

Plan Change 14 Section 42A Report Suzanne Richmond - APPENDIX B: TABLE OF SUBMISSIONS WITH RECOMMENDATIONS (Updated for Council Reply – 17 May 2024)

The following provides an updated account of response to submissions relevant to the s42A of Suzanne Richmond.

Changes to recommended responses to submissions have been formatted in the same manner as Right of Reply provisions, being text in **bold dark orange underlined** indicates responses to submissions that are recommended to be altered from the recommendations to the s42A and text recommended to be deleted in **bold dark orange strikethrough**.

Where the officer recommendation on a specific submission point is to “reject”, this recommendation may be based on planning merit or if the submission point is considered outside the scope of this plan change, including being a matter not able to be considered through a change to the District Plan.

Council have provided documentation of both original and further submissions and is available via the following link:

<https://makeasubmission.ccc.govt.nz/PublicSubmissionSearch.aspx>.

Submission point highlighted with light orange background indicates omitted from s42A primary evidence.

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S86.6	Melissa and Scott Alman	Support	Retain existing Heritage Items on Helmores Lane (IDs 248, 249 & 250) [Helmores Lane Bridge, 2 Helmores Lane, 16 Helmores Lane].		<b>Accept</b>
S150.1	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Standards 15.11.2.11 [height limits for qualifying matters]		<b>Reject</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2082.66	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>

S150.2	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Standard 15.11.2.12 [Maximum road wall height].	<b>Reject</b>	
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2082.67	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
S150.3	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Standard 15.11.2.14 [Building tower setbacks].	<b>Reject</b>	
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2082.68	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S150.4	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Standard 15.11.2.15 [Maximum building tower dimension and building tower coverage].	<b>Reject</b>	
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2082.69	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>

S150.5	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Standard 15.11.2.16 [Minimum building tower separation].	<b>Reject</b>	
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2082.70	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
S150.6	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Oppose	a. Delete Rule 15.11.1.1.c b. Delete Rule 15.11.1.1 (P17) c. Retain activity specific standard b of Rules 15.11.1.1 (P13) [Residential activity] and (P14) [Visitor Accommodation] [specific standards for 25 Peterborough Street].	<b>Accept</b>	
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2082.71	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Reject</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	
S150.7	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Oppose	Delete Policy 15.2.4.1. a) iii) [limiting building height along Victoria Street.]	<b>Reject</b>	
S150.8 S150.27	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Support [Seek Amendment]	Remove 87-93 Victoria Street from the Victoria Street height overlay and update the planning maps accordingly.	<b>Reject</b>	

		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2093.5	Christian Jordan	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
S150.9 S150.28	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Seek Amendment	Remove the Central City Building Height 32m Overlay from 25 Peterborough Street and update the Central City Maximum Building Height Planning Map accordingly.		<b>Reject</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2093.6	Christian Jordan	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S150.10	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Seek Amendment	Remove the Central City Heritage Qualifying Matter and Precinct applied to 25 Peterborough Street and 87-93 Victoria Street and update the planning maps accordingly.		<b>Reject</b>
S150.11 S150.29	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Seek Amendment	Amend 15.11.2.11 [Central City height built form standards for a range of Qualifying Matters] to add an exemption which states that clauses ii to vi of Standard 15.11.2.11a. do not apply to any site containing a significant heritage item.		<b>Reject</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2082.72	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2093.7	Christian Jordan	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
S150.12	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Seek Amendment	Amend Rule 15.11.2.12 [Central City rule 15.11.2.12 Maximum road wall height] to include an exemption which states that clause a. does not apply to any site containing a significant heritage item.		<b>Reject</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2082.73	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S150.13	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Seek Amendment	Amend Rule 15.11.2.14 [Central City rule 15.11.2.14 Building tower setbacks] to include an exemption which states that clause a. does not apply to any site containing a significant heritage item.		<b>Reject</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2082.74	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
S150.14	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Seek Amendment	Amend Rule 15.11.2.15 [Central City rule Maximum building tower dimension and building tower coverage] to include an exemption which states that clause a. does not apply to any site containing a significant heritage item.		<b>Reject</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2082.75	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
S150.15	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Seek Amendment	Amend Rule 15.11.2.16 [Central City rule 15.11.2.16 Minimum building tower separation] to include an exemption which states that clause a) does not apply to any site containing a significant heritage item.		<b>Reject</b>

		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2082.76	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S150.16	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Seek Amendment	Create a new schedule to identify significantly damaged heritage items which face significant challenges to their ongoing restoration and economic reuse. The list is narrow, is likely to extend to no more than a dozen or so buildings, and could include the following: Victoria Mansions, Peterborough Centre, Harley Chambers (Cambridge Tce), Englefield House (Fitzgerald Ave), Empire Hotel (Norwich Quay), Daresbury (Daresbury Lane), and the Dux/ Student Union building at the Arts Centre.		<b>Reject</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2037 (no submission point – see body of FS)	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2043.5	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Church Property Trustees	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2044.140	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b>

		FS2045.146	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject
		FS2053.5	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Daresbury Limited	Support	Reject
Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S150.17	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Seek Amendment	Add new Policy that better reflects and recognises significantly damaged heritage items which face significant challenges to their repair and reuse.		Reject
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2043.6	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Church Property Trustees	Support	Reject
		FS2044.141	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Reject
		FS2045.147	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject
		FS2053.6	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Daresbury Limited	Support	Reject



Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S150.18	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Seek Amendment	Add new activity (RD9) to the rule[s] for the repair, restoration, reconstruction, or alteration of a heritage item identified in the new schedule [for significantly damaged heritage items].		Reject
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2043.7	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Church Property Trustees	Support	Reject
		FS2044.142	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Reject
		FS2045.148	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject
		FS2053.7	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Daresbury Limited	Support	Reject
S150.19	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Seek Amendment	Add new activity (RD10) to the rule[s] for the demolition of a heritage item identified in the new schedule [for significantly damaged heritage items].		Reject
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2043.8	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Church Property Trustees	Support	Reject

		FS2044.143	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2045.149	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2053.8	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Daresbury Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S150.20	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Seek Amendment	Add a new Matter of Discretion [for significantly damaged heritage items] relating to the provision of a heritage restoration assessment or a heritage demolition assessment (the latter being applicable if the heritage item is to be demolished); engineering and Quantity Surveying evidence; photographic records; and a deconstruction salvage plan.		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2043.9	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Church Property Trustees	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2044.144	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2045.150	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>

		FS2053.9	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Daresbury Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S150.21	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Oppose	Delete the proposed changes to Rule 9.3.4.1.1 (P9) [replacement of structures in heritage settings].		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2043.10	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Church Property Trustees	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2044.145	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2045.151	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2051.74	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2053.10	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Daresbury Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S150.22	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Oppose	[Retain] P11 [operative rule 9.3.4.1.1 P11 Reconstruction and Restoration]. <del>regarding works to monuments in church graveyards, and in cemeteries that are listed in Appendix 9.3.7.2.</del>		<b>Reject</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2043.11	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Church Property Trustees	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2044.146	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2045.152	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2053.11	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Daresbury Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>
S150.23	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Oppose	[Retain] P12, [operative rule 9.3.4.1.1 P12 temporary lifting] <del>regarding the demolition or relocation of a neutral building or intrusive building.</del>		<b>Reject</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	

		FS2043.12	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Church Property Trustees	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2044.147	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2045.153	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2051.75	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2053.12	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Daresbury Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S150.24	Terri Winder for Ceres New Zealand	Oppose	[Retain] Matter of Discretion 9.3.6.1 a. – [Heritage items and heritage settings (operative) a.]		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2043.13	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Church Property Trustees	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2044.148	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b>

