PC 14 COUNCIL REPLY – UPDATED SUBMISSIONS WITH RECOMMENDATION – SARAH OLIVER S42A REPORT – APPENDICES C AND D

The following provides an updated account of response to submissions relevant to the s42A of Sarah Oliver.

Changes to recommended responses to submissions have been formatted in the same manner as Right of Reply provisions, being text in **bold dark orange underlined** indicates responses to submissions that are recommended to be altered from the recommendations to the s42A and text recommended to be deleted in **bold dark orange strikethrough.**

Where the officer recommendation on a specific submission point is to "reject", this recommendation may be based on planning merit or if the submission point is considered outside the scope of this plan change, including being a matter not able to be considered through a change to the District Plan.

Council have provided documentation of both original and further submissions and is available via the following link: https://makeasubmission.ccc.govt.nz/PublicSubmissionSearch.aspx.

WHOLE OF F	PLAN SUBMISSIONS A	ND FURTHER SUBMISSION	ONS WITH RECOMMENDATI	<u>ONS</u>				
Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation		
3.3	Richard Abey- Nesbit	Support	Support the current prop	Support the current proposed change providing for intensification of the city.				
10.1	Colleen Borrie	Oppose		ppose all of proposed plan change introducing greater intensification to hristchurch. Do not give effect to s77G of the Resource Management Act 1991.				
11.9	Cheryl Horrell	Oppose	-	Numerous statements within the submission indicate opposition to medium and nigh density residential development. Decision sought is not specified]				
19.1	Patricia Dench	Seek Amendment	Resource consent should considered.	Resource consent should be required for any development that PC14 has considered.				
20.1	Les Drury	Seek Amendment	Resource consent should	be required for any developr	nent related to PC14.	Reject		
24.2	John Hurley	Seek Amendment	Prioritise liveability over i	ntensification.		Reject		
27.1	Steve Parkes	Seek Amendment	That resource consent sh	ould be required before ANY	development can proceed.	Reject		
37.2	Susanne Trim	Support	[Supports] the general direction of the Council's proposals.			Accept		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2037.61	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept		

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
<u>53.1</u>	Brighton		Generally, the benefits of	fintensification, particularly	to a sprawling city like	Accept
	Observatory of		Christchurch include: [list	ts multiple benefits in full sul	<u>bmission]</u>	
	Environment and		The alternative to allowing intensification is building more sprawling subdivisions			
	Economics		which destroy our high va			
			floodplain sites, or wetla	nds, increasing the risk of ca	tastrophic floods in the	
			<u>future.</u>			
54.3	Shirley van Essen	Seek	Investigate the social effe	Accept		
		Amendment	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.88	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept
54.4	Shirley van Essen	Seek	Review population future	Accept		
		Amendment	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.89	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept
54.5	Shirley van Essen	Seek	Delay the closure date for	submissions.		Reject
		Amendment	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.90	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject
<u>55.20</u>	Tobias Meyer	Seek Amendment	Support proposed plan w	ith some changes.		Accept in part
56.1	Joanna Knight	Oppose	I w[W]ish for the council t earthquakes.	o be able to use the plan the	y made initially after the	Reject
58.1	Stephen Walsh	Seek Amendment	Introduce this plan [chang	ge] in smaller manageable sta	nges	Reject
61.12	Geoffrey Banks for	Oppose	Evaluate whether the exis	sting Plan can, without chang	e, enable sufficient	Reject
	Victoria		intensification for the nee	ds of Christchurch without a	ny change via PC14.	
	Neighbourhood					
	Association (VNA)		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.100	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject
			#FS2082.22	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Support
			#FS2082.23	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Support

			SIONS WITH RECOMMENDATI	<u> </u>		B	
Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation	
61.46	Geoffrey Banks for	Seek		able more sunlight access wh	iere beneficial, and housing	Accept in part –	
	Victoria	Amendment	demand is still met			refer to Mr	
	Neighbourhood					Kleybos	
	Association (VNA)					Accept/Reject	
						table on Sunlight	
						Access QM	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.119	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part	
			#FS2042.27	Wynn Williams	Oppose	Accept in part	
			#FS2082.37	Kāinga Ora	Support	Accept in part	
64.1	Carl van Essen	Oppose	Oppose plan because it is	Oppose plan because it is unnecessary under current population growth levels.			
70.1	Paul Wing	Seek	Housing intensification sh	Reject			
		Amendment	design. Intensification sh				
			a minimum all new builds	s should be built to passive h	ouse standards, we need		
			more better design and p	lanned house, not the unpla	nned low standard		
			intensification of this Plan	n Change			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.142	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject	
78.1	Linda Blake	Support	Supports the implementa	ation of Plan Change 14.		Accept	
		• •		•			
85.1	Lorraine	Oppose	Do not wish to see 'infill h	nousing' in our lovely Christo	church suburbs.	Reject	
	Raxworthy			,			
94.2	Rebecca Perkins	Oppose	I object to plan change 14	4 in its entirety.		Reject	
100.1	Mary Clay	Oppose	Oppose plan change 14 ir	*		Reject	
103.1	Damian Blogg	Oppose		n and assessment is undertal	ven]	Reject	
104.1	Ann Clay	Oppose	•	n and assessment is undertal	•	Reject	
105.2	Jenny Smith for Te	Seek	•		•	Reject	
	Whare Roimata	Amendment	That the present market-led, compact city model favoured in Plan Change 14 is replaced by the notion of the "Just City" now advanced in the literature as the				
				means of addressingthe distributive inequalities of urban growth which sees equity			
			and urban justice put at the centre of planning decisions.				
			Further submission	Further Submitter	Position		
			No.				

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation	
			#FS2037.173	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support		
			#FS2082.49	Kāinga Ora	Oppose		
112.16	Nikki Smetham	Seek Amendment	[Clarify and strengthen] stormwater generally	[Clarify and strengthen] these matters: The monitoring process Increased stormwater generally			
114.5	Connor McIver	Seek Amendment	-	Auckland Council has consuficantly easier to engage wi	lted on their equivalent plan th than this.	Reject	
117.2	Ian Tinkler	Support	It is important that Chris	Accept			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.198	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support		
134.1	Terry Blogg	Oppose	Reject the Plan Change	Reject			
145.1	Hebe Gibson for Te Mana Ora/Community and Public Health	Support	While Te Mana Ora recognises that there has been controversy in applying the Medium-Density Residential Standards (MDRS) set out in the Resource Management (Enabling Housing and Other Matters) Amendment Act and the National Policy Statement on Urban Development (NPS-UD) 2020 in Ōtautahi Christchurch, Te Mana Ora supports this Government direction.			Accept	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.203	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose		
145.2	Hebe Gibson for Te Mana Ora/Community and Public Health	Seek Amendment	Te Mana Ora challenges Christchurch City Council to see these plan changes as an opportunity to influence the health and wellbeing of residents in Ōtautahi Christchurch and create better conditions for more health promoting communities.			Accept	
155.5	Trudi Bishop	Oppose		The proposed changes to Plan 14 are not taking into account the wellbeing of the city's residents from living in close proximity to each other.			
161.3	Marilyn Goulter	Oppose	Improved communication	• • •	he manner in which Council	Reject	

	LAN SUBMISSIONS AN			110143		B	
Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Accept in part	
184.14	Kelly Bombay for University of Canterbury	Support	development in the city amendments are requirecognizing the need fo	The University is generally supportive of PC14 and efforts to enable more development in the city's existing urban footprint. The University considers that amendments are required to the planning framework to enable intensification, recognizing the need for housing supply, while not compromising on good design and amenity outcomes.			
188.1	Tony Simons for Riccarton Bush -	Seek Amendment	The plan change should been completed.	be reviewed once a proper s	ocial impact assessment has	Accept	
	Kilmarnock Residents'		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
	Association		#FS2036.1	Tony Dale	Support	Accept	
			#FS2037.238	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept	
			#FS2052.286	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	Accept	
			#FS2059.24	Kauri Lodge Rest Home 2008 Limited	Oppose	Reject	
			#FS2083.40	Robert Broughton	Support	Accept	
197.1	Steve Smith	Oppose	more public consultation	on/ discussion		Reject	
197.2	Steve Smith	Seek Amendment	Retain current District F	Plan rules and introduce chan	ges more gradually	Reject	
200.1	Robert J Manthei	Seek Amendment	Restart process based of population trends, or do Directly address the only housing, stop any furth best fulfil its stated aim requirements set out in	Reject			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.284	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject	
			#FS2082.109	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept	
			#FS2082.187	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept	

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation	
200.9	Robert J Manthei	Seek Amendment	_	Begin now to establish a Street Running Large Spacing Busway system of public transport. Reinstate the inner city Shuttle bus immediately.			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.289	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject	
200.10 Robert J Manthei Seek Am	Seek Amendment	'Sponge city' concepts,		oorate regulations mandating ensity targets become. The CCC Auckland's.	Reject		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.290	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject	
203.7	Steve Petty	Oppose	Opposes implementation	Reject			
204.2		Seek Amendment	Mandate rainwater har	vesting with all developme	nts under this plan change	Reject	
	Halswell Residents' Association		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2082.120	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Support	
205.27	Graham Robinson for Addington	Support	Encourage intensification while considering the potential loss of amenity for existing house owners.			Accept in part	
	Neighbourhood Association		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2082.147	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part	
205.38	Graham Robinson for Addington	Support	Encourage intensification existing house owners.	tential loss of amenity for	Accept in part		
	Neighbourhood Association		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2082.158	Kāinga Ora	Support	Accept in part	
205.39		Seek Amendment	•	should provide residents w more than one kilometre.	ith access to public green spaces	Reject	

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation	
	Graham Robinson for Addington		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
	Neighbourhood Association		#FS2082.159	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept	
207.2	Mitchell Cocking	Oppose	Reject the plan change	Reject			
212.1	Jarrod Dixon for The Fuel Companies - BP Oil, Z Energy and Mobil Oil (joint submission)	Support	Support general intent o	Support general intent of the Plan Change 14.			
214.1	Michael Boissard	Oppose	Your proposal will destroy the character of a very pleasant part of the city. Also there appears to be no provision for the amenities that will be required by the increased population.			Reject	
218.1	Julia van Essen	Oppose	[T]hat the council review plan change 14.	f the changes proposed under	Accept in part		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.301	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part	
218.2	Julia van Essen	Seek Amendment	[T]hat the submission p	rocess (webpage) is made	simpler to use and less glitchy.	Not a relevant submission point	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.302	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Not a relevant submission point	
218.3	Julia van Essen	Julia van Essen Seek Amendment [T]hat submissions [are] reopened and more time given for submissions [following improvement to the submissions web page].				Reject	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2015.4	Susan Wall	Support	Reject	

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
			#FS2037.303	Christchurch Civic	Support	Reject
				Trust		
218.4	Julia van Essen	Seek	[That] a review into the s	ocial impact of plan change	14 [is undertaken].	Accept
		Amendment	Further submission	Further Submitter	Position	
			No.			
			#FS2037.304	Christchurch Civic	Support	Accept
				Trust		
218.5	Julia van Essen	Oppose	[Revisit the vote to notify	/ Plan Change 14]		Reject
222.1	Claire Mulcock for	Support	Support the broad goals	of the urban intensification	process, and do not think that	Accept
	Deans Avenue		Christchurch should be a			
	Precinct Society		account of national prior			
	Inc.		energy efficiency and de-			
			Further submission	Further Submitter	Position	
			No.			
			#FS2037.305	Christchurch Civic	Support	Accept
				Trust		
224.23	Richard Ball for	Support	The need for greater inte	nsification is supported, but	t this does not require or justify	Accept in part
	Atlas Quarter			height limits as permitted	activities.	
	Residents Group		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	(22 owners)		#FS2007.1	Hugh Nicholson	Support	Accept in part
224.24	Richard Ball for	Support	The inclusion of the qual	fying criteria is supported, i	ndependent of height limits.	Accept
	Atlas Quarter					
	Residents Group					
	(22 owners)					
225.1	Michael Dore	Oppose	Opposes any residential development above 12 meters beyond the inner city.			Reject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.312	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation	
226.2	Graeme McNicholl	Seek Amendment	As an alternative to inten	sifying the housing in the city	, Council's should be looking	RejectAccept in	
			at current inner-city large	at current inner-city large blocks of land, such as Princess Margaret Hospital site or			
			Cashmere Road, the old C				
			current empty tracks of la	Avenue, and rezone these			
			areas for mixed use retail				
			potentially other older commercial/industrial areas of Christchurch such as				
			Addington, Sydenham and	d Phillipstown that should be	rezoned as mixed use		
			commercial with apartme	ent living above.			
			Furthermore, the future l	arge green field developmen	ts should cater for the		
			medium-density housing	as proposed, in order to safe	-guard prospective house		
			owners with an understar	nding of what they are buying	J.		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2052.141	Christchurch	Oppose	Reject	
				International Airport			
232.5, 232.7	32.5, 232.7 Kurt Higgison Oppose		Opposes developments in	n already built areas and see	eks that new development	<u>Reject</u>	
			areas grow into new area				
			Further submission No.	<u>Further Submitter</u>	<u>Position</u>		
			#FS2092.8	Brighton Observatory	<u>Support</u>	<u>Reject</u>	
				of Environment and			
				<u>Economics</u>			
236.3, 236.6	Susan Barrett	Oppose		le non-consented High Densi	•	Reject	
			developments in Christch				
			effective, and quicker to a				
			green field developments (with the right transport links)				
237.1	Marjorie Manthei	Seek Amendment		cation be re-visited, and that	,	Reject Accept in	
			must to satisfy the minimum requirements of NPS-UD and Enabling House			<u>part</u>	
			legislation. Base decisions on the required 10-30 year period, not 50 years. Reduce				
			extent of walkable catchn				
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.324	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part	

