably practicable, with every provision of the building code that relates to the following:
 - (A) means of escape from fire, protection of other property, sanitary facilities, structural performance and fire-rating performance.
- 19 This raises a question of whether the Council would accept a seismic strength of 67% NBS IL2 for the building even if it underwent a change of use.
- 20 While in some cases Council has accepted 67% NBS IL2 for strengthening existing buildings with a change of use, it is also possible that they would require it to achieve 100% NBS IL2. In the latter case, an engineering scheme could be provided that would achieve this level of seismic strength, however it would require more intervention and structural work.

Peter Carney

20 September 2023

69 RICCARTON ROAD CHRISTCHURCH

A1



GENERAL NOTES

1. STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS SHALL BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SPECIFICATION, ARCHITECTURAL, CIVIL AND BUILDING SERVICES DOCUMENTS

2. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE, ALL REDUCED LEVELS (RLs) ARE IN METRES, AND ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETRES 3. DIMENSIONS SHALL NOT BE OBTAINED BY SCALING FROM DRAWINGS.

4. ANY DISCREPANCIES ON THE DRAWINGS SHALL BE REFERRED TO THE ARCHITECT FOR RESOLUTION BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK.

5. THE STABILITY OF THE STRUCTURE DURING CONSTRUCTION, INCLUDING TEMPORARY WORKS DESIGN, IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.

6. THE METHOD OF EXCAVATION AND TEMPORARY SUPPORT OF ALL CUT FACES IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR

7. ALL MATERIALS AND WORKMANSHIP SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CURRANT CODES OF PRACTICE EXCEPT WHERE VARIED BY SPECIFICATION OR THE DRAWINGS

8. WHERE PROPRIETARY PRODUCTS ARE SPECIFIED IN THE DOCUMENTS THE CONTRACTOR MAY SUBMIT AN ALTERNATIVE PRODUCT FOR APPROVAL. ALL MATERIALS AND WORKMANSHIP SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WIT MANUFACTURERS SPECIFICATION. NSHIP SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH

9. ALL NON-LOAD BEARING WALLS SHALL BE KEPT CLEAR OF THE UNDERSIDE OF SLABS AND BEAMS BY 20mm UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN

10. THE ENGINEER SHALL INSPECT STRUCTURAL DETAILS TO CM3 AS DEFINED IN THE ACENZI/PENZ MODEL CONDITIONS. TYPICALLY INSPECT PRIOR TO:

MAJOR CONCRETE POURS STRUCTURAL STEEL BEING CONCEALED LININGS BEING PLACED OVER TIMBER BRACING DETAILS

CONTACT THE ENGINEER AT LEAST 48 HOURS PRIOR TO ARRANGE INSPECTION WHEN REQUIRED

STANDARD ABBREVIATIONS

1. GENERAL ABBREVIATIONS AS FOLLOWS: - ALTERNATING AI T ARCHITECT(S)
ARCHITECT(S)
BOITOM OF STEEL
BUILDING
CENTRE TO CENTRE
CONSTRUCTION JOINT
OUFORUMANT ARCH BOS BLG C/C CJ COS - CHECK ON SITE CONC COV CVR - CONCRETE - COVER TO REINFORCEMENT - COVER TO REINFORCEMENT CL CRS - CENTRE LINE - CENTRES C/W DFT DPM DIA FFL FPBW - COMPLETE WITH - DRY FILM THICKNESS - DAMP-PROOF MEMBRANE - DIAMETER - FINISHED FLOOR LEVEL - FULL PENETRATION BUTT WELD FWAR - FILLET WELD ALL ROUND GALV GL HD - GALVANISED - GROUND LEVEL - HOLDING DOWN (BOLT) ID - INSIDE DIAMETER - INVERT LEVEL - LONG MAX - MAXIMUM - MINIMUM MIN - MILD STEEL NOM - NOMINAL NTS O/A OD PC RAD - NOT TO SCALE - OVERALL - OVERALL - OUTSIDE DIAMETER - PRECAST CONCRETE - RADIUS REQ'D - REQUIRED RL - REDUCED LEVEL SED STGD - SMALL END DIAMETER - STAGGERED SPEC SQ - SPECIFICATION - SQUARE - SQUARE - STAINLESS STEEL - TOP OF STEEL - THROUGH TOS THRU TYP U/S UNO WP - TYPICAL - UNDERSIDE - UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE

- WELD PLATE

- DRILL DIAMETER

BOTTOM BARS, BOTTOM LAYER BOTTOM BARS, TOP LAYER TOP TOP BARS, BOTTOM LAYER - TOP BARS, TOP LAYER FW - FACH WAY - EACH FACE - FAR FACE - NEAR FACE REBAR - REINFORCING BARS REINF - REINFORCEMENT STP(S) - STIRRUP(S) STR(S) - STARTER(S) R - PLAIN ROUND REBAR GRADE 300 eg. R20 REFERS TO GRADE 300 PLAIN ROUND REBAR 20mm DIA. HR - PLAIN ROUND REBAR GRADE 500 eg. HR20 REFERS TO GRADE 500 PLAIN ROUND REBAR 20mm DIA D - DEFORMED REBAR GRADE 300 eg. D20 REFERS TO GRADE 300 DEFORMED REBAR 20mm DIA. - DEFORMED REBAR GRADE 500 нГ eg. H20 REFERS TO GRADE 500 DEFORMED REBAR 20mm DIA. RB - DEFORMED REID BAR (GRADE 500) eg. RB20 REFERS TO DEFORMED REID BAR 20mm DIA, М - MESH eg. M665 REFERS TO 665 MESH

2. ABBREVIATIONS FOR REINFORCED CONCRETE

- BOTTOM

GRADE 500 REFERS TO GRADE 500E MA

3. ABBREVIATIONS FOR STRUCTURAL STEEL

LIC	UB	- UNIVERSAL BEAM
PEC	- PARA	LI EL EL ANGE CHANNEL
	TFC	- TAPER FLANGE CHANNEL
	TFB	- TAPER FLANGE BEAM
EA	- EQUA	L ANGLE
	UA	- UNEQUAL ANGLE
	SHS	- SQUARE HOLLOW SECTION
	RHS	- RECTANGULAR HOLLOW SECTION

CHS - CIRCULAR HOLLOW SECTION

NOTES ON REINFORCED CONCRETE

1. MINIMUM CONCRETE STRENGTHS SHALL BE AS FOLLOWS UNLESS NOTED ON DRAWINGS: - FOUNDATION CONCRETE - 25MPa

SUSPENDED CONCRETE SLABS, TOPPINGS, BEAMS AND COLUMINS - 30MPa	
RECAST CONCRETE - 30MPa	
ROUT INFILL TO BLOCK WALLS - 17.5MPa	
IDY SLABS OR ANY OTHER NON-STRUCTURAL CONCRETE - 10MPa	
ALCONY CONCRETE - 40MPa	

2. WHERE THE DRAWINGS OR SPECIFICATIONS STATE THE SIZE OF CONCRETE ELEMENTS, THE STATED SIZE DOES NOT INCLUDE THICKNESS OF ANY APPLIED FINISHES. WHERE BEAM SIZES ARE NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS, THE WIDTH IS STATED FIRST AND THE DEPTH SECOND. THE DEPTH INCLUDES THE THICKNESS OF THE SLAB (IF ANY)