		FS2045.154	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2051.76	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2053.13	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Daresbury Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S151.1 S151.2 S152.1 S152.2	Papanui Heritage Group	Oppose	Opposed to the High Density Residential Zone extending into the residential streets of Papanui and seek that it is greatly reduced and excludes the following streets - St James Avenue, Windermere Road, Gambia Street, Dormer Street, Perry Street, Halton Street, Paparoa Street, Rayburn Avenue and Tomes Road.		<b>Reject</b> (heritage items)
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2037.215 FS2037.216	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2063.17 FS2063.18 FS2063.20	Ryman Healthcare Limited	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2064.17 FS2064.18 FS2064.19	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	
S182.1 S182.2 S182.3	Rosanne Hawarden	Seek Amendment	Opposes the change to the current zoning of suburban residential transitional zoning around Jane Deans Close, Riccarton. [The area in question has been zoned as a High Density Residential Zone under the proposed PC14].  That Jane Deans Close be included as a Residential Heritage Area.	<b>Reject</b> (heritage items)	
S188.13 S188.14 S188.15	Riccarton Bush - Kilmarnock Residents' Association	Seek Amendment	[That] Jane Deans Close [should have intensification restricted through a Qualifying Matter and] retain its current zoning of Residential Suburban Density Transition [RSDT] [instead of HRZ].	<b>Reject</b> (heritage items)	
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2027 (no submission point – see body of FS)	Waipuna Halswell Hornby Riccarton Community Board	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2037.252	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2082.101	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2083 (no submission point – see body of FS)	Robert Broughton	Support	<b>Reject</b>

<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S193	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Strongly supports the proposed strengthening of heritage provisions. [No submission point, see submission p. 2, paragraph 11].		<b>Accept</b>
S193	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Notes that Council's advisory role in relation to private owners' compliance with the archaeological provisions of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 is likely to increase with intensification [No submission point – see submission p. 2, paragraph 13].		<b>Accept</b>
S193	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Supports mechanisms for addressing the ongoing issue of 'demolition by neglect' [No submission point – see submission p. 2, paragraph 14].		<b>Accept</b>
S193.1	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	[Retain the proposed] definition of alteration.		<b>Accept</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2037.269	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	<b>Accept</b>



Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S193.4	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Seek Amendment	[With respect to the Heritage fabric definition]: remove [proposed clause] (b) [later fabric introduced as part of repairs, restoration or reconstruction]. [Retain final clause that excludes fabric that has been certified as non-heritage fabric.]		<b>Reject in Part/Accept in Part</b>
S193.5	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Retain proposed definition of heritage professional		<b>Accept</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2037.271	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	<b>Accept</b>
S193.9	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Retain [8.9.2.1] P1 [amended activity specific standard i) for earthworks in the vicinity of heritage items and heritage settings] as proposed.		<b>Accept</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
S193 (no submission point – see body of submission)	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Retain amendments as proposed for Policy 9.3.2.2.3 Management of scheduled historic heritage [supports the removal of ‘recognising that heritage settings and Significant heritage items are potentially capable of accommodating a greater degree of change than Highly Significant heritage items’ in part b.i.] [No submission point – see submission Appendix 1 - page 4.]	<b>Accept</b>
S193.10	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Retain Policy 9.3.2.2.5 as proposed [Ongoing use of scheduled historic heritage.]	<b>Accept</b>
S193.11	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Seek Amendment	[Seeks] the addition of a new clause in [Policy] 9.3.2.2.8 [Demolition of scheduled historic heritage]: <b><u>vi. Should demolition be approved, whether the setting should be retained/rescheduled as an open space heritage item.</u></b> Retain a.ii. [as notified, the addition of ‘and the heritage item would no longer meet the threshold for scheduling...’].	<b>Reject in part/Accept in part</b>

	<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
	FS2037.275	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	<b>Reject in part/Accept in part</b>
	FS2043.1	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Church Property Trustees	Oppose	<b>Reject in part/Accept in part</b>
	FS2044.136	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	<b>Reject in part/Accept in part</b>
	FS2045.142	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Oppose	<b>Reject in part/Accept in part</b>
	FS2053.1	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Daresbury Limited	Oppose	<b>Reject in part/Accept in part</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	
S193.12	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	Remov[e] [9.3.4.1.1] P8 [as notified].	Reject	
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2043.2	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Church Property Trustees	Oppose	Accept
		FS2044.137	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	Accept
		FS2045.143	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Oppose	Accept
		FS2053.2	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Daresbury Limited	Oppose	Accept
S193.13	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Seek Amendment	<p>The inclusion of] a new restricted discretionary activity [to replace rule 9.3.4.1.1. P8]:</p> <p><b><u>a. Alteration, relocation or demolition of a building, structure or feature in a heritage setting, where the building, structure or feature is not individually scheduled as a heritage item.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>b. This rule does not apply to works subject to rules 9.3.4.1.3 RD1 and RD2. The Council's</u></b></p>	Reject	

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	
			<u>discretion shall be limited to the following matters: 9.3.6.1 Heritage items and heritage settings.</u>		
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2043.3	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Church Property Trustees	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2044.138	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2045.144	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2053.3	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Daresbury Limited	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2082.108	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
S193.14	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Retain [9.3.4.1.2] as proposed [deletion of C1 – C5 and proposed C1 in relation to ChristChurch Cathedral and the Citizens’ War Memorial].	<b>Accept</b>	

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	
S193.17	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Retain as proposed ['supports the scheduling of 44 additional heritage items and 26 additional interiors for protection...'. 'Supports the simplified method of protecting interiors.']	<b>Accept</b>	
S193.20	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Retain [15.11.1.3] RD11 as proposed [Any building that does not meet Rule 15.11.2.11 (a)(ii), (iii), and (vi) in respect to all buildings on New Regent Street, the Arts Centre, and in the Central City Heritage Qualifying Matter and Precinct].	<b>Accept</b>	
S193.21	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Retain all existing heritage items, settings, and features as a Qualifying Matter.	<b>Accept</b>	
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		(no submission point– see body of FS)	Waipuna Halswell Hornby Riccarton Community Board	Support	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2037.276	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2062.25	Riccarton Bush Kilmarnock Residents' Association	Support	<b>Accept</b>
S193.22	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Retain [activity] P1 as proposed [removal of activity specific standard a.ii. in 9.3.4.1.1 P1 Maintenance].	<b>Accept</b>	

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	
S193.23	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Retain [activity 9.3.4.1.1] P2 [Repairs] as proposed.	<b>Accept</b>	
S193.28	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Seek Amendment	Amend column heading [in Appendix 9.3.7.2 Schedule of Historic Heritage Items] to remove reference to registration: <b><u>Heritage NZ Pouhere Taonga Heritage List number &amp; registration type</u></b>	<b>Accept</b>	
S193.29	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Seek Amendment	Amend Item 1401 [entry for Former Public Trust Office] to include list number and category: [ <u>Heritage NZ Pouhere Taonga Heritage List number &amp; type</u> ] <b>3128 Category 2</b>	<b>Accept</b>	
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2037.277	Christchurch Civic Trust	Accept	<b>Accept</b>
S193.30	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Seek Amendment	Amend Setting Map 629 to show the current location of Heritage Item 107 [Citizens' War Memorial and Setting].	<b>Accept</b>	
S206.1 S206.2 S206.3	Emma Wheeler	Seek Amendment	Removing St James Avenue and Windermere Road from the intensification plan.	<b>Reject</b> (heritage items)	

		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2037.298	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject
Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S225.5 S225.6 S225.9	Michael Dore	Seek Amendment	The History, Character and Heritage of our City of Christchurch should be protected at all costs.		Reject
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2051.118	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	Reject
		FS2037.320	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject
		FS (no submission point– see body of FS)	Waipuna Halswell Hornby Riccarton Community Board	Support	Reject



Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	
S306.1 S306.4	Matty Lovell	Seek Amendment	[That] St James Avenue, Papanui [is not zoned] High Density Residential.	<b>Reject</b> (heritage items)	
S306.3	Matty Lovell	Seek Amendment	[That] St James Avenue, Papanui [retains its existing zoning].	<b>Reject</b> (heritage items)	
S329.1 S329.2	Dominic Mahoney	Seek Amendment	Retain [operative] Residential Suburban zoning on Perry Street [Merivale], [adjoining Papanui War Memorial Avenues proposed heritage item #1459].	<b>Reject</b> (heritage items)	
S329.3 S329.4	Dominic Mahoney	Seek Amendment	[That] four streets (St James, Windermere, Dormer and Perry) [are given heritage protection recognised as a Residential Heritage Area] [adjoining Papanui War Memorial Avenues proposed heritage item #1459].	<b>Reject</b> (heritage items)	
S402.1 S402.2 S402.5 S402.9 S1037.1 S1037.2	Justin Avi	Seek Amendment	Remove Antonio Hall (265 Riccarton Road) from the heritage list [Appendix 9.3.7.2].	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>	
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2027 (no submission point– see body of FS)	Waipuna Halswell Hornby Riccarton Community Board	Support in part	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>
		FS2037.489 FS2037.490 FS2037.493	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>

		FS2051.95	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>
		FS2084.6	Christian Jordan	Oppose	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S459.1	Joseph Bray	Support	[Seeking] that the council passes all proposed amendments to PC13 and PC14.		<b>Accept</b>
S636.3	Rod Corbett	Seek Amendment	The submitter requests that the existing War Memorial within the Jane Deans Close cul-de-sac be preserved as a heritage item in memory of the members of the NZ 20th Battalion & 20th Regiment who lost their lives in support of New Zealand's freedom.		<b>Reject</b>
S683.2	Dot Fahey	Oppose	Oppose high density zoning in area around St Peter's Church [Upper Riccarton] and Ballantyne Avenue Cycle route.		<b>Reject</b> (heritage items)
S689.18	Environment Canterbury / Canterbury Regional Council	Support	[Retain Sub-Chapter 9.3 as notified]		<b>Accept</b>
S699 (no submission point – see submission para.3)	Christ's College	Support	Christ's College is generally supportive of Plan Change 13 in respect of the identification and management of historic heritage and the use of qualifying matters for individually listed heritage items – noting that historic heritage is a matter of national significance in Section 6.		<b>Accept</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	
S700.4 S700.5	Hilary Talbot	Support	Supports the retention of Heritage listed Englefield House.	<b>Accept</b>	
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2037.549 FS2037.550 FS2037.990 FS2037.991	Christchurch Civic Trust824	Support	<b>Accept</b>
S709.1	Philippa Tucker	Seek Amendment	Amend the schedule of heritage items [Appendix 9.3.7.2 - Papanui War Memorial Avenues proposed heritage item #1459] to include the street, housing, trees, plaques [on Windermere Road].	<b>Reject</b>	
S709.2	Philippa Tucker	Seek Amendment	Seek amendment to [planning map] heritage layer for [outline of Papanui] War Memorial [Avenues heritage item #1459] Heritage Protection for Windermere Road.	<b>Reject</b>	
S709.4	Philippa Tucker	Oppose	That the northwest side of Windermere Road is not zoned Medium Density Residential.	<b>Reject</b> (heritage items)	
S709.5	Philippa Tucker	Oppose	That the northeast side of Windermere Road is not zoned High Density Residential.	<b>Reject</b> (heritage items)	
S709.6	Philippa Tucker	Seek Amendment	[That Windermere Road retains the operative Residential Suburban zoning].	<b>Reject</b> (heritage items)	
S734.3 S734.4	Marie Byrne	Seek Amendment	[Seek] adding an interface between heritage properties and residential areas.	<b>Reject</b>	

		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2037 (no submission point – see body of FS)	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	<b>Reject</b>
S737.9 S1086.2	Christian Jordan	Seek Amendment	This plan review should not be used to remove any Historic Sites from the register even if the site is damaged or destroyed.		<b>No position</b> (See also paragraphs 7.1.8 and 8.1.24 in relation to submissions seeking removal of protection for existing heritage items. Panel to determine if within scope.)
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2051.43	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	<b>No position</b>
		FS2099.5	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>No position</b>
S749.7	Luke Hinchey, for Ryman Healthcare Ltd	Not Stated	Seeks to ensure that the amendments to [heritage provisions are] not more restrictive than the operative District Plan as it applies to 78 Park Terrace, 100-104 Park Terrace and 20 Dorset Street [and do not conflict with the consented proposal for the site].		<b>Reject</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S762.39 S762.44 S762.45	Daniel Crooks for New Zealand Institute of Architects Canterbury Branch	Seek Amendment	[T]hat the Victoria Street [height] overlay is [extended] to also include the section between Kilmore Street and Chester Street West.		<b>Reject (based on amended CCZ height proposal)</b> <b>Accept (if CCZ height decided as notified)</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2037.809	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	<b>Reject (based on amended CCZ height proposal)</b> <b>Accept (if CCZ height decided as notified)</b>
		FS2082.568	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Accept (based on amended CCZ height proposal)</b> <b>Reject (if CCZ height decided as notified)</b>
S765.1	Margaret Howley	Oppose	Oppose MRZ and any intensification of housing in Papanui streets which include the Papanui WWII Memorial Plantings.		<b>Reject</b> (heritage items)
S765.2	Margaret Howley	Support	Supports the qualifying matter for heritage overlay for the Papanui WWII Memorial Plantings.		<b>Accept</b>
S765.3	Margaret Howley	Support	Supports the scheduling of heritage items for the Papanui WWII Memorial Planting.		<b>Accept</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S814. S824. (no submission point, see paragraph 21-23)	Carter Group Limited	Seek Amendment	Amend heritage setting 336 for New Regent Street heritage item 404 in Appendix 9.3.7.2 so that northern extent of the setting ends at the southernmost point of Armagh Street.		<b>Reject</b> <b>Accept in part (amend setting to exclude northern footpath of Armagh Street)</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2044.160	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b> <b>Accept in part</b>
S814.3 S824.15	Carter Group Limited	Oppose	Oppose the [notified] definition of Alteration. [Retain operative] definition.		<b>Reject</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2044.160	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2051.1	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2082.833	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Support	<b>Reject</b>

S814.12 S824.24	Carter Group Limited	Oppose	Oppose the [notified] definition of Demolition. Seek that the [operative] definition is retained.	<b>Reject</b>	
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2044.160	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2082.842	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Support	<b>Reject</b>
S814.22 S824.34	Carter Group Limited	Oppose	Oppose [notified] definition of Heritage setting. Seek that the [operative] definition is retained.	<b>Reject</b> <b>Accept in part</b>	
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2044.160	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2051.12	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2082.852	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Support	<b>Reject</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S814.23 S824.35	Carter Group Limited	Support	Retain the definition for Heritage Building Code works as notified.		<b>Accept</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2044.160	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2082.853	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Reject</b>
S814.30 S824.42	Carter Group Limited	Support	Retain the definition of Reconstruction as notified.		<b>Accept</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2044.160	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2082.860	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Reject</b>



Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S814.31 S824.43	Carter Group Limited	Oppose	Oppose the [notified] definition of Relocation. Seek that the [operative] definition is retained.		<b>Reject</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2044.160	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2082.861	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Support	<b>Reject</b>
S814.32 S824.44	Carter Group Limited	Support	Retain the definition for Repairs as notified.		<b>Accept</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2044.160	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2082.862	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Reject</b>


Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S814.34 S824.46	Carter Group Limited	Support	Retain the definition for Restoration as notified.		<b>Accept</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2044.160	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2082.864	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Reject</b>
S814.93 S824.124	Carter Group Limited	Support	Retain the Rules in 8.9 as notified [Earthworks standard for heritage items and settings].		<b>Accept</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2044.160	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Accept</b>
S814.95 S824.107	Carter Group Limited	Oppose	Oppose Policy 9.3.2.2.3 [Management of scheduled historic heritage]. Seek that the [operative] policy is retained.		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	

		FS2044.160	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2051.55	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S814.97 S824.109	Carter Group Limited	Oppose	Oppose Policy 9.3.2.2.8 [Demolition of heritage items]. Seek that the [operative] policy is retained.		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2044.160	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2051.63	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
S814.100 S824.112	Carter Group Limited	Oppose	Oppose 9.3.6.1(a). Seek that the [operative] (a) is retained [Matters of discretion - Heritage items and heritage settings relating to the Canterbury earthquakes of 2010 and 2011].		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2042.62	Cambridge 137 Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>

		FS2044.160	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2051.81	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S814.105 S824.117	Carter Group Limited	Seek Amendment	Delete Heritage Item 390 and Heritage Setting 287 at 32 Armagh Street from Appendix 9.3.7.2.		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2044.160	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b>
S814.107 S824.119	Carter Group Limited	Oppose	Oppose 9.3.7.4 [changes to Appendix - Heritage item and heritage setting exemptions from zone rules]. Seek that the [operative] Appendix is retained.		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2044.160	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S814.185	Carter Group Limited	Seek Amendment	[15.2.4.1 - Policy - Scale and form of development] Delete the amendments to clause (a) of the policy. Adopt the amendments to clause (b) of the policy.		<b>Reject</b> (in relation to a. iv. New Regent Street height overlay and interface, and a. v. the Arts Centre height overlay and interface)
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2044.160	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b> (in relation to a. iv. New Regent Street height overlay and interface, and a. v. the Arts Centre height overlay and interface)
S814.201 S824.128	Carter Group Limited	Oppose	Oppose 15.11.1.3 RD11 [New Regent Street and Arts Centre Central City Heritage Qualifying Matter]. Seek that this be deleted.		<b>Reject</b> (in relation to a. ii., iii, vi)
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2044.160	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b> (in relation to a. ii., iii, vi)
		FS2051.111	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S814.205 S824.129	Carter Group Limited	Oppose	Oppose Rule 15.11.2.11. Seek that this be deleted. [City Centre Building height rule -ii., iii, vi. relate to New Regent Street and Arts Centre height overlay and Central City Heritage Qualifying Matter interface]. [The submission seeks alternative relief - at minimum removal of Central City Heritage interface from the block bounded by Oxford Terrace, Manchester Street, Armagh Street, and Colombo Street, or remove the Heritage interface relating to New Regent Street.]		<b>Reject</b> (in relation to a. ii., iii, vi)
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2042.59	Cambridge 137 Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b> (in relation to a. ii., iii, vi)
		FS2044.160	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	<b>Reject</b> (in relation to a. ii., iii, vi)

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
S818.1	Anita Collie, for Malaghans Investments Ltd	Seek Amendment	<p>[That the Central City Heritage Qualifying Matter and Precinct (interface) for New Regent Street is extended to cover the area shown in blue in Figure 2 - to include the blocks bounded by Gloucester Street, Manchester Street, Oxford Terrace, and Colombo Street, and including the properties to the east of Manchester Street at 200 Armagh Street and 185 Gloucester Street]:</p>  <p><b>Figure 2:</b> Area to be included in height limit restriction coloured in blue (Plan Change Map CCC).</p>	<b>Reject</b>

		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2044.156	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	Accept
		FS2045.168	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Oppose	Accept
Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S818.2	Anita Collie, for Malaghans Investments Ltd	Support	[Retain operative heritage protection for New Regent Street Shops and Setting, item 404].		Accept Accept in part (amend setting to exclude northern footpath of Armagh Street)
S818.3	Anita Collie, for Malaghans Investments Ltd	Seek Amendment	[T]hat the [permitted] building height for the properties bound by Gloucester, Manchester, Oxford [Terrace] and Col[o]mbo streets [within the Central City Heritage Qualifying Matter and Precinct (interface) for New Regent Street] be a maximum of no more than 3 stories in height above ground [Rule 15.11.2.11 a. vi].		Reject
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2044.157	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	Accept



		FS2045.169	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S818.4	Anita Collie, for Malaghans Investments Ltd	Seek Amendment	[That a new NC rule is added] for a height breach within the area bound by Gloucester, Manchester, Oxford [Terrace] and Col[o]mbo streets [within the Central City Heritage Qualifying Matter and Precinct (interface) for New Regent Street].		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2044.158	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2045.170	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
S818.5	Anita Collie, for Malaghans Investments Ltd	Seek Amendment	<p>[New objective and policy/ies sought for the Central City Heritage Interface Overlay] that requires:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• avoidance of any buildings over the [suggested 3 storey] height limit;</li> <li>• avoidance of the loss of sunlight within all areas of the New Regent Street Precinct;</li> <li>• that any new building must be designed to at least maintain current levels of access to sunlight;</li> <li>• the design for the site redevelopment to protect the heritage values of New Regent Street and to incorporate positive</li> </ul>		<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>

			design features to accentuate the heritage precinct, rather than turn its back to it.		
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2027 (no submission point – see body of FS)	Waipuna Halswell Hornby Riccarton Community Board	Support	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>
		FS2044.159	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>
		FS2045.171	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Oppose	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>
		FS2051.110	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S823.3	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	Definition of 'Alteration". Retain status quo.		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2045.176	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>

		FS2051.2	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	Accept
Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S823.12	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	Definition 'Demolition'. Retain status quo.		Reject
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2045.185	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject
S823.26	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Definition 'Reconstruction'. Retain as proposed.		Accept
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2045.199	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	Accept
S823.27	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	Definition 'Relocation'. Retain status quo.		Reject
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	

		FS2045.200	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S823.28	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Definition 'Repairs'. Retain as proposed.		<b>Accept</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2045.201	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Accept</b>
S823.30	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Definition 'Restoration'. Retain as proposed.		<b>Accept</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2045.203	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Accept</b>
S823.40	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	Delete or otherwise amend Table 1 [New Regent Street and Arts Centre Central City Heritage Qualifying Matter] and the extent of Qualifying Matters in a manner consistent with the relief sought by the submitter on other provisions in PC14.		<b>Reject</b> (in relation to Central City Heritage interface overlay)

		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2045.213	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b> (in relation to Central City Heritage interface overlay)
Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S823.86	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Retain as notified [earthworks standard in 8.9.2.1 P1 i), exemptions in 8.9.3 and matters of discretion 8.9.4.6].		<b>Accept</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2045.259	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Accept</b>
S823.151	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Seek Amendment	Delete the amendments to clause (a) of the policy. Adopt the amendments to clause (b) of the policy [15.2.4.1 - Policy - Scale and form of development].		<b>Reject</b> (in relation to a. iv. New Regent Street height overlay and interface, and a. v. the Arts Centre height overlay and interface)
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2045.324	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b> (in relation to a. iv. New Regent Street height overlay and interface, and a. v. the Arts Centre height overlay and interface)
S823.167	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	Rule 15.11.1.3 RD11 - Delete [New Regent Street and Arts Centre Central City Heritage Qualifying Matter]		<b>Reject</b> (in relation to New Regent Street and Arts Centre Central City Heritage Qualifying Matter)