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation	
250.1	lan Dyson	Seek Amendment	Agree with the goals of do	ensification, but it needs to b gnated areas for developmen dictate.		Accept in part	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.342	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part	
251.1	Daniel McMullan	Support	* *	I support the overall intent of the plan change to allow for more intensification, which will reduce suburban sprawl and improve a number of other factors - i.e., inner city energy.			
259.9	Andrea Millar for Ara Poutama Aotearoa	Support	Ara Poutama supports the	Ara Poutama supports the overall intent of PC14			
263.13	Harley Peddie	Seek amendment	Density is what this city n	Accept			
268.14	Clare Marshall	Seek Amendment	Plans need to be made w	Plans need to be made with climate change in mind.			
272.1	Caitriona Cameron	Seek Amendment	The proposal should provide protections for existing property rights. This could be achieved by setting a 'phase in' period (perhaps 5-10 years) for developments under the new regulations			Reject	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.385	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject	
272.3	Caitriona Cameron	Seek Amendment	solely market-driven appropriate development). Specificall also ensure more coherent	The proposal should facilitate coherent residential planning, rather than allow a solely market-driven approach (which risks 'lowest common denominator' development). Specifically et a phase-in period (as suggested in C1 [272.9]) would also ensure more coherent development. It is likely there would be more larger coherent developments, rather than piece-meal development.			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.387	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject	
287.1	Mark Nichols	Seek Amendment	Seek densification in a pla zoning changes in for exa shopping areas, so that th rather than in a haphazar staged build out of the in	Reject			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.402	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support		

WHOLE OF P	LAN SUBMISSIONS AND	FURTHER SUBMISSION	ONS WITH RECOMMENDATION	<u>ONS</u>		
Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
<u>288.9</u>	Waipapa Papanui-	Seek Amendment			al impacts and the social and	Accept in part
	Innes-Central		well-being consequences	of the proposed intensifica	tion on the community.	
	Community Board		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2092.15	Brighton Observatory	Seek Amendment	Accept in part
				of Environment and		
				<u>Economics</u>		
<u>288.10</u>	Waipapa Papanui-	Seek Amendment		structure is able to appropr		<u>Reject</u>
	Innes-Central		intensification and devel			
	Community Board		implemented where nece			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2092.16	Brighton Observatory	Seeking Amendment	<u>Reject</u>
				of Environment and		
				<u>Economics</u>		
	Waipapa Papanui-	<u>Seek</u>			Climate Resilience Strategy	Accept in part
	Innes-Central	<u>Amendment</u>	and the Ōtautahi Christch			
	Community Board		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2092.16	Brighton Observatory	Seeking Amendment	Accept in part
				of Environment and		
				<u>Economics</u>		
			#FS2095.1	Ryman Healthcare	Seeking Amendment	Accept in part
				<u>Limited</u>		
			#FS2096.1	Retirement Village	Seeking Amendment	Accept in part
				Association of New		
				Zealand Incorporated		
289.1	Cody Cooper	Support	• •	•	o make it more affordable to	Accept
				making it more accessible on	·	
292.2	Julie Farrant	Support	Seeks amendments to ensure that existing stormwater infrastructure is competent			Reject
			for [high density accomm	· -		
293.1	Lincoln Platt for	Support	[S]upports the MDRS rule change and the Qualfying Matter proposals.			Accept
	Exsto Architecture					

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation	
294.5	Chessa Crow	Support	Seek to share all proposed	d building consents submitte	d to neighbours so they know	Reject	
			of (potential) plans for bu	ilding and property developn	nent on land that directly		
			affects their lives/well-bei	ng/investment/living.			
294.9	Chessa Crow	Seek Amendment	Seek to Improve transport	Seek to Improve transport links and public transport service to New Brighton area			
294.10	Chessa Crow	Seek Amendment	•	astructure and facilities in Ne		Reject	
296.1	Danielle Barwick	Seek Amendment		water infrastructure to bette fore allowing increased hous	_	Reject	
302.1	Nick Edwards	Support	Approve the proposed cha	ange.		Accept	
305.18	Vickie Hearnshaw	Support	[S]upport[s] the idea of de	eveloping a new town plan.		Accept	
306.2	Matty Lovell	Oppose	[T]hat this intensification remains as is.	T]hat this intensification change be dismissed entirely and the [District Plan]			
307.1	Robert Fletcher	Support	Support the plan change a amendments.	Accept in part			
314.1	Graham Townsend	Support	[Retain provisions that en	[Retain provisions that enable intensification]			
315.4	Denis Morgan	Seek Amendment	Lack of community consu Every 300 square metre s Sec 32 documents to Ame	ially at similar latitudes. The	epresentatives.	Accept in part	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.421	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part	
315.5	Denis Morgan	Not Stated	I have major concerns abo	out quality of life with PC14 c		Reject	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	j	
			#FS2037.422	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject	
315.11	Denis Morgan	Seek Amendment	There be no discretion of	Council officers to approve d	evelopment where it	Reject	
			breaches rules for stream setbacks, boundary setbacks, recession plane and privacy				
			and outlook spaces; a building fits the envelope, or it doesn't. Nor should there be				
			•	dditional privacy through ou			
318.1	Nicholas Latham	Seek		on increasing housing, espec		Accept in part	
		Amendment	Support[s] more housing,	with an especially in the city	centre		

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation	
327.1	Mike Oxlong	Oppose	Oppose Plan Change 14 ir	n entirety.		Reject	
333.2	Eric Ackroyd	Seek	That higher density housi	ng development be prioritise	ed in the city centre ahead of	Reject	
		Amendment	other residential zones.				
336.1	John Walker	Support	[Retain all provisions] - I s	upport the proposed plan ch	ange as it is.	Accept	
338.1	Kate Revell	Oppose		Restrict building heights to a maximum of 22 metres.			
339.1	Chris Neame	Oppose	Restrict maximum height	for development to 22 metre	es	Reject	
353.2	Roger Conroy	Oppose	[Seeks to oppose the plan	ning for future growth for Cl	nristchurch]	Reject	
354.5	Jason Middlemiss for Waimaero Fendalton- Waimairi- Harewood Community Board	Seek Amendment	[Seeks council] to conside development.	[Seeks council] to consider the capacity of existing infrastructure to support development.			
354.6	Jason Middlemiss	Seek	[Seeks council ensures] th	at there will be requirement	s for developers to engage	Reject	
	for Waimaero	Amendment	with the local community	,			
	Fendalton-		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
	Waimairi-		#FS2037.422	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject	
	Harewood		#FS2040.6	Malcolm Hollis	Support	Reject	
	Community Board					•	
357.1	Alexandra Free	Support	Support plan change 14			Accept	
358.2	Shona Mcdonald	Seek Amendment	[Improve bus services]			Reject	
380.11	Karina Hay for South Shore Resident's Association (SSRA)	Seek Amendment	[Reduce level of regulatio	[Reduce level of regulation and slow down the pace of change]			
399.1	Peter Earl	Oppose	Oppose the whole plan ch	nange		Reject	
406.4	Michael Andrews	Oppose		s] Oppose all water changes.		Reject	
424.1	Robert McDavid for Dragon Fly	Oppose		Oppose the entire plan change.			
425.1	Tom King	Support	[S]upport[s] changes to m housing density, particula	anage and set controls/requ	irements around increasing	Accept	

Point No.	Submitter	Request	ONS WITH RECOMMENDATIONS Summary of Relief	Recommendation
425.9	Tom King	Seek Amendment	Consideration needs to be given and requirements increased for developers, as to the impact that high density housing and increased height will have on existing houses/ neighbours to minimise loss of privacy, sunlight and road congestion.	Reject
427.1	Michelle Warburton	Oppose	Oppose intensification in existing suburbs	Reject
433.3	John Dunford	Oppose	[O]posse[s] the new zoning plan.	Reject
435.2	Madeleine Thompson	Oppose	[Oppose change to the Christchurch District Plan]	Reject
437.4	David Allan	Seek Amendment	[Oppose High and Medium Density Housing]	Reject
438.1	Brendon Lee	Oppose	The whole plan change is declined	Reject
442.1	Logan Simpson	Logan Simpson	Oppose the plan change, housing density needs to reduce.	Reject
445.4	Alison Dockery	Seek Amendment	Seeks that adequate infrastructure is provided (health care, protection of open space, parking, public transport, hospitals, emergency services) to cater for intensification.	Reject
446.1	Sarah Lovell	Seek Amendment	[That Council] undertakes further consultation due to the unacceptability of the current plan to the public.	Reject
447.1	Alex Lowings	Oppose	A halt to the high density housing requirement.	Reject
457.1	Michael Harrow	Oppose	Oppose any increase in density and height in all residential zones.	Reject
459.1	Joseph Bray	Support	I am seeking that the council passes all proposed amendments to PC13 and PC14.	Accept
464.1	Sarah Pezaro	Support	Supports the plan change in entirety	Accept
465.7	Stuart Roberts	Oppose	Provision:Subdivision, Development and Earthworks,Chapter 14 - Residential,Planning Maps,All Decision Sought:I wish to see the MRZ and HRZ zoning left as it is currently not changed so as proposed. Minimum subdivisible section size at 450 sqm for MRZ and current (not proposed) size for HRZ	Reject
466.1	Sarah Inglewood	Support	Approve the plan change that provides for more high density housing	Accept
471.21	Kem Wah Tan	Oppose	[Enable satellite towns connected with good public transport rather than intensification of the existing city]	Reject
474.1	Heather Tate	Oppose	To not add more on to height gains for commercial and residential	Reject
476.5	Rob Seddon-Smith	Support	Supports the planned areas of intensification in areas where excellent public transport is available.	Accept
478.3	Mark Siddall	Seek Amendment	[That] public transport [is] in place for the CBD and suburban shopping centres.	Reject

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
480.4	Selma Claridge	Seek Amendment	Fix the stormwater drains	before rezoning occurs		Reject
483.1	lan Drew	Oppose	Oppose plan change that	supports infill housing or ligh	nt rail.	Reject
487.3	Joy Reynolds	Oppose	[S]top highrise and infill h	Reject		
489.1	Chris Baddock	Support	Seeks that intensification	occurs provided that necessa	ary infrastructure should be	Reject
			built before intensifying the housing, regarding public transport			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.496	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	
490.2	Nina Ferguson	Seek	A fairer District Plan for th	Reject		
		Amendment	homeowners.			
490.3	Nina Ferguson	Seek	Financial compensation to	Reject		
		Amendment	properties			
514.12	Ann	Seek Amendment	The CCC should legislate t	Reject		
	Vanschevensteen		suitable for people with disabilities, or people who cannot use stairs. Furthermore,			
				e solar or wind power genera		
			proper soundproofing. Th			
540.4	Ben Close	Support	·	safer cycle ways are an abso	•	Reject
580.1	Darin Cusack	Seek Amendment	That a proper and in-depth social impact assessment [is] completed.			Accept
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.526	Christchurch Civic Trust		Accept
580.13	Darin Cusack	Oppose	[Reject plan change]			Reject
583.1	Jaimita de Jongh	Seek Amendment	Seek that the plan change	e takes a systems approach, p	provides for housing choice	Reject
			and flexibility for a declin	ing population		
583.4	Jaimita de Jongh	Oppose	Oppose increased density	where there is no public tra	nsport (specifically without	Accept in part
			light rail).			
599.4	David Townshend	Seek Amendment	'CCC' publicly clarify that 'MDRS' has immediate legal effect to all medium and high			Reject
			density residential zones. 'CCC' remove any biased information [regarding the			
				Sunlight Access Qualifying Matter] they have put in the public domain and replace		
			it with objective and impartial information regarding the proposed problem and its			
			likely effect on the whole community. This includes the removal of any emotive			
			_	urch residents missing out, w		
			residents of Auckland whi	ich has zero relevance to the	legislation.	