3. SHRINKAGE CONTROL

- SLABS ON GRADE SHALL BE POURED IN ALTERNATE PANELS NOT EXCEEDING 25m² OR 7m ON ANY ADJACENT PANELS. ALTERNATIVELY SAWCUT WITHIN 8 HOURS OF POURING, AFTER THE CONCRETE HAS HARDENED SUFFICIENTLY THAT IT WILL NOT BE DAMAGED BY THE CUTTING, BUT BEFORE SHRINKAGE CAN OCCUR (SOFT CUT METHOD). THE POSITIONS OF THE SAWCUT JOINTS ARE TO BE TO THE PATTERN SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS OR AS AGREED WITH THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO POURING THE SLAB. SAWCUT JOINT SHALL BE PLACED TO ELIMINATE RE-ENTRANT CORNERS. THIS WILL USUALLY REQUIRED DIAMOND SHARED SAWCUTS AROUND COLUMNS. SANCUTS MAY BE WIDERD LATER IF REQUIRED, AND ARE TO BE CLEANED OUT AND FILL TO THE ARCHITECTS SPECIFICATION AS LATE AS POSSIBLE IN THE JOB.

- SLABS THAT REQUIRE CONSTRUCTION OR SHRINKAGE CONTROL JOINTS HAVE REQUIREMENTS GOVERNED BY THE SIZE OF THE POUR, SIZE OF THE SLAB, THICKNESS OF SLAB, SITE CONDITIONS AND MIX DESIGN. THESE JOINTS MAY VARY FROM A SIMPLE KEYED CONSTRUCTION JOINT TO SOPHISTICATED PROPRIETARY MOVEMENT JOINTS, INCLUDING ADDITIONAL LAYERS OF DPM TO FACILITATE A SLIP PLAIN. THE FINAL SOLUTION SHALL BE DETERMINED BY THE ENGINEER AFTER CONSULTATION WITH THE CONTRACTOR AND ANY NECESSARY SUB-CONTRACTORS.

4. NO PENETRATIONS, CHASES OR EMBEDMENT OF PIPES OTHER THAN THOSE SHOWN ON THE STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS SHALL BE MADE IN CONCRETE ELEMENTS WITHOUT THE PRIOR APPROVAL OF THE ENGINEER.

5. SURFACE FINISHES TO THE CONCRETE SHALL BE AS STATED IN THE SPECIFICATION.

6. THE CONTRACTOR IS TO SUBMIT SHOP DRAWINGS OF ALL PRECAST ELEMENTS FOR REVIEW PRIOR TO MANUFACTURE

7. PROPRIETARY PRECAST ELEMENTS REFERRED TO IN THE DRAWINGS (IF ANY) SHALL BE DESIGNED AND DETAILED BY SUPPLIER AND A COPY OF THE DOCUMENTATION SUBMITTED TO THE ENGINEER FOR REVIEW PRIOR TO MANUFACTURE.

8. CONCRETE PLACING AND MOULDING TOLERANCES ARE TO BE AS STATED IN THE SPECIFICATION.

9. ALL PENETRATIONS THROUGH CONCRETE SLABS SHALL BE TRIMMED BY 2-H12 BARS TO EACH EDGE OF THE PENETRATIONS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. TRIMMER BARS ARE TO EXTEND 750mm BEYOND THE EDGE OF THE PENETRATIONS.

10. ALL BEAMS SHALL BE FULLY PROPPED DURING CONSTRUCTION.

11. WELDING OF REINFORCEMENT IS NOT PERMITTED UNLESS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS OR APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER. IN PILES AND CIRCULAR COLUMN, SPIRAL TIES MAY BE SUBSTITUTED BY WELDED HOOPS. REFER TO LAP WELDING DETAIL FOR REQUIREMENTS

12. TOP AND BOTTOM REINFORCEMENT IN SLABS SHALL BE ADEQUATELY SUPPORTED TO ENSURE AL REINFORCING STAYS IN PLACE DURING CONCRETE POURING, BY HIGH CHAIRS OR OTHER APPROVED METHODS, PRECAST BLOCKS ARE NOT TO BE USED.