		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2045.340	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b> (in relation to New Regent Street and Arts Centre Central City Heritage Qualifying Matter)
		FS2051.112	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b> (in relation to New Regent Street and Arts Centre Central City Heritage Qualifying Matter)
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S823.171	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	Delete rule 15.11.2.11 in its entirety [City Centre Building height rule - ii., iii, vi. relate to New Regent Street and Arts Centre height overlay and Central City Heritage Qualifying Matter interface].		<b>Reject</b> (in relation to a. ii., iii, vi)
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2045.344	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b> (in relation to a. ii., iii, vi)
S823.207 S823.208 S823.235	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Seek Amendment	Retain the SPS and CCMUZ zoning of the land at 136 Barbadoes Street [identified in original submission], but delete the heritage listing/outline from the planning maps.		<b>Accept</b> (deletion of heritage item outline from planning maps)
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2045.380 FS2045.381 FS2045.408	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Accept</b> (deletion of heritage item outline from planning maps)

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S823.214	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	[Supports] definition of 'Heritage Building Code works'.		Accept
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2045.387	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	Accept
		FS2082.1224	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	Reject
S823.215	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	Delete [changes to] definition of 'Heritage setting'.		Reject Accept in part
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2045.388	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject
		FS2051.13	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	Accept
S823.218	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	Retain status quo [9.3.2.2.3 - Policy - Management of scheduled historic heritage].		Reject

		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2045.391	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2051.56	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S823.220	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	Retain status quo [9.3.2.2.8 - Policy - Demolition of heritage items].		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2045.393	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2051.64	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
S823.223	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	Retain status quo for 9.3.6.1(a) [Matters of discretion - Heritage items and heritage settings].		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	



		FS2042.63 (see final page of submission for correct submission point and rule)	Cambridge 137 Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2045.396	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2051.83	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S823.228	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Seek Amendment	Delete Heritage Item 390 and Heritage Setting 287 regarding 32 Armagh Street from Appendix 9.3.7.2.		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2045.401	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>
S823.230	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	Retain status quo. [9.3.7.4 - Appendix - Heritage item and heritage setting exemptions from zone rules]		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	

		FS2045.403	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject
Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S823.234	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	Amend the planning maps applying to the land bounded by Oxford Terrace, Manchester Street, Armagh Street, and Colombo Street, as follows [map of area shown in original submission]: a. Delete the extent of the heritage setting for New Regent Street (being heritage setting 336 associated with heritage item 404 in Appendix 9.3.7.2 schedule [New Regent Street], so that it ends at the southernmost edge of Armagh Street, being where New Regent Street meets Armagh Street. b. Delete the Central City Heritage Interface overlay.		<b>Reject</b> <b>Accept a. in part (amend New Regent Street setting to exclude northern footpath of Armagh Street)</b> <b>Reject b.</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2045.407	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject
S825.1	Church Property Trustees	Oppose	Retain status quo [with regard to the definition of 'Alteration'].		Reject
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	

		FS2051.3	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	Accept
Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S825.2	Church Property Trustees	Oppose	Retain status quo [with regard to the definition of 'Demolition'].		Reject
S825.3	Church Property Trustees	Oppose	Retain status quo [with regard to the definition of 'Heritage setting'].		Reject Accept in part
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2051.14	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	Accept Accept in part
S825.4	Church Property Trustees	Oppose	Retain status quo [with regard to Policy 9.3.2.2.8- Demolition of scheduled historic heritage].		Reject
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2051.65	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	Accept
S825.5	Church Property Trustees	Oppose	Retain status quo for 9.3.6.1(a) [Matters of discretion - Heritage items and heritage settings].		Reject

		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2042.64 (see final page of submission for correct submission point and rule)	Cambridge 137 Limited	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2051.85	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S825.6 S825.7	Church Property Trustees	Seek Amendment	Delete Heritage Item 465 and Heritage Setting 220 regarding 65 Riccarton Road from Appendix 9.3.7.2.		<b>Reject</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2027 (no submission point – see body of FS)	Waipuna Halswell Hornby Riccarton Community Board	Support	<b>Reject</b>
S825.8	Church Property Trustees	Oppose	Retain the status quo [with regard to Appendix 9.3.7.4 Heritage item and heritage setting exemptions].		<b>Reject</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S834.105	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Support	Retain sites of historic heritage items and their settings (City Centre Zone) - Cathedral Square, New Regent Street, the Arts Centre.		Accept
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2037.10	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept
		FS2044.74	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	Reject
		FS2045.78	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Oppose	Reject
S834.106	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Support	15.11.1.2 C2 Works at 100 Cathedral Square 15.11.1.3 RD9 Works at 100 Cathedral Square 15.11.1.3 RD11 buildings on New Regent Street, the Arts Centre, and in the Central City Heritage Qualifying Matter and Precinct.  Retain sites of historic heritage items and their settings (City Centre Zone) - Cathedral Square, New Regent Street, the Arts Centre.		Accept
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2037.11	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept

		FS2044.75	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2045.79	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Oppose	<b>Reject</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S834.107	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Support	15.11.2.11 Building height in area-specific precincts  Retain sites of historic heritage items and their settings (City Centre Zone) - Cathedral Square, New Regent Street, the Arts Centre.		<b>Accept</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2037.12	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2044.76	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2045.80	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Oppose	<b>Reject</b>
S835.1	Historic Places Canterbury	Seek Amendment	Broadly supportive of the proposed changes, however amendments are suggested in respect of buffer zones [or reduced heights] surrounding Hagley Park, Cranmer Square and Latimer Square.		<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>

		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2037.588 FS2037.686	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>
		FS2082.757	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S835.2	Historic Places Canterbury	Support	The submitter supports all qualifying matters.		<b>Accept</b> (heritage items QM)
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2037.589 FS2037.687	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	<b>Accept</b> (heritage items QM)

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S835.12 S835.13 S835.14 S835.15	Historic Places Canterbury	Seek Amendment	[Strongly support the lower height limits proposed adjacent to New Regent Street, the Arts Centre and Cathedral Square.] The submitter suggests that creating a Qualifying Interface Area similar to that proposed for Riccarton Bush may be a more flexible means of providing a buffer for the heritage areas of Hagley Park, Cranmer Square and Latimer Square than adjusting the height limits around them. The submitter believes that it is important that some mechanism be put in place to protect their heritage values, their open space landscape values and the view southwards from within those spaces.		<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2063.158 FS2063.159 FS2063.160 FS2063.161	Ryman Healthcare Limited	Oppose	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>
		FS2064.152 FS2064.153 FS2064.154 FS2064.155	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	Oppose	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>



Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S835.19	Historic Places Canterbury	Seek Amendment	The submitter supports the proposed simplification and clarification of the rules for heritage to help make them more workable, effective and easily understood. However, the submitter is concerned that the rules around consent to demolish contain no acknowledgement of the waste generated through demolition, or the carbon retention benefits of embodied energy within buildings. It is the submitter's contention that the carbon impact of granting a demolition consent needs to be factored into the decision making process and that the rules should be amended accordingly. Owners should also be required to provide information on the cost of demolition to allow a fairer assessment of the cost to them of retaining a listed building.		<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2043.4	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Church Property Trustees	Oppose	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>
		FS2044.139	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>
		FS2045.145	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Oppose	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>
		FS2051.71	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>