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
625.6	Pamela-Jayne	Oppose	Seeks clarification on numbers of new houses required			Accept
	Cooper		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.539	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept
			#FS2037.1206	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept
625.9	Pamela-Jayne	Support	Support the goal to provid	de additional housing options	and urban intensification	Accept
	Cooper		generally.			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.541	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept
			#FS2037.1209	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept
647.4	Michael Palmer	Seek Amendment	[Amend the plan change t	Reject		
654.8	Wendy Fergusson	Seek Amendment	Population should be kep	Reject		
654.10	Wendy Fergusson	Seek Amendment	Development in rural area	Reject		
	Mary-Louise	Seek Amendment	Review how Christchurch is enacting the Enabling Housing Supply Amendment bill.			Reject
	Hoskins		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2042.55	Wynn Williams	Support	
672.1	Debra August- Jordan	Oppose	Opposes the intensification	on plan change and seeks tha	t it not be approved.	Reject
679.12	Tony Dale	Seek Amendment	Seek that a social impacts	assessment of the city-wide	intensification proposal be	Accept
			undertaken to the level of detail that corresponds to the scale and significance of the proposal.			
689.81	Jeff Smith for	Seek Amendment	That CCC consider restricting	g the installation of] solid fu	el home	Reject
	Environment		heating appliances in some			
	Canterbury /		air quality.			
	Canterbury		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	Regional Council		#FS2037.1103	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject
			#FS2082.432	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation	
701.1	Ian McChesney	Seek Amendment	regulations to allow a training Property owners on sites property, or plan for mod	[Set] a 'phase in' period (perhaps 10 years) for developments under the new regulations to allow a transition period for those potentially negatively affected. Property owners on sites likely to be impacted could then have time to leave the property, or plan for modifications to their own property to mitigate any new developments. (Such a phase in time could be over-ridden if neighbours consented to a development).			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.553	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject	
			#FS2037.974	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject	
			#FS2052.255	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	Reject	
701.11	01.11 Ian McChesney Seek An	Seek Amendment	The CCC should provide, a be provided, particularly planning regulations.	Reject			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.563	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject	
			#FS2037.984	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject	
			#FS2052.265	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	Accept	
704.8	WDL Enterprises Limited and Birchs Village Limited	Support	[S]eeks to ensure that PC Amendment Act and MDI	14 does not unnecessarily cu RS.	rb the intent of the	Accept in part	
704.9	WDL Enterprises Limited and Birchs Village Limited	Support		[S]upports application of zoning and other provisions that recognise the need to provide housing capacity and enable this to occur in an efficient manner.			
706.2	Sam Kealey for NHL Properties Limited	Seek Amendment	-	changes that will ome of intensifying urban for articularly near the city and co	•	Accept in part	

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
720.47	Mitchell Coll	Seek Amendment	That the Christchurch City being rewritten to require be required to not exceed	Reject		
741.5	Susan Bye for Seek Amendment Lower Cashmere Residents		The Opawaho Heathcote significance and area. Further submission No.	River corridor be designated Further Submitter	as an area of special Position	Accept-in-part
	Association		#FS2082.495	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	
742.1	Harang Kim	Oppose	Building more than 3 store infrastructure and town p high to medium density b of traffic volume (as there and Christchurch is built cretreat plan.	Reject		
742.3	Harang Kim	Oppose	[Seek] ethical holistic development. It is an urban homelessness.	Reject		
744.2	Cliff Mason	Seek Amendment	[That] an assessment of the City and its immediate sur	RejectAccept in part		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.587	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part
745.2	Rachel Crawford for Richmond Residents and Business Association (We are Richmond)	Support	Retain plan change approach adopted arising from locally derived consultation; not one size-fits-all approach.			Reject
757.2	Kay and Megan Mintrom and Pearce	Oppose	Oppose higher density de	Reject		
758.3	Tosh Prodanov	Seek Amendment	[Include affordability and	reduce regulation]		Accept in part

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
761.2	Mark Thompson	Oppose	Intensification of [the] are - [that] Plan Change 14 be Council: a) A referendum to want this level of intensificanticulate the impact and to Christchurch.	Reject		
762.1	Daniel Crooks for New Zealand	Support	Locating and enabling der per industry best practice		es and transport corridors as	Accept
	Institute of		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	Architects Canterbury Branch		#FS2037.766	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept
762.2	Daniel Crooks for New Zealand	Support	The introduction of provis	Accept in part		
	Institute of		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	Architects Canterbury Branch		#FS2037.767	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part
762.38	Daniel Crooks for New Zealand	Seek Amendment	That a plant list similar to front boundary strips as a	RejectAccept in part		
	Institute of		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	Architects Canterbury Branch		#FS2037.803	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	RejectAccept in part
762.42	Daniel Crooks for New Zealand	Seek Amendment	Implement a requirement some way) to be subdivid	Reject		
	Institute of		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	Architects		#FS2037.807	Christchurch Civic Trust		Reject
	Canterbury Branch		#FS2082.567	Kāinga Ora	_	Accept

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
762.43	Daniel Crooks for New Zealand Institute of Architects Canterbury Branch	Seek Amendment	approaches to increasing greater opportunity and communal development. number of 'sausage housi our city blocks and individuals.	ollective thinking to redefine Encouraging this developme ng' developments which are lual site ownership. This coul s when designed and develo	chinking beyond the quarter acre block provides chinking to redefine common spaces and create ing this development may also reduce the opments which are a result of our site layouts on wnership. This could be achieved through signed and developed over larger areas, whilst	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.808	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part
763.1	Christina Stachurski	Seek Amendment	the Council must insist on suburbs affected before the investigations done by 'in-	Reject		
766.1	Kerri Jones	Oppose	Oppose all of Plan Change	Reject		
767.1	Elanor James	Support	Supports Plan Change 14	Accept		
782.1	Karilyn Breed	Oppose	Medium Density Resident	ial Standards, timelines for r information and minimum e		Reject
786.2	Marta Scott	Seek Amendment	bus stops. Once a certain	sity gradually grow[s], startin density is reached in those a new bus routes could commo		Reject
791.1	Marie Dysart	Support	to support in principle the upto three dwellings of th impositionof limitations b ChristchurchCity Council (Plan.	Accept		
793.5	Fiona Bennetts	Seek Amendment		ore parks/gardens/walkways -wild some parts of the city t Further Submitter	between medium- and high- hat flood every time we get Position	Reject

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation	
			#FS2037.736	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject	
793.6	Fiona Bennetts	Seek Amendment	[Improve transport syster		Reject		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.737	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support		
798.1	Bjorn Dunlop for	Seek Amendment	Accept the provisions of F	C14 that implement or go be	eyond the MDRS.	Accept	
	Wolfbrook		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2082.572	Kāinga Ora	Support	Accept	
798.6 Bjorn Dunlop for		Seek Amendment	redraft the residential activi	ity notification preclusions ar	nd the rules framework in	Accept in part	
	Wolfbrook		PC14 to implement Schedu				
		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2082.577	Kāinga Ora	Support	Accept in part	
798.19	Bjorn Dunlop for	Seek Amendment	The Plan should be amen	The Plan should be amended to use clear and concise language.			
Wolfbrook		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2082.590	Kāinga Ora	Support	Accept in part	
798.20 Bjorn Dunlop for	Bjorn Dunlop for	Seek	Seeks that council apply t	o the Environment Court for	the MDRS	Reject	
	Wolfbrook	Amendment	permitted activity rules to	have immediate legal effect	as provided for by section		
			86D of the RMA, excludin				
			and Public Transport Acce				
			statutory or evidential me				
			of the NPS-UD regarding				
			Christchurch is on a level playing field with all other Tier 1 councils.				
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2082.591	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject	
799.3	Benjamin Love	Seek	[That intensification is en	abled in] more of the wider o	,	Accept in part	
		Amendment	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2082.594	Kāinga Ora	Support	Accept in part	
99.7	Benjamin Love	Seek	Transit Orientated Development should be implemented at every station across the			Accept	
		Amendment	entire [transit corridor proposed by the Greater Christchurch Partnership].				
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.718	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept	
			#FS2082.598	Kāinga Ora	Support	Accept	

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
799.8	Benjamin Love	Seek Amendment		[That] Rail [is] chosen [for t]he proposed new transit corridor by the Greater Christchurch Partnership [instead of Bus Rapid Transit]		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.719	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject
799.9 Benjamin Love		Seek Amendment	Larger areas should be de being individually develop	signed and redeveloped togo ped.	etherinstead of small lots	Reject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.720	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject
			#FS2082.599	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject
799.12 Benjamin Love		Seek Amendment	[That mixed uses are enabled across more areas]			RejectAccept in part
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.723	Christchurch Civic Trust		Accept in part
			#FS2082.602	Kāinga Ora		Accept in part
309.1	Anita Collie for Scenic Hotel Group Limited	Support	[Seeks] that amendments sought by PC14.	are [made] to existing zones	to enable the outcomes	Accept
309.2	Anita Collie for Scenic Hotel Group Limited	Oppose	(a); [(a) supports the inte for additional developme	or changes that will adversel nsification of urban form to put capacity, particularly near supports any provisions or chachieve this outcome]	brovide the city and	Accept in part
317.3	Elizabeth Harris	Support	capacity, particularly near	on of urban form to provide f the city and commercial cer he District Plan that will achi	tres, and supports any eve this outcome	Accept
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2082.674	Kāinga Ora	Support	Accept
21.2		Seek Amendment	[Seeks] that amendments sought by PC14.	are [made] to existing zones	to enable the outcomes	Accept
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	

Point No.	Submitter		Summary of Relief	<u></u>		Recommendation	
Point No.		Request			T		
	Anita Collie for		#FS2052.160	Christchurch	Oppose	Reject	
	Athena Enterprises			International Airport			
	Limited and			Limited			
	Josephine						
	Enterprises Limited						
821.5	Anita Collie for	Support			e for additional development	Accept	
	Athena Enterprises			r the city and commercial cer			
	Limited and		provisions or changes to the District Plan that will achieve this outcome.				
	Josephine		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
	Enterprises Limited		#FS2052.163	Christchurch	Oppose	Reject	
				International Airport			
				Limited Kāinga Ora	Support		
			#FS2082.675	Accept			
827.6	Anita Collie for	Seek		se decline the Qualifying Mat		Accept	
	MGZ Investments	Amendment	_	not align with that directed by the Central Government through the			
	Limited		Amendment Act.				
827.8	Anita Collie for	Support	The submitter seeks that	Accept			
	MGZ Investments		through the provisions ar				
	Limited		development through en				
				r residential and business use			
832.13	Finn Jackson	Seek		nable 6 to 10 storeys for resi	dential buildings near	<u>Accept</u>	
		Amendment	commerical centres.				
835.1	Lynne Lochhead	Seek			r amendments are suggested	Reject	
	for Historic Places	Amendment	· ·	s surrounding Hagley Park, Cr	amner Square and Latimer		
	Canterbury		Square.				
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.588	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject	
			#FS2037.686	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject	
			#FS2082.757	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept	
845.2	Christopher Evan	Oppose		City Council accepts the new		Accept	
851.1	Robert Leonard	Oppose		[Seek] the plan change should be reviewed once a proper social impact assessment			
	Broughton		has been completed.				