13. HOOKS AND BENDS TO REBAR ARE TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH NZS3109 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE ON THE DRAWINGS

14. BARS PARTIALLY EMBEDDED IN CONCRETE SHALL NOT BE SITE BENT UNLESS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS OR SPECIFICALLY APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.

15. REINFORCEMENT SHALL BE GRADE 500E MA UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE

16. NO REINFORCEMENT SPLICES SHALL BE MADE, OTHER THAN THOSE SHOWN ON THE STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS. WITHOUT THE PRIOR APPROVAL OF THE ENGINEER. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE ON THE DRAWINGS, ALL REINFORCEMENT LAPS IN CONCRETE TO COMPLY WITH THE TABLE BELOW.

17. SPLICING OF REINFORCEMENT WHETHER BY LAPPING, WEI DING OR MECHANICAL SPLICE, SHALL BE CARRIED OUT AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS OR AS SPECIFICALLY APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER, EXCEPT AS NOTE BELOW: - WELDED WIRE MESH SHALL BE SPLICED AS REQUIRED, BUT NOT THROUGH SLAB JOINTS. - REINFORCEMENT IN SLABS ON GRADE AND IN TOPPINGS SHALL BE SPLICED AS REQUIRED, BUT NOT THROUGH SLAB JOINTS

18. LAYERS OF BEAM REINFORCEMENT SHALL BE SEPARATED BY THE LARGER OF R25 OR NOMINAL DIAMETER BARS AT 1500 CENTRES.

19. ALL HOOKS ON STIRRUPS AND TIES MUST FIT CLOSELY AROUND MAIN BARS UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. FIRST STIRRUP NOT TO BE PLACED FURTHER THAN THE LESSER OF ½ STIRRUP SPACING OR 50mm FROM SUPPORT FACE



MINIMUM FORMER PIN DIAMETERS "D" FOR BENDING REINFORCING BARS

NOMINAL DIAMETEF

REINFORCING BENDING DETAILS

CONCRETE COVERS								
ENVIRONMENT	CONCRETE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH fc (MPa)							
	25	30	40					
SURFACES CAST AGAINST AND EXPOSED TO EARTH	75	75	75					
SURFACES CAST AGAINST DPM ON EARTH	50	50	50					
EXTERIOR ENVIRONMENT WITHIN 500m OF HIGH TIDE MARK	50	45	40					
OTHER EXTERIOR ENVIRONMENT	40	35	30					
SURFACES EXPOSED TO EARTH (NON-AGRESSIVE OR PROTECTED BY D.P.M.)	40	35	30					
INTERIOR ENVIRONMENT NOT SUBJECTED TO REPEATED WETTING OR DRYING	25	20	20					

NOTE: CONCRETE COVERS ARE THE MINIMUM DISTANCE TO ANY REINFORCING STEEL, INCLUDING STIRRUPS AND TIES



© = 6x NOMINAL REBAR DIAMETER

REBAR CRANKED SPLICE DETAIL



SINGLE LAP WELD DETAIL SEE AS1554:PART 3

NOTE: IF LAP LENGTHS ARE NOT NOTE SPECIFICALLY STATED ON THE DRAWINGS THE FOLLOWING SHALL BE USED

LAP LENGTHS FOR DEFORMED BARS (mm)											
BAR SIZE	10	12	16	20	25	32					
GRADE 300 (D)	400	480	640	800	1000	1280					
GRADE 500 (HD)	600	720	960	1200	1500	1920					

							Client:	Project:	Drawn	Signed
						duracon			TDD	
						duiecon	ANGLICAN CHURCH	ST JAMES CHURCH 69 RICCARTON		Signed
						Aurecon New Zealand Limited Telephone: +64 3 366 0821		ROAD CHRISTCHURCH	Verified	Signed
						Christchurch, New Zealand Email: christchurch@ap.aurecongroup.com	FRUPERITIRUSIEES		GKW	
01	28-07-11	ISSUED FOR COSTING	HD	GKW	GKW	A person using Aurecon drawings and other data accepts the risk of: 1. using the drawings and other data in electronic form without requesting and checking them for			Approved	Signed
Rev.	Date	Revision Details	Des.	Ver.	App.	accuracy against the original hard copy versions; 2. using the drawings or other data for any purpose not agreed to in writing by Aurecon.			GKW	