		FS2053.4	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Daresbury Limited	Oppose	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S835.24	Historic Places Canterbury	Support	The submitter supports the proposed addition of sites and interiors to the heritage schedule, including the upgrading of some listings. The submitter commends the commitment of the Council to providing interior protection for scheduled buildings and recognise that this is an ongoing process. It is pleasing that 26 interiors are proposed to be added to the schedule in this plan change. [Also seeks heritage protection of Barnett Avenue Pensioner cottages, the Upper Riccarton War Memorial Library, The Princess Margaret Hospital and the former High Court.]		<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b>
S835.25	Historic Places Canterbury	Oppose	The submitter notes that Paragraph 3.3.15 of the s. 32 Report states that the owners of Daresbury (Highly Significant) and 32 Armagh St (Significant) wish to have their buildings removed from the Heritage Schedule. The submitter is strongly opposed to this. Though 32 Armagh is only scheduled as Significant we believe it is important that this building should also be retained on the list, especially as it forms part of the Inner City West Residential Heritage Area.		<b>Accept</b>
S857.1	Bruce Neill Alexander	Seek Amendment	The submitter seeks that their property, 111 Hackthorne Road is included in the heritage schedule due to its age and history.		<b>Reject</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	
S870.13 S893.14	Susanne and Janice Antill	Oppose	[Relevant text of submission reads: "4. What does this sentence mean: "Heritage that should be protected, with a number of new buildings, items and interiors added to the Schedule of Significant Historic Heritage."? This does not make sense. Are you trying to pull a fast one? And are you going to destroy anything that you do not deem to be of historical significance? Will you destroy the character of Christchurch the way you deconstructed and destroyed the Christchurch Library?"]	<b>Reject</b> Submission text indicates submitters seek clarification of PC14 proposal for heritage, and may support protection of heritage values, but position is unclear.	
S874.1	Daresbury Ltd	Oppose	[Seeks to oppose the] [d]efinition of 'Alteration'.	<b>Reject</b>	
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2037.613	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2051.4	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
S874.2	Daresbury Ltd	Oppose	[Seeks to oppose the] [d]efinition of 'Demolition.	<b>Reject</b>	

		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2037.614	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept
Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S874.3	Daresbury Ltd	Oppose	[Seeks to oppose the] [d]efinition of 'Heritage setting'.		Reject Accept in part
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2037.615	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept Accept in part
		FS2051.15	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	Accept Accept in part
S874.4	Daresbury Ltd	Support	[Seeks council retains the] [d]efinition of 'Heritage Building Code Works' [as proposed].		Accept
S874.5	Daresbury Ltd	Support	Seeks council to retain the [d]efinition of 'Reconstruction' as proposed.		Accept
S874.6	Daresbury Ltd	Oppose	[Regarding the definition of 'Relocation'] [o]pposes the deletion of the exclusions in (a) and (b).		Reject

		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2037.618	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept
Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S874.7	Daresbury Ltd	Support	[Seeks Council retain the proposed definition of 'repairs'.		Accept
S874.8	Daresbury Ltd	Support	[Seeks Council retain the proposed] definition of 'Restoration'.		Accept
S874.9	Daresbury Ltd	Support	Seeks Council retains the '8.9-Rules - Earthworks' as proposed.		Accept
S874.10	Daresbury Ltd	Oppose	[Regarding Policy 9.3.2.2.3 - Management of Scheduled Historic Heritage] seeks to oppose the amendments to clause (a)(ii) of this policy.		Reject
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2037.622	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept
		FS2051.57	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	Accept
S874.11	Daresbury Ltd	Oppose	[Regarding Policy 9.3.2.2.8 - Demolition of scheduled historic heritage] seeks to oppose the changes to clause (a)(ii) of this policy.		Reject

		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2037.623	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2051.66	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S874.12	Daresbury Ltd	Oppose	[Regarding Rule 9.3.4.1.1 (P9)] seeks to oppose the deletion of P9.		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2037.624	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2051.77	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
S874.13	Daresbury Ltd	Oppose	[Seeks to oppose the proposed changes to] 'Matters of discretion 9.3.6.1(a)'.		<b>Reject</b>

		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2037.625	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2051.86	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S874.14	Daresbury Ltd	Seek Amendment	[Seeks that Council] deletes Heritage Item 185 and Heritage setting 602 over Daresbury [9 Daresbury Lane] from Appendix 9.3.7.2.		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2037.626	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2051.96	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2084.5	Christian Jordan	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
S874.15	Daresbury Ltd	Oppose	[Seeks to oppose the changes proposed to Appendix 9.3.7.4].		<b>Reject</b>

		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2037.627	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S877 (no submission point, see Table 1, point 3.)	Otautahi Community Housing Trust	Support	OCHT support the management of Historic Heritage and the use of qualifying matters for individually listed heritage items, noting that it is a matter of national significance in Section 6 [of the RMA].		<b>Accept</b>
S886.3	Helen Broughton	Oppose	Oppose [proposed zoning] for Matai Street, [and] Christchurch Boys' High School [on] Straven [Road], Riccarton.		<b>Reject</b> (zoning adjoining Christchurch Boys' High School on Straven Road)
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2062 (no submission point – see Further Submission, point 3.)	Riccarton Bush Kilmarnock Residents Association	Support	<b>Reject</b> (zoning adjoining Christchurch Boys' High School on Straven Road)
		FS2082.821	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Accept</b> (zoning adjoining Christchurch Boys' High School on Straven Road)



Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	
S902 (no submission point, see submission para 3.42)	Waipuna Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board	Oppose	[Oppose high density zoning in area around St Peter's Church, Upper Riccarton.]	<b>Reject</b> (heritage items)	
S902.33 S1090.5	Waipuna Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board	Seek Amendment	[That the] war memorial statue on Jane Deans Close Cul -de- Sac [is] recognised as a Heritage Item.	<b>Reject</b>	
S903.46 FS2066	Danne Mora Limited	Seek Amendment	[Amend] heritage setting [of Spreydon Lodge as agreed with Council Heritage staff - Council submission S751.39, Attachment 6] [].	<b>Accept</b>	
S908.1	Christchurch Civic Trust	Seek Amendment	[Seeks that] Hagley Park be included in PC14 as a Qualifying Matter.	<b>Reject</b>	
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2082 (no submission point – see FS, p41)	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
S1004.1 S1004.2 S1004.3 S1004.4	Sally Dixon	Oppose	Oppose intensification on Windermere Rd and St James Avenue [adjoining Papanui War Memorial Avenue heritage item #1459].	<b>Reject</b> (heritage items)
S1012.1	John Hardie On Behalf Of JG & JL Hardie Family Trust	Oppose	The submitter opposes the inclusion of [the heritage setting] of 47 Rue Balguerie under Qualifying Matters.	<b>N/A</b> <b>(PC13 only – see Out of Scope Submissions section 7)</b>
S1012.2	John Hardie On Behalf Of JG & JL Hardie Family Trust	Seek Amendment	47 Rue Balguerie Akaroa [interior] should be removed from [not be included in] the heritage schedule.	<b>N/A</b> <b>(PC13 only – see Out of Scope Submissions section 7)</b>
S1019.1	Julie Florkowski	Oppose	Supports the Residential Heritage Areas of Otautahi, Christchurch (specifically Alpha Avenue)[opposes intensification in Papanui War Memorial Avenues proposed heritage item 1459].	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b> (heritage items)
S1020.1	Chris Florkowski	Oppose	Support the 16 Papanui War Memorial Avenues including Alpha Avenue [which] have been accorded 'highly significant' status [opposes intensification in Papanui War Memorial Avenues proposed heritage item #1459].	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b> (heritage items)
S1021.1	Matty Lovell	Support	[General support for heritage topic].	<b>Accept</b>
S1021.2	Matty Lovell	Support	[Supports heritage protection of the street, trees and plaques in St James Avenue - Papanui War Memorial Avenues, proposed heritage item #1459].	<b>Accept in part/Reject in part</b> (heritage items)