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation	
851.12	Robert Leonard Broughton	Oppose	Do not embark on over-in	tensification.		Reject	
868.3	Maureen Kerr	Seek Amendment	Address existing issues of tr	Address existing issues of traffic congestion, carparking, flooding, liquefaction			
868.4	Maureen Kerr	Seek Amendment	Consider impact of housing	affordability and climate cha	ange as it applies to Strowan.	Accept in part	
876.1	Alan Ogle	Seek Amendment	Seek that the plan change assessment has been com	e should be reviewed once a pleted.	proper social impact	Accept in part	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2083.9	Robert Broughton		Accept in part	
876.24	Alan Ogle	Oppose	Oppose intensification of	development.		Reject	
878.22	Rebecca Eng for Transpower New Zealand Limited	Oppose	Supports National Grid as	Accept			
899.1	Anton Casutt	Oppose	Oppose any intensificatio	Reject			
901.17	John Hudson	Oppose	The submitter opposes Pl	Reject			
901.5	John Hudson	Oppose	Christchurch already and	Why are we doing this? We have well thought out plans for the future of Christchurch already and these plans have been formulated by people with expertise in the field based upon history, up to date data, intelligence and			
901.7	John Hudson	Oppose	[Refer to full submission f change]	or opposition and reasoning	for the proposed plan	Reject	
902.1	Helen Broughton for Waipuna Halswell-Hornby-	Seek Amendment	[That Christchurch CityCo the Act. [T]hat population housing are based on accu	n projections and the need fourate figures	er 1 territorial authority under or high and medium density	Accept in part	
	Riccarton		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
	Community Board		#FS2082.1263	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part	
902.5	Helen Broughton	Seek	-	nts are undertaken on]: socia	al impacts infrastructure	Reject Accept in	
	for Waipuna	Amendment	capacity citywide geotech	<u>part</u>			
	Halswell-Hornby-		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
	Riccarton Community Board		#FS2082.1267	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part	
902.6		Oppose	[Reject] the imposition of	the government mandated	intensification proposals.	Reject	

Point No.	Submitter	Request	SUMMER SUMMENDATION SUMMER SUM			Recommendation
Politi No.		Request	·	E altrice britain	B	Recommendation
	Helen Broughton for Waipuna Halswell-Hornby- Riccarton Community Board		#FS2082.1268	Further Submitter Kāinga Ora	Position Oppose	Accept
908.3	·		·	ent projects should involve lits that can be used to evalu ses of action.	ate the	Reject
	Christchurch Civic		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	Trust		#FS2037.672 #FS2063.189	Christchurch Civic Trust Ryman Healthcare	Support Oppose	Reject Accept
			#FS2064.183	Limited Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	Oppose	Accept
908.4	Ross Gray for Christchurch Civic	Seek Amendment	[Seeks that council take] a catchment-wide flood risk	a water sensitive design (spo	nge city) approach for	Reject
	Trust on behalf of		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	Christchurch Civic Trust		#FS2037.673	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject
908.5	Ross Gray for	Seek	Planning instruments refl	ect the realities of climate ch	ange.	Accept in part
	Christchurch Civic	Amendment	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	Trust on behalf of Christchurch Civic Trust		#FS2037.674	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part
908.8	Ross Gray for Christchurch Civic Trust on behalf of Christchurch Civic	Seek Amendment	RMA and potential legisla	PC14 to be amended to consider Christchurch local factors and purposes of and potential legislation when shifting to intensification. The unique history of a should be considered while allowing innovative approaches that are		
	Trust		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.677	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject

Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
1018.1	Keunah Kim	Oppose	Oppose all of plan.			Reject
1039.1	Geoff Mahan	Oppose	Oppose all of plan change		Reject	
1061.5	Elizabeth Harris	Seek Amendment	The submitter seeks amento the District Plan, include policies, rules, controls/dithat will fully give effect that will fully give effect that the relevant planning	Reject		
1066.1	Rachel Crawford for Richmond Residents and Business	Seek Amendment	Support the efforts of the fits all" approach is not ap	Council to convince the Gov opropriate as far as this Act is e some acceptance within the	concerned, and thank them	Accept
	Association (We		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	are Richmond) on behalf of Richmond Residents and Business Association (We are Richmond)		#FS2037.669	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept
1075.2	Diana Shand	Seek Amendment	Seeks alternatives to high	-rise and cramped-living den	sification.	Reject
1086.1	Christian Jordan	Oppose	Oppose intensification pro	oposed by PC14		Reject
2002.3	Daphne Robinson	Oppose	Oppose intensification in	leafy suburbs such as Strowa	n.	Reject
2077.3	Christchurch Casinos Limited	<u>Oppose</u>	Policy 3(a) of the NPS-UD form to provide for addit	or changes that will adverse or the submitter supports the ional development capacity and supports any provisions soutcome	ne intensification of urban particularly near the city	Accept
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2099.74	Kainga Ora - Homes and Communities	Support	Accept

WHOLE OF PLA	WHOLE OF PLAN SUBMISSIONS AND FURTHER SUBMISSIONS WITH RECOMMENDATIONS							
Point No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief	Recommendation				
2077.8	<u>Christchurch</u>	<u>Support</u>	[Seeks to support] any other additional or consequential relief to the District Plan,	Accept				
	Casinos Limited		including but not limited to, the maps, issues, objectives,					
			policies, rules, controls/discretions, assessment criteria and explanations that will					
			give effect to the matters raised in this submission and					
			the relevant planning legislation.					
2079.2	Jim and Janeen	<u>Oppose</u>	Oppose the application of NPS-UD through plan change 14.	Reject				
	<u>Nolan</u>							

	FIONS CHAPTER 3, STRATEGIC ISS				<u> </u>			
1.2 STRATEGIC DIF		Danwart	Common of valief couch			December detion		
Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of relief sough	t		Recommendation		
Objective 3.3.1 – E	nabling recovery and facilitating	the future enh	nancement of the district					
657.3	Clair Higginson	Seek	Seek amendment - Add a	Seek amendment - Add a point (iv) to objective 3.3.1: Considers				
		Amendment	sustainability and potent	ange (to be overarching				
			matters and considered					
Objective 3.3.2 – 0	Clarity of language and efficiency			·		•		
814.40	Carter Group	Support	Retain Objective 3.3.2 as	notified.		Accept		
	Limited		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2082.870	Kāinga Ora	Seek Amendment	Accept		
823.36	The Catholic	Support	Retain as notified.		<u> </u>	Accept		
	Diocese of		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
	Christchurch		#FS2037.1268	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Reject		
			#FS2045.209	Carter Group Limited	Support	Accept		
Objective 3.3.3 – N	Ngai Tahu mana whenua							
689.2	Environment	Support	Retain Objective as notif	ied		Accept in part		
	Canterbury /		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
	Canterbury Regional		#FS2032.1	Kelly Bombay	Oppose	Accept in par		
	Council		#FS2037.1024	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in par		

834.1	Kāinga Ora – Homes	Seek	1. Amend clause (a)(ii) as	s follows: Ngāi Tahu man	a whenua's aspirations to	Accept in part
	and Communities	Amendment	actively participate prior	and prosperity are		
			recognised and provided			
			the provision of Papakā			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2030.2	Amy Beran	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2050.6	Miles Premises Ltd	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2052.7	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2052.8	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2052.9	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2052.10	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2059.35	Kauri Lodge Rest Home 2008 Limited	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2087.10	Fiona Aston	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2087.11	Fiona Aston	Seek Amendment	
<u>695</u>	Te Hapū o Ngāti		Rāpaki Rūnanga is speci	fically supportive of the	proposed revisions to	Accept
	Wheke		the high-level provision that seek to further enable Ngāi Tahu			
			development priorities i			
			nohoanga with the urban area and on Maori Land.			
Objective 3.3.4 –	Housing bottom lines and choice					
689.3	Environment Canterbury /	Support	Retain as notified			Accept – relocated to 3.3.7
	Canterbury Regional		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	Council		#FS2037.1025	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept
834.2	Kāinga Ora – Homes	Support	Support the proposed re	ference to Papakāinga/K	āingaNohoanga as a new	Accept in part –
	and Communities		clause(b)(ii).		-	relocated to 3.3.7

Objective 3.3.5 Busi	iness and economic prosperity					
Objective 3.3.7 (nev	w provision) – Well-functioning	urban environi	ment			
121.26	Cameron Matthews	Oppose	Remove Strategic Objectives 3.3.7(a with Policy 1 of the NPS-UD.		3.7(a)(i)(A), (B), and (D) and replace these	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2052.216	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept in part
377.1	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Seek Amendment	Retain objective and add the following underlined: iv. The benefits of urban environments that support reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and are resilient to <u>natural hazards</u> and the current and future effects of climate change.			Accept
S259.9	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	Support	Supports the changes to objective 1)	new strategic direction o	objective 3.3.7 (MDRS	Accept in part

556.2	Winton Land	Seek	That objective 3.3.7 be amended as follows:	Accept in part
	Limited	Amendment	3.3.7 Objective - Well-functioning urban	
			environment a. A well-functioning urban	
			environment that enables all people and	
			communities to provide for their social,	
			economic, and cultural wellbeing, and for their	
			health and safety, now and into the future;	
			including by recognising and providing for;	
			i. Within commercial and residential zones, a	
			distinctive, legible urban form and strong sense	
			of place, expressed through:	
			A. Contrasting building clusters within the	
			cityscape and the wider perspective of the Te	
			Poho -o Tamatea/the Port Hils and Canterbury	
			plains; and	
			B Appropriate scale, form and location of	
			buildings when viewed in context of the city's	
			natural environment and significant open	
			spaces, providing for;	
			I. Larger scale development where it can be	
			visually absorbed within the environment; and	
			II. Lower heights and design controls for	
			development located in more sensitive	
			environments;	
			C. The pre-eminence of the city centre built	
			form, supported by enabling the highest	
			buildings;	
			D. The clustering, scale and massing of	
			development in and around commercial centres,	
			commensurate with the role of the centre and	
			the extent of commercial and community	
			services provided;	
			E. The largest scale and density of development	
			outside of the city centre, provided within and	

			around town centres, an	d lessening scale for		
			centres lower in the hier	•		
				nge over time, including		
			amenity values, in respo	, ,		
			changing needs of peopl			
			future generations;	-,		
			iii. The cultural traditions	and norms of Ngai		
			Tahu manawhenua; and	•		
			iv. The benefit of urban o	environments that		
			support reductions in gre	eenhouse gas emissions;		
				urrent and future effects		
			of climate change.			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2030.3	Amy Beran	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2082.326	Kāinga Ora	Support	Accept in part
689.4	Environment	Support	[Retain Objective as noti		Accept in part	
	Canterbury /		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	Canterbury Regional		#FS2037.1026	Christchurch Civic	Support	Accept in part
	Council			Trust		
705.11	Foodstuffs	Seek	Amend objective to inclu	Reject		
		Amendment	supermarkets) in or near centre zones in accordance with Objective 1,			
			Objective 3 and Policy 1			
780.1	Josie Schroder	Support	Retain Objective 3.3.7 as		1	Accept in part
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.739	Christchurch Civic	Support	Accept in part
806.2	Te Tāhuhu o te	Seek	[Add] a v. Provides for ea		throughout the districts	Reject
000.2	Mātaranga (Ministry	Amendment	[Add] a v. <u>Provides for educational opportunities throughout the districts</u> to support communities and development.			neject
	of Education)		Further submission No.		Position	
			I GILLICI SUNIIISSIUII NU.	G. CIICI JABIIIICCI		

811.8	Retirement Villages	Seek	[S]eeks to amend Objectiv	e 3.3.7		Accept in part
<u></u>	Association of New	Amendment		isions that have the potent	ial to limit the	- toocpt iii part
	Zealand Inc	Amendment	intensification intent of th		to mile the	
	<u>=====================================</u>		a. A well-functioning urbar			
				r their social, economic, an		
			for their health and	- then booking coonstitution and	a carrar ar trempering, and	
			·	uture; including by recognis	ing and providing for:	
				esidential zones, high quali		
			<u> </u>	ong sense of place, express	•	
				sters within the cityscape a		
			of the TePoho o Tamatea/	the Port Hills and Canterbu	iry Plains; and	
				and location of buildings w		
				nent and significant open sp		
			i. Larger scale development where it can be visually absorbed within the			
			environment' and			
			ii.Lower heights and design			
			environments;			
			C. The pre-eminence of the city centre built form, supported by enabling the			
			highest buildings;			
			D. The clustering, scale and massing of development in and around commercial			
			centres, commensurate with the role of the centre and the extent of			
			commercial and community services provided;			
			E. The largest scale and density of development, outside of the city centre,			
			provided within and around town centres, and lessening scale for centres lower			
			in the hierarchy unless a specific need for scale and density exists;			
			ii. Development and change over time to the planned urban environment is			
				menity values, in response	-	
				communities and future ge		
				nity values experienced by s	some people. These	
			changes are not, of themse	elves, an adverse effect;	T	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2092.24	Brighton	Support	Accept in part
				Observatory of		
				Environment and		
				<u>Economics</u>		
			#FS2097.4	Boffa Miskell Limited	Support	Accept in part