						F NO	0 T F	R COS	TING RUCTION
Drawing Title:						Project	No.	213970	
STANDARDS NOTES						Scale	As inc	dicated	Sheet Size
						Drawin	g No.	S-00-01	Revision 01
	20	10	0	10	20	30	40	50	100mn

Date

Date

Issue Dat

Issue Date Date

Issue Date Date Issue Date

GENERAL NOTES

1. ALL STEELWORK FABRICATION TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH NZS 3404:1997

2. MINIMUM BOLT P PLATE EDGE:	LATE	EDGE DISTANCES TO BE:
M12 - 20 mm		
M16 - 28 mm 🥆	۱ <u> </u>	
M20 - 35 mm		DISTANCES BASED ON MA
M24 - 42 mm	l	OR PLANED EDGES. DIST
M30 - 55 mm	≻	NZS 3404 IF ALTERNATIVE
M32 - 58 mm	(ARE ADOPTED.

DISTANCES BASED ON MACHINE FLAME CUT, SAWN OR PLANED EDGES. DISTANCES TO BE ADJUSTED TO NZS 3404 IF ALTERNATIVE CUTTING PROCEDURES ARE ADOPTED

ARANCE DISTANCES & d/c DISTANCES TO BE:

3. MINIMUM BOLT (CLEARANCE) M12 - 24 mm M16 - 32 mm M20 - 40 mm M24 - 48 mm M30 - 57 mm M32 - 64 mm (c/c) 30mm 40mm 50mm 60mm 75mm 80mm

4. ALL UB AND ANGLE MEMBERS TO BE GRADE 300 STEEL UNO.

5. ALL HOLLOW SECTIONS TO BE GRADE 350 STEEL UNO.

6. ALL PLATES TO BE GRADE 250 STEEL U.N.O.

7. ALL EXTERNAL STEELWORK TO BE EITHER HOT DIP GALVANISED AND SEAL COATED AFTER FABRICATION. OR PAINTED TO AN EXTERNAL PAINTING SPECIFICATION. (ALL EXTERNAL STEEL IN CONTACT WITH EXPOSED TIMBER TO HAVE 150 MICRONS OF EPDXY POWDER COATING OR HIGH BUILD EPOXY COATING.) REFER TO SPECIFICATION FOR PAINT COATINGS AND FINISHES.

8. ALL WELDING TO 6 mm FWAR UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. ALL WELDING TO BE SP GRADE.

9. SHOP DRAWINGS TO BE PROVIDED AND REVIEWED FOR STRUCTURAL CONTENT PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.

10. ALL BOLTS TO BE GRADE 8.8: UNO.

11. BOLTS - DESIGNATED BY THE NUMBER, DIAMETER, GRADE AND TIGHTENING PROCEDURE E.G. 4M16 4.6/S DENOTES 4 NO. M16 COMMERCIAL GRADE BOLTS, SNUG TIGHT 6M20 8.8/TF DENOTES 6 NO. M20 HIGH STRENGTH STRUCTURAL BOLTS FULLY TENSIONED IN A NO SLIP JOINT 6M24 8.8/TB DENOTES 6 NO. M24 HIGH STRENGTH STRUCTURAL BOLTS FULLY TENSIONED IN A BEARING JOINT (SOME SLIP ALLOWED)

12. CONTACT SURFACES OF TF CONNECTIONS SHALL BE LEFT UNPAINTED AND FREE OF SCALE UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED. (INORGANIC ZINC SILICATE PAINT IS ACCEPTABLE IN 8.8/TF JOINTS.)