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
S1029.1	Tom Reece	Seek Amendment	Change the CCC policy for funding the restoration of historic property so the criteria for funding is based on value to its historic nature (the 'worth' of the building in its own right) [specifically in relation to Former Kukupa Side School, 380 Pettigrews Road, Pigeon Bay [operative heritage item 1209].	<b>N/A (PC13 only – see Out of Scope Submissions section 7)</b>
S1035.1 S1035.2	Ben Hay-Smith	Oppose	Oppose heritage overlay to 9 Ford Road, Opawa, 129 High Street, Christchurch, 159 Manchester Street, Christchurch, 35 Rata Street, Riccarton and the 25 baches at Taylor's Mistake.	<b>Reject</b>
S1035.3	Ben Hay-Smith	Seek Amendment	Seeks that heritage regulation should be accompanied by some sort of guarantee that a building or area of significance will actually receive the requisite funding to keep it in a good condition.	<b>Reject</b>
S1035.4	Ben Hay-Smith	Support	Supports the intent of these provisions for preserving cemeteries and publicly used bridges.	<b>Accept</b>
S1038.2	Peter Earl	Oppose	The submitter opposes the scheduling of heritage buildings in Plan Change 14 [Appendix 9.3.7.2].	<b>Reject</b>
S1041.1 S1041.2 S1041.3	Ruth Morrison On Behalf Of Morrison Family	Seek Amendment	Keep the area around Papanoa St, Dormer St, Rayburn Ave and Perry St as heritage area.	<b>Reject (heritage items)</b>
S1043.1 S1043.2	Cameron Parsonson	Seek Amendment	Remove 471 ferry road from the schedule of [] heritage buildings.	<b>Accept</b>

		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2025.1	Chris Smith	Support	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2051.97	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Reject</b>
Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S1044.1	Paul Scott	Oppose	Oppose HRZ along St James Avenue, Papanui [and other Papanui War Memorial Avenues [proposed heritage item #1459].		<b>Reject</b> (heritage items)
S1045.1	Ross Boswell	Seek Amendment	The submitter requests that Council add the memorial in Jane Deans Close to the list of recognised heritage sites.		<b>Reject</b>
S1050.1	Defyd Williams, for Papanui Heritage Group	Oppose	Oppose the HRZ zoning for Memorial Avenues [Papanui War Memorial Avenues heritage item 1459] (St James Avenue, Dormer, Perry Street, Gambia Street, Halton Street, Tomes Road, and one [northeast] side of Windermere Road) [and adjoining streets Paparoa Street and Rayburn Avenue].		<b>Reject</b> (heritage items)
1050.2	Defyd Williams, for Papanui Heritage Group	Support	Support the scheduling of the sixteen (we believe fifteen Papanui Memorial Avenues, plus Tillman Avenue), to the District Plan's Schedule of Significant Historic Heritage for protection.		<b>Accept</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
S1051.1	Sarah Smith	Seek Amendment	The submitter requests that the historic Kukupa school building is added to the heritage schedule [operative heritage item 1209, Former Kukupa Side School, 380 Pettigrews Road, Pigeon Bay is restored along with the grounds, and there is no change of use to accommodation].	<b>N/A</b> <b>(PC13 only – see Out of Scope Submissions section 7)</b>
S1055.1	Anita Collie, for The Rannerdale Trust	Seek Amendment	Seek [to] change the extent of the heritage [setting] surrounding Stevenholm[e] (also known as Rannerdale House []) [heritage item #234] to reflect the recent subdivision of the wider property (RMA/2022/3600).	<b>Reject</b>
1055.2	Anita Collie, for The Rannerdale Trust	Seek Amendment	Seek removal of the vehicle access from Suva Street, driveway and parking areas from within the heritage setting boundary.	<b>Reject</b>
S1056.1	Anita Collie On Behalf Of Mitre Hotel Holdings Limited	Seek Amendment	The deletion of heritage item 1060 Mitre Hotel and Setting – 40 Norwich Quay, Lyttelton from the District Plan through Plan Change 13 [and 14].	<b>Reject</b> <b>Accept</b>
S1059.1	The Canterbury Jockey Club	Support	Retain the deletion of Heritage Setting 183 [in relation to the Riccarton Racecourse Public Grandstand] from the Heritage Items and Settings Aerial Maps and Natural and Cultural Heritage Planning Map 30C as notified.	<b>Accept</b>
S1059.2	The Canterbury Jockey Club	Seek Amendment	Amend Heritage Setting 684 [Riccarton Racecourse Tea House] as shown on the Heritage Items and Settings Aerial Maps and Natural and Cultural Heritage Planning Map 30C.	<b>Accept in part/Reject in Part</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
1059.3	The Canterbury Jockey Club	Support	Retain the deletion of Heritage Item 453 [Riccarton Racecourse Public Grandstand] from Appendix 9.3.7.2 Schedule of Significant Historic Heritage Items as notified.	<b>Accept</b>
S1065.1	Graham Robinson	Seek Amendment	The submitter requests that the Teddington Lockup (153 Governor's Bay-Teddington Road) should be scheduled as a heritage item in the District Plan [Appendix 9.3.7.2], for its high heritage values.	<b>N/A PC13 only – see Out of Scope Submissions section 7.</b>
S1067.1	Catherine Elvidge	Seek Amendment	<p>The submitter seeks that the 16 Papanui War Memorial Avenues not be listed as a heritage item in Appendix 9.3.7.2 [proposed heritage item #1459]. Alternatively they seek that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The listing be amended to include the specific aspects of the streets which comprise the item [original and mature trees].</li> <li>- The plaques not be included in the listing.</li> <li>- A street-by-street assessment of each street be undertaken and only trees from the original memorial planting or others of significant landscape value be listed.</li> <li>- The trees be included in sub-chapter 9.4 Significant and other trees, rule 9.4.1.1 P6 and P12, instead of sub-chapter 9.3 Historic heritage.</li> </ul> <p>[The standard for earthworks within 5 metres of the heritage item should not apply to the plaques rule 8.9.2.1 P1 i)/8.9.2.3 RD1].</p>	<b>Accept in part/Reject in Part See Out of Scope Submissions section 7.</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	
S1070.1	Danny Whiting	Seek Amendment	Reduce the spatial extent of the heritage setting 423 (for heritage item 209 at 27 Glandovey Road) [Appendix 9.3.7.2] so as to exclude 7 and 9 Thornycroft Street.	<b>Accept</b>	
S1070.2	Danny Whiting	Oppose	Delete/reject proposed amendments to [heritage] definitions, policies, rules and assessment matters [where they are less enabling] and retain the status quo in respect of these provisions [especially where these are inconsistent with Strategic Objective 3.3.1, 3.3.2 and Historic Heritage Objective 9.3.2.1.1.]	<b>Reject</b>	
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2015.9	Susan Wall	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2051.47	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
S1071.1	Richard Peebles, for Peebles Group Ltd	Oppose	Delete/reject proposed amendments to [heritage] definitions, policies, rules and assessment matters [where they are less enabling] and retain the status quo in respect of these provisions [especially where these are inconsistent with Strategic Objective 3.3.1, 3.3.2 and Historic Heritage Objective 9.3.2.1.1.]	<b>Reject</b>	

		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2015.12	Susan Wall	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2051.39	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S1072.1 S1072.2	Richard and Suzanne Peebles	Seek Amendment	Reduce the spatial extent of the heritage setting 423 (for heritage item 209 at 27 Glandovey Road) [Appendix 9.3.7.2] so as to exclude 7 and 9 Thornycroft Street.		<b>Accept</b>
S1072.3	Richard and Suzanne Peebles	Oppose	Delete/reject proposed amendments to [heritage] definitions, policies, rules and assessment matters [where they are less enabling] and retain the status quo in respect of these provisions [especially where these are inconsistent with Strategic Objective 3.3.1, 3.3.2 and Historic Heritage Objective 9.3.2.1.1.]		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2051.48	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>



Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	
S1073.1	Richard Peebles, for 181 High Ltd	Seek Amendment	Reduce the spatial extent of the heritage setting 555 [Former AJ Whites building, Appendix 9.3.7.2] as proposed on Aerial map reference 693 [and 642], for Heritage item number 1313 so that it is coincidental to the extent of the heritage item.	<b>Reject</b>	
S1073.2	Richard Peebles, for 181 High Ltd	Seek Amendment	Delete/reject proposed amendments to [heritage] definitions, policies, rules and assessment matters [where they are less enabling] and retain the status quo in respect of these provisions [especially where these are inconsistent with Strategic Objective 3.3.1, 3.3.2 and Historic Heritage Objective 9.3.2.1.1.]	<b>Reject</b>	
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2051.49	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
S1074.1	James David Bundy	Seek Amendment	The submitter requests the following buildings be added to the heritage schedule [Appendix 9.3.7.2]: - Burnside Stable at 79 Bamfords Road, Allandale - Lockup at Allandale on Council reserve [153 Governors Bay-Teddington Road].	<b>N/A PC13 only – see Out of Scope Submissions section 7.</b>	

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S1075.5	Diana Shand	Oppose	Seeks that the Commercial use be confined to Oxford Terrace and that the Medium Density Zone should extend south from 59 Gloucester Street in a direct line south to the River at 75 Cambridge Terrace, displacing the Mixed Use Zone [on basis of the heritage values of the Arts Centre and dwellings. Proposed zoning of these blocks is HRZ in part of the block on the north side of Gloucester Street and otherwise City Centre zone.]		<b>Reject</b> (heritage items)
S1076.3	Dorothy Lovell-Smith	Support	Support the CCC plan to include the preservation of trees as heritage [in relation to the Papanui War Memorial Avenues proposed heritage item #1459?]. More existing trees need to be preserved from development.		<b>Accept (Papanui War Memorial Avenues proposed heritage item)</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2037.667	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	<b>Accept (Papanui War Memorial Avenues proposed heritage item)</b>
S1077.3	Callum Ward, for Waihoru Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote Community Board	Support	Supports the inclusion [as notified] of the following properties to the Heritage Schedule [Appendix 9.3.7.2]: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The Tuberculosis Sanatorium Shelter Hut in Coronation Reserve, Huntsbury [29 Major Aitken Drive, 1456]</li> <li>- The modernist dwelling on [9] Ford Rd, Opawa [1439]</li> <li>- Sydenham Cemetery on Roker St, Somerfield [1443]</li> <li>- Somerfield War Memorial Community Centre</li> </ul>		<b>Accept</b>

			and Setting, on [47] Studholme St, Somerfield [#1444] 25 baches at Taylors Mistake and their settings.		
S1085.1 S1085.2	Duncans Lane Limited	Oppose	Retain the existing spatial extent of the heritage item and setting for the Duncan's Buildings as shown on Aerial map reference 693, Heritage item number 1432, heritage setting number 604.	<b>Reject</b>	
S1085.3	Duncans Lane Limited	Oppose	Delete/reject proposed amendments to [heritage] definitions, policies, rules and assessment matters [where they are less enabling] and retain the status quo in respect of these provisions [especially where these are inconsistent with Strategic Objective 3.3.1, 3.3.2 and Historic Heritage Objective 9.3.2.1.1.]	<b>Reject</b>	
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2015.5	Susan Wall	Support	<b>Reject</b>
		FS2051.50	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
S1089.1	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Support Qualifying Matter Heritage.		<b>Accept</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	

		FS2051 (no submission point – see FS p11)	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support	<b>Accept</b>
S1089.2 (see also S1089.7)	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Support the Scheduled Highly Significant Englefield Lodge.		<b>Accept</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2037.653	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2045.157 (not addressed in FS text)	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Oppose	<b>Reject</b>
S1089.4	Christchurch Civic Trust	Seek Amendment	Include Upper Riccarton War Memorial Library in the Schedule of Heritage buildings.		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2027 (no submission point – see body of FS)	Waipuna Halswell Hornby Riccarton Community Board	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
S1089.5	Christchurch Civic Trust	Seek Amendment	Include Princess Margaret Hospital buildings and site in the Schedule of Heritage buildings.		<b>Reject</b>
S1089.6	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Include Daresbury House in the Schedule of Heritage buildings [oppose removal].		<b>Accept</b>
S1089.7	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Include Englefield Lodge in the Schedule of Heritage buildings [Oppose removal from Appendix 9.3.7.2/Support inclusion.]		<b>Accept</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	
S1089.8	Christchurch Civic Trust	Seek Amendment	Include Barnett Avenue Pensioner Cottages in the Schedule of Heritage buildings.	<b>Reject</b>	
S1089.9	Christchurch Civic Trust	Seek Amendment	Amend Assessment Criteria for the demolition of Heritage Buildings to include an energy consumption and emissions 'whole of life' audit be undertaken for building projects to establish costs to the environment of energy consumption and CO2 emissions.  [Undue emphasis is placed by decision makers on Policy 9.3.2.2.8 a)(iii) "whether the costs of retain the heritage item (particularly as a result of damage) would be unreasonable".]	<b>Reject</b>	
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2043.14 FS2043.15	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Church Property Trustees	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2044.150 FS2044.151	Chapman Tripp on behalf of The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2045.156	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Carter Group Limited	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2053.15	Chapman Tripp on behalf of Daresbury Limited	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S1089.10	Christchurch Civic Trust	Seek Amendment	Amend Assessment Criteria for building beside heritage items such as Hagley Park.		<b>Reject</b>
S1090.3	Helen Broughton on Behalf of Waipuna Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board	Seek Amendment	Support the proposed buffer between Residential Heritage Areas, bordering high density areas, but seeks that a buffer is equally needed between the individual heritage buildings and items that are to be permitted in either high or medium density residential zones.		<b>Reject (heritage items)</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2037.648	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	<b>Reject (heritage items)</b>
		FS2063.192	Ryman Healthcare Limited	Oppose	<b>Accept (heritage items)</b>
		FS2064.186	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	Oppose	<b>Accept (heritage items)</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S1090.4	Helen Broughton on Behalf of Waipuna Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board	Seek Amendment	Seeks that heritage settings [should] be defined as meeting the significance threshold.		<b>Reject</b> Heritage settings as set out in the definition are integral to the contextual heritage values of the heritage item but do not meet the criteria for heritage items in their own right. Where an open space meets the criteria for scheduling as a heritage item in its own right, it may be proposed to be added to the heritage items schedule in Appendix 9.3.7.2 via a plan change.
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2037.649	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	<b>Reject</b>

Submission No.	Submitter	Position	Decision Requested		Recommendation
S1092.1 S1092.2	Wynn Williams for Cambridge 137 Limited	Oppose	Delete within Appendix 9.3.7.2 'Schedule of Significant Historic Heritage' reference to the Heritage Listing (Building and Setting) for 137 Cambridge Terrace 'Commercial Building and Setting, Harley Chambers' Item No 78 and Setting No 309.		<u>Reject</u> <u>Accept</u>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2037.644 FS2037.645	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	<u>Accept</u> <u>Reject</u>
		FS2051.98	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<u>Accept</u> <u>Reject</u>
		FS2084.7 FS2084.8	Christian Jordan	Oppose	<u>Accept</u> <u>Reject</u>
S1092.3	Wynn Williams for Cambridge 137 Limited	Seek Amendment	Delete changes to Rule 9.3.4.1.1 (P9) and proposed deletion of P11 and P12.		<b>Reject</b>
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Support / Oppose	
		FS2037.646	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>



		FS2051.78	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
<b>Submission No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>		<b>Recommendation</b>
S1092.4	Wynn Williams for Cambridge 137 Limited	Oppose	[Oppose deletion of] Matter of Discretion 9.3.6.1[a] [].		<b>Reject</b>
		<b>Further submission No.</b>	<b>Further Submitter</b>	<b>Support / Oppose</b>	
		FS2037.647	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
		FS2051.87	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	<b>Accept</b>
S2002.1 S2002.2 S2002.3	Daphne Robinson	Oppose	Oppose intensification zoning in leafy suburbs such as Strowan [and general support for heritage].		<b>Accept</b> (general support for heritage)