814.41	Carter Group Limited	Seek Amendment	Amend Objective 3.3.7 b future' as follows:3.3.7 C A well-functioning urban communities to provide and for their health and byrecognising and provide zonesiv. The benefits of greenhouse gas emission effects ofclimate change	Accept in part		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	According worth
			#FS2050.10 #FS2082.871	Miles Premises Ltd	Support Seek Amendment	Accept in part
823.37	The Catholic	Seek	Amend by deleting the to	Kāinga Ora		Accept in part
623.3/	Diocese of Christchurch	Seek Amendment	follows: 3.3.7 Objective – Well-fu a. A well-functioning urb communities to provide and for their health and by recognising and provi i. Within commercial and iv. The benefits of urban greenhouse gas emission effects of climate change Further submission No.	ment ables all people and c, and cultural wellbeing, future ; including ort reductions in	Accept in part	
			#FS2037.1269	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2045.210	Carter Group Limited	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2082.1120	Kāinga Ora	Seek Amendment	Accept in part
834.3	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Seek Amendment	plains; and Amend claus	ters withinthe cityscape Te Poho-oTamatea/the e (a)(E)(iii) as follows: and norms of Ngāi Tahu nga/Kāinga Nohoanga		Accept in part

			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position				
			#FS2030.4	Amy Beran	Support	Accept in part			
			#FS2044.15	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Accept in part			
			#FS2045.13	Carter Group Limited	Support	Accept in part			
			#FS2049.7	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	Support	Accept in part			
<u>695</u>	Te Hapū o Ngāti		Rāpaki Rūnanga is speci	fically supportive of the	proposed revisions to	Accept			
	<u>Wheke</u>		the high-level provision	that seek to further enal	ole Ngāi Tahu				
			development priorities i	ncluding provision of pa	pkainga/kainga				
			nohoanga with the urba						
842.11	Fire and Emergency	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part					
852.4	Christchurch	Seek	Amend new objective 3.3	Amend new objective 3.3.7 - Well-functioning urban environment as					
	International	Amendment	follows: a. A well-function	follows: a. A well-functioning urban environment that enables all people					
	Airport Limited		and communities to prov	omic, and cultural					
	(CIAL)		wellbeing, and for their h						
			including by recognising						
			v. reduced density of dev	ctivities where a					
			Qualifying Matter applie	<u>s</u> .					
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position				
			#FS2017.4	Sarah Harrow	Oppose	Reject			
			#FS2032.51	Kelly Bombay	Oppose	Reject			
			#FS2050.5	Miles Premises Ltd	Oppose	Reject			
			#FS2060.6	Transpower New	Oppose	Reject			
				Zealand Limited					
			#FS2071.17	New Zealand Airports	Support	Accept			
				Association		<u> </u>			
			#FS2082.771	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Reject			

855.17	Lendlease Limited	Seek	Amend Objective 3.3.7 to	o include reference to the	e Metropolitan Centre	Reject	
		Amendment	Zone, as follows:				
			3.3.7 Objective – Well-fu				
			functioning urban enviro	nment that enables all po	eople and communities		
			to provide for their socia	l, economic, and cultural	wellbeing, and for their		
			health and safety, now a	nd into the future; includ	ling by recognising and		
			providing for;				
			i. Within commercial and	l residential zones, a disti	inctive, legible urban		
			form and strong sense of	place, expressed throug	h:		
			E. The largest scale and o	lensity of development, o	outside of the city centre,		
			provided within and arou	und <u>metropolitan centres</u>	and town centres, and		
			lessening scale for centre				
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2090.32	Vaughan Smith	Support	Reject	
878.1	Transpower New		Amend Objective 3.3.7 a	Accept			
	Zealand Limited			a. A well-functioning urban environment that enables all people			
			and communities to prov	vide for their social, econ	omic, and		
			cultural wellbeing, and for	, now and into			
			the future; including by r				
			iv. The benefits of urban	environments that suppo	ort reductions in		
			greenhouse gas emission				
			effects of climate change				
			; and x. The specific ch	aracteristics of qualifying	g matters.		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.684	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept	
			#FS2052.2	Christchurch	Support	Accept	
				International Airport			
			#EC30E 4.3	Limited	C	A t	
			#FS2054.3	Lyttelton Port Company Limited	Support	Accept	
			#FS2055.24	KiwiRail	Support	Accept	
			#FS2056.2	Orion New Zealand Limited	Support	Accept	
			#FS2082.802	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Reject	

Amendment Amendment S.3.7. Objective — Well-functioning urban environment A well-functioning urban environment that enables all people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing, and for their health and safety, now and into the future Reject	914.5	Davie Lovell-Smith Ltd ering) – Urban growth,	Amendment Seek Amendment form and desig	version: 3.3.7 Objective – Well-fu A well-functioning urban communities to provide and for their health and Amend Objective 3.3.7 to version:3.3.7 Objective – functioning urban enviro to provide for their socia health and safety, now a	unctioning urban environing environment that enable for their social, economic safety, now and into the oonly be that identified in Well-functioning urban onment that enables all pal, economic, and cultural	ment es all people and c, and cultural wellbeing, future n red of the notified environment A well- eople and communities	·
3.3.7 Objective — Well-functioning urban environment that enables all people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing, and for their health and safety, now and into the future. 14.5	914.5	ering) – Urban growth,	Seek Amendment form and desig	3.3.7 Objective – Well-fu A well-functioning urban communities to provide and for their health and Amend Objective 3.3.7 to version: 3.3.7 Objective – functioning urban environ to provide for their social health and safety, now a	n environment that enable for their social, economic safety, now and into the o only be that identified in Well-functioning urbant that enables all pal, economic, and cultural	es all people and c, and cultural wellbeing, future n red of the notified environment A well- eople and communities	Reject
A well-functioning urban environment that enables all people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing, and for their health and safety, now and into the future 14.5	914.5	ering) – Urban growth,	Amendment form and desig	A well-functioning urban communities to provide and for their health and Amend Objective 3.3.7 to version: 3.3.7 Objective functioning urban environto provide for their social health and safety, now a	n environment that enable for their social, economic safety, now and into the o only be that identified in Well-functioning urbant that enables all pal, economic, and cultural	es all people and c, and cultural wellbeing, future n red of the notified environment A well- eople and communities	Reject
Communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing, and for their health and safety, now and into the future	914.5	ering) – Urban growth,	Amendment form and desig	communities to provide and for their health and Amend Objective 3.3.7 to version: 3.3.7 Objective – functioning urban enviro to provide for their social health and safety, now a	for their social, economic safety, now and into the o only be that identified i - Well-functioning urban onment that enables all p al, economic, and cultural	c, and cultural wellbeing, future n red of the notified environment A well- eople and communities	Reject
Accept in part	914.5	ering) – Urban growth,	Amendment form and desig	and for their health and Amend Objective 3.3.7 to version: 3.3.7 Objective – functioning urban enviro to provide for their social health and safety, now a	safety, now and into the only be that identified in well-functioning urban onment that enables all pall, economic, and cultural	future n red of the notified environment A well- eople and communities	Reject
Davie Lovell-Smith Ltd Davie Lovell-Smith Ltd Seek Amendment Amendment Ltd Amendment Amendment Ltd Amendment Ltd Amendment Amendment Ltd Amendment Amendm	914.5	ering) – Urban growth,	Amendment form and desig	Amend Objective 3.3.7 to version: 3.3.7 Objective – functioning urban enviro to provide for their social health and safety, now a	o only be that identified i - Well-functioning urban onment that enables all p al, economic, and cultural	n red of the notified environment A well- eople and communities	Reject
Ltd Amendment Version: 3.3.7 Objective — Well-functioning urban environment A well-functioning urban environment that enables all people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing, and for their health and safety, now and into the future	914.5	ering) – Urban growth,	Amendment form and desig	version:3.3.7 Objective – functioning urban enviro to provide for their socia health and safety, now a	- Well-functioning urban onment that enables all p al, economic, and cultural	environment A well- eople and communities	Reject
Support Supp		ering) – Urban growth,	form and desig	functioning urban environments to provide for their social health and safety, now a	onment that enables all pal, economic, and cultural	eople and communities	
to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing, and for their health and safety, now and into the future Pobjective 3.3.8 (new numbering) – Urban growth, form and design Support Support Support Support Further submission No. Further Submitter Position		Environment		to provide for their socia health and safety, now a	al, economic, and cultural		
Support Further submission No. Further Submitter Position		Environment		health and safety, now a		wellbeing, and for their	
Support Supp		Environment			ind into the future		
Environment Canterbury / Canterbury / Canterbury Regional Council Further submission No. Further Submitter Position		Environment		n			
Canterbury Canterbury Canterbury Regional Council Further submission No. Further Submitter Position Accept in part	Objective 3.3.8 (new numb		Support				-
Canterbury Canterbury Canterbury Regional Council Further submission No. Further Submitter Position Accept in part	689.5	Canterbury /	Jupport				Accept in part
Council Support Retain Objective 3.3.8 as notified. Further Submitter Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) Further Submitter Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) Further Submitter Further Submi						Position	
Bose Schroder Support Retain Objective 3.3.8 as notified. Further submission No. Further Submitter Position Support Accept in part		Canterbury Regional		/ #FS2037.1027	Christchurch Civic	Support	Accept in part
Further submission No. Further Submitter Position #FS2037.740 Christchurch Civic Support Accept in part Trust Support Support Supports the proposed objective [and seeks to] retain as notified. Accept in part Further submission No. Further Submitter Position #FS2052.42 Christchurch International Airport Limited Te Tāhuhu o te Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) Mataranga (Ministry of Education) Mataranga (Ministry of Education) Retirement Villages Association of Retirement Villages Association of Mataranga (Ministry of Education of Mendment in Has its areas of special character and amenity value identified and Accept in part Accept in part Maccept in part Retirement Villages In Has its areas of special character and amenity value identified and		Council			Trust		
#FS2037.740 Christchurch Civic Trust Support Accept in part Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) Transport Agency) Te Tāhuhu o te Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) Material Ministry of Education) Retirement Villages Association of #FS2037.740 Christchurch [International Airport Limited] Christchurch [International Airport Limited] [Regarding objective 3.3.78] [Add] a, xi. Provides for educational facilities throughout the districts to support community and development. Further submission No. Further Submitter Position #FS2082.606 Kāinga Ora Support Reject Accept in part Accept in part Further submission No. Further Submitter Position #FS2082.606 Kāinga Ora Support Reject Accept in part Accept in part Accept in part Further submission No. Further Submitter Position #FS2082.606 Kāinga Ora Support Reject Accept in part	780.2	Josie Schroder	Support	Retain Objective 3.3.8 as	notified.		Accept in part
Trust Support Accept in part				Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) Support Supports the proposed objective [and seeks to] retain as notified. Further submission No. Further Submitter #FS2052.42 Christchurch International Airport Limited Reject Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) Seek Amendment Retirement Villages Association of Maka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) Support Supports the proposed objective [and seeks to] retain as notified. Further Submitter Position Reject Reject Accept in part Accept in part Accept in part Reject Mataranga (Ministry of Education) Further submission No. Further Submitter Further submission No. Further Submitter #FS2082.606 Kāinga Ora Support Reject Accept in part Accept in part				#FS2037.740	Christchurch Civic	Support	Accept in part
Transport Agency) Further submission No. Further Submitter #FS2052.42 Christchurch International Airport Limited Te Tāhuhu o te Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) Retirement Villages Association of Retirement Villages Association of Further submission No. Further Submitter Further submission No. Further Submitter #FS2082.606 Kāinga Ora Support Accept in part Accept in part Accept in part Further submission No. Further Submitter #FS2082.606 Kāinga Ora Support Reject Accept in part Accept in part					Trust		
#FS2052.42 Christchurch International Airport Limited Te Tāhuhu o te Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) Retirement Villages Association of #FS2052.42 Christchurch International Airport Limited Regarding objective 3.3.78] [Add] a, xi. Provides for educational facilities throughout the districts to support community and development. Further submission No. Further Submitter Position #FS2082.606 Kāinga Ora Support Reject Accept in part Accept in part Ill.9	805.32	Waka Kotahi (NZ	Support	Supports the proposed objective [and seeks to] retain as notified.			Accept in part
International Airport Limited Te Tāhuhu o te Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) Retirement Villages Association of International Airport Limited [Regarding objective 3.3.78] [Add] a, xi. Provides for educational facilities throughout the districts to support community and development. Further submission No. Further Submitter #FS2082.606 Kāinga Ora Support Reject Accept in part ii Has its areas of special character and amenity value identified and		Transport Agency)		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
Limited Te Tāhuhu o te Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) Retirement Villages Association of Limited [Regarding objective 3.3.78] [Add] a, xi. Provides for educational facilities throughout the districts to support community and development. Further submission No. Further Submitter Position #FS2082.606 Kāinga Ora Support Reject Amendment ii Has its areas of special character and amenity value identified and				#FS2052.42	Christchurch	Support	Accept in part
Te Tāhuhu o te Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) Seek Amendment of Education) Reject Further submission No. Further Submitter Position #FS2082.606 Kāinga Ora Support Reject Reject Reject **Thoughout the districts to support community and development.** Further submission No. Further Submitter Position #FS2082.606 Kāinga Ora Support Reject **Thoughout the districts to support community and development.** **Thoughout the districts to support community and development.** Further submission No. Further Submitter Position #FS2082.606 Kāinga Ora Support Reject **Thoughout the districts to support community and development.** Further submission No. Further Submitter Position #FS2082.606 Kāinga Ora Support **Thoughout the districts to support community and development.** **Thoughout the districts to support community and development.** Further submission No. Further Submitter Position #FS2082.606 Kāinga Ora Support **Accept in part** It has its areas of special character and amenity value identified and **Thoughout the districts to support community and development.** Further submission No. Further Submitter Position #FS2082.606 Kāinga Ora Support **Accept in part** It has its areas of special character and amenity value identified and **Thoughout the districts to support community and development.** **Thoughout the distr					International Airport		
Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) Amendment of Education) Amendment of Education Amendment of Educa					Limited		
of Education) Further submission No. Further Submitter #FS2082.606 Kāinga Ora Support Reject Retirement Villages Association of Purther submission No. Further Submitter #FS2082.606 Kāinga Ora Support Amendment ii Has its areas of special character and amenity value identified and	806.3	Te Tāhuhu o te	Seek	[Regarding objective 3.3.78] [Add] a, xi. Provides for educational facilities			Reject
#FS2082.606 Kāinga Ora Support Reject Retirement Villages Association of Seek II Has its areas of special character and amenity value identified and		Mātaranga (Ministry	Amendment	throughout the districts			
11.9 Retirement Villages Association of Accept in part ii Has its areas of special character and amenity value identified and		of Education)		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
Association of <u>ii Has its areas of special character and amenity value identified and</u>				#FS2082.606	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject
Association of <u>ii Has its areas of special character and amenity value identified and</u>	811.9	Retirement Villages	Seek	amend 3.3.8		•	Accept in part
New Zealand Inc their specifically recognised values appropriately managed, recognising			<u>Amendment</u>	ii Has its areas of special	value identified and	_	
		New Zealand Inc		their specifically recognised values appropriately managed, recognising			
that the planned urban form and associated amenity values will change				that the planned urban form and associated amenity values will change			
over time				over time.			