13. LOAD INDICATING WASHERS OR TURN OF NUT METHOD SHALL BE USED TO VERIFY TIGHTENING OF BOLTS IN TF AND TB CONNECTIONS. TORQUE WRENCHES SHALL NOT BE USED. A HARDENED WASHER SHALL BE USED UNDER THE BOLT HEAD OR NUT, WHICH IS ROTATED THE RE-USE OF FULLY TENSIONED BOLTS IS PROHIBITED.

14. THE ENDS OF ALL TUBULAR MEMBERS ARE TO BE SEALED WITH NOMINAL THICKNESS PLATES AND CONTINUOUS FILLET WELDED UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE

15. ALL HOT DIP GALVANISED MEMBERS SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH VENT AND DRAINAGE HOLES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GALVANISER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.

16. WHERE MEMBERS SHOWN ON THE STRUCTURAL OR ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS ARE REQUIRED TO BE CURVED, BENT OR ROLLED, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE METHODS REQUIRED TO ACHIEVE THE REQUIRED SHAPES WITHOUT LOCALISED DISTORTION OF THE MEMBERS.

17. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE AND LEAVE IN PLACE, UNTIL PERMANENT BRACING ELEMENTS ARE CONSTRUCTED, SUCH TEMPORARY BRACING AS IS NECESSARY TO STABILISE THE STRUCTURE DURING ERECTION.

LOCATION	FILLET	V BUTT	BEVEL BUTT	SQUARE BUTT	U BUTT	J BUTT	EDGE OR SEAL	PLUG WELD	LAP WELD (FOR REBAR)
ARROW SIDE					<u> </u>	h			
OTHER SIDE					Ŷ	Y Y			NOT USED
BOTH SIDES	\searrow	\mathbf{X}	K		7	h h	NOT USED	NOT USED)(

WELD DIMENSIONS

WELD SYMBOLS





SUPPLEMENTARY SYMBOLS



DEMOLITION

1. ALL DEMOLITION MATERIALS TO REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF THE OWNER

2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ALLOW TO REUSE SALVAGED BUILDING MATERIALS WHERE POSSIBLE

3. THE CONTRACTOR IS TO LOCATE ALL EXISTING SERVICES BOTH ABOVE AND BELOW GROUND PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY WORK

4. ALLOW TO PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY PROPPING TO ENSURE CONTINUAL VERTICAL AND LATERAL SUPPORT OF THE ROOF AND WALLS DURING CONSTRUCTION

SERVICES

1. CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE ALL INGROUND AND ABOVE GROUND SERVICES NOT ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. LOCATION OF ALL SUCH SERVICES TO BE VERIFIED BY THE CONTRACTOR BEFOR CONSTRUCTION COMMENCES. NOTIFY THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO WORKS COMMENCING SHOULD THERE BE A CONFLICT WITH ANY CONSTRUCTION WORKS.

							Client:	Project:	Drawn	Signed	Date	Drawing Title:
						durecon			TDD		Issue Date	
						UUIELVII			Designed	Signed	Date	STEE
								ST JAMES CHURCH, 69 RICCARTON	HD		Issue Date	
						Aurecon New Zealand Limited Telephone: +64 3 366 0821 Unit 1, 150 Cavendish Road (PO Box 1061) Facsimile: +64 3 379 6955		ROAD CHRISTCHURCH	Verified	Signed	Date	
						Christchurch, New Zealand Email: christchurch@ap.aurecongroup.com	FRUFERITIRUSILES		GKW		Issue Date	
01	28-07-11	ISSUED FOR COSTING	HD	GKW	GKW	A person using Aurecon drawings and other data accepts the risk of: 1. using the drawings and other data in electronic form without requesting and checking them for			Approved	Signed	Date	
Rev.	Date	Revision Details	Des.	Ver.	App.	accuracy against the original hard copy versions; 2. using the drawings or other data for any purpose not agreed to in writing by Aurecon.			GKW		Issue Date	