			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2097.5	Boffa Miskell Limited	Support	Accept in part
814.42	Carter Group Limited	Seek Amendment	Amend Objective 3.3.8(v accessibility for alland ecforwalking, cycling and pjobs, community services way of public or active trwalking, cycling and public or submission No.	Accept in part		
1			#FS2082.872	Further Submitter Kāinga Ora	Position Seek Amendment	Accept in part
823.38	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	The Catholic Diocese of Amendment Viii. Has good Improves overall accessibility for all and connectivity				Accept <u>in part</u>
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.1270	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2045.211	Carter Group Limited	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2082.1121	Kāinga Ora	Seek Amendment	Accept in part
834.4	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Seek Amendment	Amend clause (a)(E)(iii) a 1. The cultural traditions the provision of Papakā 2. Update clause numbe	Accept in part		
			Further submission No.	Position		
			#FS2030.5	Amy Beran	Support	Accept in part

834.5	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities		1. Retain objective as no deletion of existing claus. Has its areas of special conductive identified and their recognised values appropriate 2. Amend clause (a)(iv.)(in and around the Central and around the	se(a)(ii): characterand amenity ir specifically priately managed;and A) as follows: al City, Key Activity	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch/ #FS2044.16; Carter Group Limited/ #FS2045.14; Red Spur Ltd/ #FS2068.2 and Fiona Aston/ #FS2088.13	Accept in part
			Centres (as identifiedin Canterbury Regional Po Centre, and larger Local and nodes of core public	licyStatement), Town neighbourhood centres,		
842.12	Fire and Emergency		Retain as notified		Lydia Shirley/ #FS2010.3	Accept in part
Objective 3.3.9 (new	v numbering 3.3.10) Natural an	d cultural envi	ironment			
61.18	Victoria Neighbourhood Association (VNA)	Seek Amendment	Include commercial/ind	Include commercial/industrial sites in Strategic Objective 3.3.10 (a) (ii) E,		
205.2	Addington Neighbourhood	Seek Amendment		should provide residents stance of no more than o	•	Reject
	Association		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.294	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject
			#FS2063.28	Ryman Healthcare Limited	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2064.27	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2082.122	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept
237.49	Marjorie Manthei	Seek Amendment	Amend (a)(i) to include of activities as well.	commercial/industrial		Reject
571.13	James Harwood	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.			Accept
615.9	Analijia Thomas	Support	Seek that the council ret requirement and contrib	ains the tree canopy		Accept
689.6		Support	[Retain Objective as noti	fied]	•	Accept

	Environment		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	Canterbury /		#FS2037.1028	Christchurch Civic	Support	Accept
	Canterbury Regional			Trust		
	Council					
780.3	Josie Schroder	Support	Retain Objective 3.3.10 as notified.			Accept
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.741	Christchurch Civic	Support	Accept
811.10	Retirement Villages	Seek	Amend 3.3.10a.ii.E. for o	Trust with the Ena	bling Housing Act or	Reject
	Association	Amendment	delete.	,	8 8 8 8 8	
	of New Zealand Inc		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2097.6	Boffa Miskell Limited	Support	Reject
814.43	Carter Group	Oppose	Oppose Objective 3.3.10	ed.	Reject	
	Limited		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2082.873	Kāinga Ora	Seek Amendment	Reject
823.39	The Catholic	Oppose	Delete			Reject
	Diocese of		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	Christchurch		#FS2037.1271	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2045.212	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject
			#FS2082.1122	Kāinga Ora	Seek Amendment	Reject
834.6	Kāinga Ora – Homes	Oppose	Delete proposed clause (Reject		
	and Communities		Tree canopy cover in arc			
			andenhances the city's k	•	•	
			reducesstormwater rune	•	•	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.1	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2044.17	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Reject
			#FS2045.15	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject
			#FS2049.8	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	Support	Reject
			#FS2068.3	Red Spur Ltd	Oppose	Accept

			#FS2088.14	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject	
874.16	Daresbury Ltd	Oppose	[Regarding Objective 3.3	.10(ii)(E)] [Seeks that this	objective is deleted]	Reject	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.628	Christchurch Civic	Oppose	Accept	
				Trust			
Objective 3.3.12 (r	new numbering 3.3.13) – Infrastr	ucture					
292.1	Julie Farrant	Seek	Seeks amendments to er	Seeks amendments to ensure that existing stormwater infrastructure is			
		Amendment	competent for [high den				
<u>489.3</u>	Chris Baddock	Not Stated	That necessary infrastru	fore intensifying the	Reject		
			housing regarding public				
834.7	Kāinga Ora – Homes	Oppose	Delete clause (b.)(iii.). "	Reject			
	and Communities		50dBA Ldn Air Noise Con				
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2052.11	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept	
				International Airport			
				Limited			
854.9	Orion New Zealand	Seek	Amend existing Objectiv	e 3.3.13 Objective – Infra	structure as follows:	Reject	
	Limited (Orion)	Amendment	vi. managing activitie				
			230V electricity distribu				

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of relief sough	1		Recommendation		
236.7	Susan Barrett				costs of the high-density	Reject out of		
230.7	<u>oasan banete</u>				outweigh the government's hoped	scope		
					of Christchurch's residents, if	<u>scope</u>		
259.5	Ara Poutama	259.5 – Seek		PC14 is adopted as a blanket policy for housing development in our suburbs. [Re: Emergency and refuge accommodation] Amend the residential definitions in				
259.3	Aotearoa	Amendment		the CDP to ensure housing which provides for diverse needs of the community are				
259.4	Adicarda	Amenament	provided for.	already provided for throughout				
233.4		259.3 – Seek	provided for.			plan		
		Amendment	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	pian		
			#FS2037.350	Christchurch Civic	Support	Accept in part		
	2	259.4 – Seek	52537.1556	Trust (259.5)	Support	/ tocope iii pare		
		Amendment	#FS2082.217	Kāinga Ora (259.5)	Support	Accept in part		
			#FS2082.215	Kāinga Ora (259.3)	Support	Accept in part		
			#FS2037.349	Christchurch Civic	Support	Accept in part		
			M 32037.343	Trust (259.4)	Support	//cccpt iii part		
354.4	54.4 Waimāero	Seek Amendment	[Seeks council ensures] th	Reject, out of				
	Fendalton-		with the local community	scope				
	Waimairi-		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	33343		
	Harewood		#FS2040.5	Malcolm Hollis	Support	Reject, out of		
	Community Board					scope		
627.1	Plain and Simple	627.1 – Seek	[T]hat the objectives with	in PC 14 are amended t	o explicitly include recognition of	Reject, out of		
627.2	Ltd	Amendment			nd a sense of community belonging	scope		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	,		
		627.2 – Seek	#FS2030.1	Amy Beran (627.1)	Support	Reject, out of		
		Amendment		, (- ,		scope		
			#FS2037.542	Christchurch Civic	Support	Reject, out of		
				Trust (627.1)		scope		
105.3	Te Whare Roimata	Seek	New Qualifying Matter fo	lable housing: - the adoption of	Reject			
		Amendment	, ,	•	hich requires a percentage of new			
			• •	ne dwellers; or the development				
					ew residential developments to			
			_		" similar to that adopted by the			

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of relief sough	t		Recommendation		
			Queenstown Council. The	e money collected from th	is financial contribution would			
			then be given to Commu	nity Housing providers to	help fund replacement			
			affordable housing ideally	y in neighbourhoods such	as the Inner City East.			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2037.174	Christchurch Civic	Support	Reject		
				Trust				
			#FS2063.13	Ryman Healthcare	Oppose	Accept		
				Limited				
			#FS2064.13	Retirement Village	Oppose	Accept		
				Association of New				
				Zealand Incorporated				
6 - General	Rules and Procedures >	6.10 - Works for the	Purposes of Earthquake Re	ecovery > 6.10.4 - 6.10A T	ree Canopy Cover and Financial o	contributions >		
6.10.4.2 - 6.	10A.2 Objectives and Po	olicies						
154.5	Ōpāwaho	Seek Amendment	[Re: Policy Outcomes] Ru	[Re: Policy Outcomes] Rules should seek to encourage or require community-level				
154.6	Heathcote River		planning in areas of high	scope				
	Network (OHRN)		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2037.224	Christchurch Civic	Support	Reject, out of		
				Trust		scope		
			#FS2082.80	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept		
6 - General	Rules and Procedures >	6.10 - Works for the	Purposes of Earthquake Re	ecovery > 6.10.4 - 6.10A 1	ree Canopy Cover and Financial o	contributions		
154.6	Ōpāwaho	Seek Amendment	Rules should seek to enco	ourage or require commu	nity-level planning in areas of	Reject, out of		
	Heathcote River		high intensification.			scope		
	Network (OHRN)		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2037.225	Christchurch Civic	Support	Reject		
				Trust				
			#FS2082.81	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept		
882.2	Latimer	Seek Amendment	Request the introduction	Reject				
	Community		Plan along the lines of the					
	Housing Trust		_		ncial contribution to a fund to be			
			-		e submission of Te Whare			
			Roimata Trust [#105] and					
	1	1	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of relief sought			Recommendation			
			#FS2082.816	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept			
627.22	Plain and Simple	Seek Amendment	Ensure the [delivery of]: r	Accept in part as					
	Ltd		green space and appropri	green space and appropriate amenity values., integrated social and affordable					
			housing in mixed commu	nities, prototyping zones	with rules and aligned support	through PC14			
			that facilitates innovation	and prototyping of new	choices of housing				
14 - Residen	tial > 14.5 - Rules - Med	ium Density Residen	tial Zone						
725.3	Sophie Burtt	Seek Amendment	Precincts within the Medi	um-Density Residential Z	one should each have a	Reject – out of			
			Regeneration Framework	Plan and have regulatory	, comprehensive community	scope			
			engagement.						
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position				
			#FS2052.155	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept			
				International Airport					
				Limited					
14 - Residen	tial > 14.5 - Rules - Med	ium Density Residen	tial Zone > 14.5.2 - Built fo	rm standards					
145.6	Te Mana	145.6 – Seek	Te Mana Ora recommend	Reject – out of					
145.7 C	Ora/Community	Amendment	developments can be des	scope					
	and Public Health		example, shared spaces, s						
		145.7 – Seek	social interaction in housi						
		Amendment	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position				
			#FS2037.205	Christchurch Civic	Support	Reject			
				Trust (145.6)					
882.2	Latimer	Seek Amendment	Request the introduction	of inclusionary Housing P	lan which lists within the District	Reject			
	Community		Plan along the lines of the	e Queenstown Lakes Cour	icil, requir[ing] developers of				
	Housing Trust		new residential housing in	n the area to make a finar	ncial contribution to a fund to be				
			used to provide affordabl	e housing. We support th	e submission of Te Whare				
			Roimata Trust [#105] and	Roimata Trust [#105] and its recommendations.					
54.3	Shirley van Essen	Seek Amendment	Investigate the social effe	Accept					
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position				
			#FS2037.88	Christchurch Civic	Support	Accept			
				Trust					
105.2	Te Whare Roimata	Seek Amendment	That the present market-	That the present market-led, compact city model favoured in Plan Change 14 is					
			replacedby the notion of	the "Just City" now advan	ced in the literature as the				

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of relief sough	t		Recommendation		
				means of addressingthe distributive inequalities of urban growth which sees equit				
			and urban justice put attl	and urban justice put at the centre of planning decisions.				
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2037.173	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part		
			#FS2082.49	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part		
188.1 Riccarton Bush - Kilmarnock	Seek Amendment	The plan change should been completed.		r social impact assessment has	Accept			
	Residents'		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
Association		#FS2036.1	Tony Dale	Support	Accept			
		#FS2037.238	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept			
			#FS2052.286	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	Accept		
			#FS2059.24	Kauri Lodge Rest Home 2008 Limited	Oppose	Reject		
			#FS2083.40	Robert Broughton	Support	Accept		
218.4	Julia van Essen	Seek Amendment	[That] a review into the s	Accept				
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2037.304	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept		
315.4	Denis Morgan	Seek Amendment	I have a strong objection community consultation		impact assessment* Lack of sentatives.	Reject		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2037.421	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject		
354.6	Waimāero	Seek Amendment	[Seeks council ensures] th	Reject out of				
	Fendalton-		with the local community		, 5.0-	scope		
	Waimairi-		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	<u>'</u>		

SOCIAL IMPA	CTS OF INTENSIFICATION					
Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of relief sough	t		Recommendation
	Harewood		#FS2037.444	Christchurch Civic	Support	Reject out of
	Community Board			Trust		scope
			#FS2040.6	Malcolm Hollis	Support	Reject out of
						scope
679.12	Tony Dale	Seek Amendment	Seek that a social impacts	s assessment of the city-v	wide intensification proposal be	Accept in part
			undertaken to the level o			
			the proposal.			
851.1	Robert Leonard	Oppose	[Seek] the plan change sh	Accept		
	Broughton		has been completed.			
876.1	Alan Ogle	Seek Amendment	Seek that the plan change	e should be reviewed one	ce a proper social impact	Accept
			assessment has been con	npleted.		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2083.9	Robert Broughton	Support	Accept
902.5	Waipuna Halswell-	Seek Amendment	[That technical assessmen	nts are undertaken on]: s	ocial impacts , infrastructure	Accept in part
	HornbyRiccarton		capacity, citywide geoted			
	Community Board		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2082.1267	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part

INFRASTRI	<u>INFRASTRUCTURE</u>							
Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation		
354.3	Waimāero	Seek	Consider the capac	Consider the capacity of existing infrastructure to support development.				
	Fendalton-Waimairi-	Amendment	Further	Further Further Submitter Position				
	Harewood		submission No.					
	Community Board		#FS2037.443	Christchurch Civic	Support	Accept in part		
				Trust				
			#FS2082.287	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part		
102.1	Zhijian Wang	Not Stated	an ideal solution. In improvements will with excavations a	adding medium-density and high-density housing to established neighbourhoods is not n ideal solution. Infrastructure will not be able to cope with demand, infrastructure mprovements will be costly and impact on rates, and there will be further interruption with excavations and road closures. There will be increased concrete and asphalt cootprints and reduced garden areas, affecting the natural infiltration of rainwater,				

			increasing the bur	den on infrastructure	and that may cause flooding. There will be an			
			_		nd as a Garden City. which has taken time to			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2037.171	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject		
447.14	Alex Lowings	Oppose	There does not ap	·	for infrastructure improvements in terms of	Reject out of scope		
489.2	Chris Baddock	Seek Amendment	That necessary inf public transport	hat necessary infrastructure should be built before intensifying the housing regarding				
205.1	Addington	Seek	Intensification sho	Intensification should be restricted until required infrastructure is in place.				
Neighbourhood Association	Amendment	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position				
			#FS2037.293	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject out of scope		
			#FS2063.27	Ryman Healthcare Limited	Oppose	Accept		
			#FS2064.26	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	Oppose	Accept		
			#FS2082.121	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept		
292.1	Julie Farrant	Seek Amendment		•	ng stormwater infrastructure is competent for ent].	RejectAccept in part		
489.3	Chris Baddock	Not Stated	That necessary inf public transport	That necessary infrastructure should be built before intensifying the housing regarding				
246.3	Robert Black	Seek Amendment		cture limitations, and of sufficient for intensi	map areas as qualifying matters where ve development.	RejectAccept in part		
			Further	Further Submitter	Position			
			submission No.					
			#FS2082.208	Kāinga Ora	Oppose			

	1						
			_		Reject Accept in part		
Johnston and Dovey	Amendment						
		all consequential a	mendments necessar	y to give effect to this submission.			
Environment	Seek	The upper Halswell	River catchment area	as are covered by a Qualifying Matter that	Reject Accept in part		
Canterbury /	Amendment	prevents further in	tensification because	of inadequate stormwater infrastructure and			
Canterbury Regional		downstream floodi	downstream flooding effects.				
Council		Further	Further Submitter	Position			
		submission No.					
		#FS2037.1098	Christchurch Civic	Support	Accept in part		
			Trust				
		#FS2066.8	Andrew Mactier	Oppose	Accept in part		
		#FS2075.19	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Support	Accept in part		
		#FS2082.427	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part		
Waihoro Spreydon-	Seek	Concerned that inf	RejectAccept in part				
Cashmere-Heathcote	Amendment	transport accessibi	transport accessibility restriction, especially across the Port Hills.				
Community Board							
Philippa Rutledge	Seek	In [the Medium De	In [the Medium Density Residential Zone] qualifying matters – include stormwater				
	Amendment	infrastructure that					
		subject to Part 2 in					
		should not be dimi					
		Further	Further Submitter	Position			
		submission No.					
		#FS2082.801	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part		
Waipuna Halswell-	Seek	That the threshold	for qualifying matters	be lowered to enable] recognition of a range	Reject		
Hornby-Riccarton	Amendment						
Community Board		proposed. These m	ability and the height of the water table in				
·		some areas, as wel	I as the capacity of inf	rastructure such as roading to cope with			
		additional develop	ment.	- '			
		Further	Further Submitter	Position			
		submission No.					
		#FS2082.1269	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept		
287.4 Mark Nichols		ļ	·				
Mark Nichols	Seek	Seek densification	in a planned and stage	ed way by staging the effective date of the	RejectAccept in part		
	Canterbury / Canterbury Regional Council Waihoro Spreydon- Cashmere-Heathcote Community Board Philippa Rutledge Waipuna Halswell- Hornby-Riccarton	Environment Canterbury / Canterbury Regional Council Waihoro Spreydon- Cashmere-Heathcote Community Board Philippa Rutledge Waipuna Halswell- Hornby-Riccarton Amendment Seek Amendment Seek Amendment	Johnston and Dovey Amendment new Infrastructure all consequential a	Johnston and Dovey Amendment new Infrastructure Qualifying Matter are all consequential amendments necessar The upper Halswell River catchment are prevents further intensification because downstream flooding effects. Further submission No. #FS2037.1098 Christchurch Civic Trust ##FS2066.8 Andrew Mactier ##FS2075.19 Toka Tū Ake EQC #FS2082.427 Kāinga Ora Concerned that infrastructure is suitable transport accessibility restriction, especi infrastructure that has not been upgraded subject to Part 2 in the usual way, and as should not be diminished as a result of soft submission No. #FS2082.801 Kāinga Ora Waipuna Halswell-Hornby-Riccarton Community Board Seek Amendment Amendment Community Board Seek Amendment The Medium Density Residential Zone infrastructure that has not been upgraded subject to Part 2 in the usual way, and as should not be diminished as a result of soft submission No. #FS2082.801 Kāinga Ora That the threshold for qualifying matters of other matters that render areas of the proposed. These matters include land stome areas, as well as the capacity of infadditional development. Further Submitter submission No. Further Submitter Further Submitte	Johnston and Dovey Amendment new Infrastructure Qualifying Matter area on the Hills as appropriate, and make all consequential amendments necessary to give effect to this submission.		

			shopping areas, so that the densification occurs in a structured way over time, rather than in a haphazard way across most of the city. This will allow for a more staged build out of the infrastructure required to support the densification.	
644.3	Fay Brorens	Seek Amendment	The submitter makes the following suggestions on density:- developments should consider infrastructure, especially sewer.	RejectAccept in part

AIRPORT NO	DISE CONTOUR – EXISTI	NG MATTER, NE	W SPATIAL EXTENT				
Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of relief	Summary of relief			
General Rul	es and Procedures > No	ise > 6.1A - Qual	lifying Matters > 6.1A.1 Application	on of qualifying matters			
<u>852</u>	Christchurch		PC14 should define all areas	s potentially subject to level	s of noise of 50dBA Ldn or	Accept in part	
	<u>International</u>		greater, based on the 2023	remodelled contours.			
	<u>Airport Limited</u>						
50.2	Oliver Comyn	Support	Retain the Airport Noise Cor	ntour Qualifying Matter.		Accept in part	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.76	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part	
			#FS2052.94, #FS2052.315	Christchurch	Support	Accept in part	
				International			
				Airport Limited			
			#FS2085.2	The Riccarton Bush Trust	Support	Accept in part	
110.2	Marie Mullins					Reject	
			as it would restrict future de	evelopment that would not a	ccord with the intent of the		
			proposed Medium Density F				
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.179	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept	
			#FS2052.58	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept	
				International			
				Airport Limited			
			#FS2052.137	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept	
				International			
				Airport Limited			
			#FS2052.187	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept	
				International			
				Airport Limited			
453.3	Luke Hansby	Oppose	Opposes Qualifying Matters			Reject	
479.2	Karelia Levin	Support	Approve PC14 in respect of	the Airport Noise Influence A	Area.	Accept in part	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2052.95	Christchurch	Support	Accept in part	
				International Airport			
				Limited			

676.12	Jack Gibbons	Oppose	[In respect of the Airport Noise Influence Area, remove this as a QM]			Reject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2052.63	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept
				International Airport Limited		
743.2 Matthew Gibbons	Seek	Higher density near the airpo	ort should be allowed - peop	le can install sound proofing.	Reject	
		Amendment	Perimeter block housing sho	uld be easier.		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.1469	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2052.120	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept
				International Airport Limited		
751.27 Christchurch City Council	Seek	Remove references to Low D	Remove references to Low Density Residential Airport Influence Zone and the Low Density			
	Council	Amendment	Residential Airport Influence			
			Airport Noise Influence Area	is made.		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.849	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Not considered
			#FS2037.849 #FS2052.27	Christchurch Civic Trust Christchurch	Support Seek Amendment	Not considered Not considered
				Christchurch International		
			#FS2052.27	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Seek Amendment	Not considered
833.1	Andrew Kyle	Oppose	#FS2052.27 That the 50dBA air noise cor	Christchurch International Airport Limited Itour be excluded from become	Seek Amendment ming a Qualifying Matter.	
833.1	Andrew Kyle	Oppose	#FS2052.27 That the 50dBA air noise cor Further submission No.	Christchurch International Airport Limited Itour be excluded from become further Submitter	Seek Amendment	Not considered
833.1	Andrew Kyle	Oppose	#FS2052.27 That the 50dBA air noise cor	Christchurch International Airport Limited Itour be excluded from become	Seek Amendment ming a Qualifying Matter.	Not considered
833.1	Andrew Kyle	Oppose	#FS2052.27 That the 50dBA air noise cor Further submission No.	Christchurch International Airport Limited Itour be excluded from become further Submitter	Seek Amendment ming a Qualifying Matter. Position	Not considered Reject
833.1	Andrew Kyle	Oppose	#FS2052.27 That the 50dBA air noise cor Further submission No.	Christchurch International Airport Limited Intour be excluded from become Further Submitter Christchurch International Airport	Seek Amendment ming a Qualifying Matter. Position	Not considered Reject
	Andrew Kyle Historic Places	Oppose Support	#FS2052.27 That the 50dBA air noise cor Further submission No. #FS2052.88	Christchurch International Airport Limited Intour be excluded from become Further Submitter Christchurch International Airport Limited Kāinga Ora	Seek Amendment ming a Qualifying Matter. Position Oppose	Not considered Reject Accept
	·		#FS2052.27 That the 50dBA air noise cor Further submission No. #FS2052.88 #FS2082.756	Christchurch International Airport Limited Intour be excluded from become Further Submitter Christchurch International Airport Limited Kāinga Ora	Seek Amendment ming a Qualifying Matter. Position Oppose	Not considered Reject Accept Reject
833.1	Historic Places		#FS2052.27 That the 50dBA air noise cor Further submission No. #FS2052.88 #FS2082.756 The submitter supports all q	Christchurch International Airport Limited Itour be excluded from become Further Submitter Christchurch International Airport Limited Kāinga Ora ualifying matters.	Seek Amendment ming a Qualifying Matter. Position Oppose Support	Not considered Reject Accept Reject