100mm





1. REFER DRAWING S-00-01 FOR STANDARD NOTES

	FOR COST	TING RUCTION
le:	Project No. 213970	
DING CROSS SECTIONS - SHEET 1	Scale 1:50	Sheet Size
	Drawing No. S-02-01	Revision 02
20 10 0 10 21	D 30 40 50	100mm

Aurecon report calls for: Apply 30 mm engineered cementitious composites (ECC) on the wall face to add strength against pounding forces from the roof. Alternative recommendation would be to tie the arch into each underpurlin with through-anchors and fabricated brackets, similar to 100/S04-01 HRR6 HEI IRA HBR6 HELIBAR STAPLES - POST-TENSION RODS SECTION 3 Date Issue Date rawing Ti aurecon Signed)rawn TDD Designed HD ANGLICAN CHURCH Date Issue Date Signed BUIL ST JAMES CHURCH, 69 RICCARTON +64 3 366 0821 +64 3 379 6955 ROAD, CHRISTCHURCH Verified GKW PROPERTY TRUSTEES Date Issue Date Signed Unit 1, 150 01 28-07-11 ISSUED FOR COSTING HD GKW GKW Approved GKW

using the accuracy
using the

Des. Ver. App.

Revision Details

Date

NOTES:

1. REFER DRAWING S-00-01 FOR STANDARD NOTES

Date Issue Date

Signed

	FOR COST NOT FOR CONSTR	FING RUCTION
tle:	Project No. 213970	
DING CROSS SECTIONS - SHEET 2	Scale 1 : 50	Sheet Size
	Drawing No. S-02-02	Revision 01
20 10 0 10 20	30 40 50	100mm



						F	0	R COS	TING
								OR CONST	RUCTION
tle:						Project	No.	213970	
TH ELEVATION						Scale			Sheet Size
							1:50	0	A1
						Drawing	g No.		Revision
							-	S-03-01	01
	20	10	0	10	20	30	40	50	100mm

Existing roof/ceiling diaphragm requires strengthening. Allow for one of the following: NOTES:

1. Temporarily remove and store slates (allowing for wastage/replacement), provide temporary weather protection to exposed roof, apply plywood roof overlay atop existing sarking, reinstate battens and slate roof.

or

2. Temporarily remove existing ceiling lining and store for reinstatement, apply plywood ceiling underlay, reinstate original ceiling lining.

Refer detail 100/ and 100a/S04-01 for further information.

Lower roof does not require new ceiling/roof diaphragm

TRACERY

MATCH LOCATION (MOTAR JOINTS

I ALLOW TO REMOVE INTERIOR PLASTER ON THIS PIER WITHIN 200 mm EITHER SIDE OF VISIBLE CRACK. ALLOW TO GROUT INJECT CRACKS AND FINISH WALL TO MATCH ORIGINAL STONEMASON TO CONFIRM METHOD OF REPAIR SLIGHTLY DISJOINTED WINDOW TRACERY

Allow to tie gable end wall and internal arch to roof framing in line with SSK-002 (i.e. requires temporary ceiling removal)

CRACK STITCHING AND GROUT INJECTION TO STONE WORK BELOW WINDOWS EACH FACE. REFER DETAIL 105

Allow to expose roof eaves and improve either roof sarking-to-wall or ceiling-to-wall diaphragm connections in line with SSK-004

									FOR COST	ING UCTION
	aurecon	Ľ	Project:	Drawn TDD	Signed	Date Issue Date	Drawing Title:		Project No. 213970	
	Auracon New Zealand Limited Unit 1, 150 Cavendeh Road (PO Box 1061) Enablisherine: +64 3 379 6955	ANGLICAN CHURCH	ST JAMES CHURCH, 69 RICCARTON ROAD, CHRISTCHURCH	Designed HD Verified	Signed	Date Issue Date Date	NORTH ELEVATION		Scale 1:50	Sheet Size
O2 ISOUD FOR COSTING HD GRW GRW 01 28-07-11 ISSUED FOR COSTING HD GKW GKW Rev. Date Revision Details Des. Ver. App.	Crimiculturin, twee Jealand Ernald and the data accepts the risk of: A person using Auroon drawings and other data accepts the risk of: 1. using the drawings and other data need-tonic from without expecting and checking them for accuracy spatiant the original hard copy resistor. 2. using the drawings or other data for without properties of the original hard copy resistor.			Approved GKW	Signed	Date Issue Date	-		Drawing No. S-03-02	Revision 02
	•							20 10 0 10 20	0 30 40 50	100m





1. REFER DRAWING S-00-01 FOR STANDARD NOTES





													FOR COST	ING UCTION
						Client:	Project:	Drawn	Signed	Date	Drawing Title:		Project No.	
					aurocon			TDD		Issue Date			213970	
					u ui ecuii			Designed	Signed	Date	WEST ELEVATION)	Scale	Sheet Size
				_			ST JAMES CHURCH, 69 RICCARTON	HD		Issue Date)		
					Aurecon New Zealand Limited Telephone: +64 3 366 0821 Unit 1, 150 Cavendish Road (PO Box 1061) Facsimile: +64 3 379 6955	DRODERTV TRUSTEES	ROAD. CHRISTCHURCH	Verified	Signed	Date)	1:50	AT
02	13-04-12	ISSUED FOR COSTING	HD GK	W GKW	Christchurch, New Zealand Email: christchurch@ap.aurecongroup.com			GKW		Issue Date			Drawing No.	Bauisian
01	28-07-11	ISSUED FOR COSTING	HD GK	W GKW	A person using Aurecon drawings and other data accepts the risk of: 1 using the drawings and other data in electronic form without requesting and checking them for			Approved	Signed	Date	1)		Revision
Rev.	Date	Revision Details	Des. Ver	Арр.	accuracy against the original hard copy versions; 2. using the drawings or other data for any purpose not agreed to in writing by Aurecon.			GKW	9	Issue Date			5-03-04	02
											2	<u>40</u> 10 0 10 20	30 40 50	100mm

1. REFER DRAWING S-00-01 FOR STANDARD NOTES





NOTES: 1. REFER DRAWING S-00-01 FOR STANDARD NOTES

Use Python masonry screws @ 500 crs. each way

					FO	R COS	TING
					NOT F	OR CONST	RUCTION
le:					Project No.	213970	
AIR DETAILS - SHEET 1					Scale		Sheet Size
					1:1	0	A1
					Drawing No.		Revision
						S-04-01	02
	20 10	0	10	20	30 40	50	100mm











SSK-001 - Roof Diaphragm Option #1

M16 threaded rods epoxied into masonry walls @ 600 crs.

200mm DIA.x10mm THK. PLATE

_ M16 STAINLESS STEEL ROD @ RAFTER LOCATIONS

 EXISTING GABLE END WALL

	PROJECT:	
Holmes	JOB NO:	_ DATE:
	SSK:	REV: <u>_X</u>



SSK-001 - Roof Diaphragm Option #2

M16 threaded rods epoxied into masonry walls @ 600 crs.

200mm DIA.x10mm THK. PLATE

_ M16 STAINLESS STEEL ROD @ RAFTER LOCATIONS

 EXISTING GABLE END WALL

	PROJECT:	
Holmes	JOB NO:	_ DATE:
	SSK:	REV: <u>_X</u>



	PROJECT:	
Holmes	JOB NO:	_ DATE:
	SSK:	REV: <u>_X</u>



if ceiling diaphragm (Option #2) is preferred, refer to this arrangement

	PROJECT:	
Holmes	JOB NO:	_ DATE:
	SSK:	REV: <u>_X</u> _