852.5	Christchurch	Seek	Amend Table 1- Qualifying Matters, as follows:	Accept in part
	International	Amendment	Chapter 14 Residential	
	Airport Limited		Safe or efficient operation of nationally	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		significant infrastructure (Christchurch	
	(CIAL)		Airport)	
			14.4.1 – 14.4.4, <u>14.9,</u> 14.13, 14.14 Low	
			Density Residential Airport Influence Zone	
			and Airport Influence Density Precinct	
			<u>Airport Noise Influence Area</u>	
			Chapter 15 Commercial	
			Safe or efficient operation of nationally	
			significant infrastructure (Christchurch	
			Airport)	
			15.2.4.6 Policy – Strategic Infrastructure	
			15.4.1.1 P21 and 15.4.1.5 NC 12 – Town	
			Centre Zone - Residential activity within 50	
			dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the Airport	
			Noise Influence Area	
			15.5.1.1 P21 and 15.5.1.5 NC2 – Local	
			Centre Zone - Residential activities within	
			50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the Airport	
			Noise Influence Area	
			15.6.1.1 P19 – Neighbourhood Centre Zone	
			- Residential activities within 50 dB Ldn Air	
			Noise Contour or the Airport Noise	
			Influence Area	
			15.6.1.5 NC2 - Neighbourhood Centre Zone	
			- Sensitive activities within the 50 dB Ldn	
			Air Noise Contour or the Airport Noise	
			Influence Area	
			Innuence Area	
			15.9.1.1 P10 - Commercial Office Zone -	
			Preschool outside of the 50 dB Ldn Air	
			Noise Contour or the Airport Noise	
			Influence Area	

			15.9.1.5 NC2 - Commercial Sensitive activities within the Noise Contour or the Airport Influence Area 15.10.1.1 P27 and 15.10.1. Use Zone - Residential activities within 50 dB Ldn A Contour or the Airport Noise Area.	e 50 dB Ldn Air t Noise 5 NCI-Mixed vities - Internal nd Residential Air Noise		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2017.5	Sarah Harrow	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2032.52	Kelly Bombay	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2037.685	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2071.18	New Zealand Airports Association	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2082.772	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2103.1	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Oppose	Accept in part
884.6	Troy Lange	Seek Amendment	Amend the Airport Noise Qualifying Matter to only apply to areas within the 55 dBA Ldn CIAL airport noise contour.			Reject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2052.91, #FS2052.112	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2107.1	Equus Trust	Oppose	Accept
887.4	Jane Harrow	Seek Amendment	Amend the Airport Noise Qualifying Matter to only apply to areas within the 55 dBA Ldn airport noise contour, such a contour to be based on a maximum 30 year assessment period having regard to matters such as future growth projections, predicted flight paths and expected fleet mix. The contour should be based on an assessment of the annual average noise, as opposed to the current contour which is based on the 3 busiest months of commercial aircraft movements.			Reject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2017.30	Sarah Harrow	Support	Reject

			#FS2050.39	Miles Premises Ltd	Support	Reject
			#FS2052.104	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept
				International		
				Airport Limited		
			#FS2087.7	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject
			#FS2088.8	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject
			#FS2089.7	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject
834.57	Kāinga Ora –	Oppose	Qualifying matters - Airport	Noise Influence Area. Delete	this qualifying matter and	Reject
	Homes and		allproposed provisions.			
	Communities		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2050.11	Miles Premises Ltd	Support	Reject
			#FS2059.28	Kauri Lodge Rest Home 2008 Limited	Support	Reject
			#FS2067.2	Davie Lovell-Smith Limited	Support	Reject
			#FS2071.10	New Zealand Airports Association	Oppose	Accept
Gonoral Bul	los and Procedures > Noi	co > Activity Specifi	is Noisa Pulas > Activity stands		Christchurch International Airpor	+
54.1	Shirley van Essen	Seek	-	-	6A and 38 Kahu Road, and more	Reject
34.1	Silliley vali Esseli	Amendment	•	•	o bridges over the Avon River.	Reject
		Amendment	Properties within the amend		•	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.86	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject
Multiple cha	apters and provisions (se	e full submission)	m 32037.00	christenaren ervie 11ast	Тапроп	Кејесе
805.29	Waka Kotahi (NZ	805.29 – Seek	Undate the Residential Subu	rhan Zone properties subject	t to the Airport Noise Influence	Accept in part
805.30	Transport Agency)	Amendment	Area to the appropriate zoni		•	/tecept iii part
805.31			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
805.26		805.30 – Seek	#FS2050.12, #FS2050.20,	Miles Premises Ltd	Support	Accept in part
805.28		Amendment	#FS2050.21, #FS2050.28	(805.29) (805.30)		
805.23			#FS2050.17 #FS2050.19	(805.31) (805.26)		
		805.31 – Seek	#FS2050.29 #FS2050.14	(805.26) (805.28)		
		Amendment		-/ \/		

			#FS2052.33 #FS2052.34	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept in part
		805.26 – Seek	#FS2052.35 #FS2052.36	International		
		Amendment	#FS2052.38 #FS2052.39	Airport Limited (805.29)		
				(805.30) (805.31)		
		805.28 – Seek		(805.31) (805.26)		
		Amendment		(805.28) (805.23)		
			#FS2071.9 #FS2071.4	New Zealand Airports	Oppose	Accept in part
		805.23 – Seek	#FS2071.8 #FS2071.1	Association (805.26)		
		Amendment		(805.28) (805.23)		
			#FS2027.28	Halswell Hornby	Oppose	Accept in part
				Riccarton Community		
				Board (805.23)		
General Rul	es and Procedures > N	Noise > Rules - Activit	ies near infrastructure > Activi	ity standards > Activities nea	r Christchurch Airport	
Jack Gibbons	Jack Gibbons	Seek	Place additional requireme	nts on noise proofing [for bui	ldings].	Reject
		Amendment	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2050.13	Miles Premises Ltd	Seek Amendment	Reject
			#FS2052.66	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept
				International		
				Airport Limited		
General Rul	es and Procedures > N	Noise > 6.1A - Qualify	ing Matters > 6.1A.1 Application	on of qualifying matters		
<u>110.2</u>	Marie Mullins	<u>Oppose</u>	Oppose Airport Noise Influ	ence Area that overlays a sm	all part of the site at 18 Kauri	<u>Reject</u>
			Street as it would restrict for	uture development that wou	ld not accord with the intent of	
			the proposed Medium Den	sity Rules.		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.179	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2052.58 #FS2052.137	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2052.187	International	<u>oppose</u>	7.tocopt
				Airport Limited		
430.2	Tracey Berry	Oppose	[Delete the Airport Noise O			Reject
	1	1 0 44 000	Lacrotte tire / iii bort i toiac d	·~~		,

			#FS2052.61	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2082.310	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject
479.2 Karelia Levin	Support	Approve PC14 in respect of	the Airport Noise Influence A		Accept in part	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2052.95	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	Accept in part
676.12	Jack Gibbons	Oppose	[In respect of the Airport No	oise Influence Area, remove t	nis as a QM]	Reject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2052.63	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept
743.2 Matthew Gibbon	Matthew Gibbons	Seek Amendment	Higher density near the airp Perimeter block housing sho	Reject		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.1469	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2052.120	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept
751.18	Christchurch City Council	Seek Amendment	scope authorised for an Inte		to ensure they are within the ent by the RMA, having regard to onsideration.	Not considered
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.840	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Not considered
			#FS2044.1	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Not considered
			#FS2045.1	Carter Group Limited	Support	Not considered
			#FS2049.1	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	Support	Not considered

751.27 751.28 751.29	Christchurch City Council	751.27 – Seek Amendment		ce Zone'] and ensure correct r	fluence Zone and the Low Density eference to RS, RSDT, and the	Not considered
731.29		751.28 – Seek	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
		Amendment	#FS2037.849	Christchurch Civic Trust (751.27)	Support	Not considered
		751.29 – Seek Amendment	#FS2052.27	Christchurch International Airport Limited (751.27)	Seek Amendment	Not considered
			#FS2037.850	Christchurch Civic Trust (751.28)	Support	Not considered
			#FS2052.28	Christchurch International Airport Limited (751.28)	Seek Amendment	Not considered
			#FS2037.851	Christchurch Civic Trust (751.29)	Support	Not considered
859.7	Ministry of Housing and Urban	Oppose	applied: a. Low Public Tran	ng matters are deleted and the sport Accessibility Qualifying I Key Transport Corridors – City	<u>-</u>	Reject
	Development		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.1224	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2050.23	Miles Premises Ltd	Support	Not clear
			#FS2052.93	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2071.40	New Zealand Airports Association	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2074.120	Group of Neighbours	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2081.24	Andrew McCarthy	Support	Reject
			#FS2098.16	Rachel Sanders & Neighbours	Seek Amendment	Not clear
Subdivision,	Development and Eart	thworks > Activity s	tandards > Minimum net site	area and dimension		<u> </u>

852.6	Christchurch	Seek	Amend Rule 8.6.1.a. as follo	ws:		Accept in part	
	International	Amendment	Minimum net site area and	dimension			
	Airport Limited		a. Allotments in the Residen	a. Allotments in the Residential Suburban, Residential Hills, Residential Large Lot			
	(CIAL)		Residential, Open Space Me	tropolitan Facilities (golf coι	irses, Riccarton Racecourse and		
			Wilding, Western, Kearneys	Wilding, Western, Kearneys and Christchurch Parks) and the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour			
			or the Airport Noise Influence	ce Area Low Density Residen	tial Airport Influence Zones shall		
			have a minimum dimension	of 16m x 18m.			
			Amend Table 1 Minimum ne	et site area - residential zone	s by deleting clause d and e that		
		refer to the "Low Density Re	sidential Airport Influence Z	one" and the "Low Density			
			Residential Airport Influence	e Zone - Airport Influence De	nsity Precinct".		
			Amend Table 6 "Allotments	with existing or proposed bu	uildings" clauses a and b by		
			removal of the references to	the "Low Density Residenti	al Airport Influence Zone" and the		
		"Low Density Residential Air	port Influence Zone-Airport	Influence Density Precinct".			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2017.6	Sarah Harrow	Support	Accept in part	
			#FS2032.53	Kelly Bombay	Oppose	Accept in part	
			#FS2071.19	New Zealand Airports	Support	Accept in part	
				Association			
			#FS2082.773	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part	
Specific Pur	pose Zones > Specific P	urpose (School) Zone	e > Appendices > Appendix 13	.6.6.1 State Schools			
852.24	Christchurch	Oppose		•	.6.1 to ensure that sites beneath	Accept in part	
	International		the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Con				
	Airport Limited		plan Residential Suburban o	r Residential Suburban Tran	sition Zone.		
	(CIAL)		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2017.24	Sarah Harrow	Oppose	Accept in part	
			#FS2032.22	Kelly Bombay	Oppose	Accept in part	
			#FS2032.71	Kelly Bombay	Oppose	Accept in part	
			#FS2071.36	New Zealand Airports	Support	Accept in part	
				Association			
Specific Pur	pose Zones > Specific P	urpose (School) Zone	> Appendices > Appendix 13	.6.6.2 State Integrated Scho	ools		
852.25	Christchurch	852.25 – Oppose	Amend Appendices 13.6.6.2	l, 13.6.6.2, 13.6.6.3 and 13.7	7.6.1 to ensure that sites beneath	Accept in part	
852.26	International		the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Con	tour or the Airport Noise Inf	luence Area retain the operative		
		852.26 – Oppose	plan Residential Suburban o				

	Airport Limited		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
	(CIAL)		#FS2017.25	Sarah Harrow (852.25)	Oppose	Accept in part	
			#FS2032.72	Kelly Bombay (852.25)	Oppose	Accept in part	
			#FS2071.37	New Zealand Airports	Support	Accept in part	
				Association (852.25)			
			#FS2017.26	Sarah Harrow (852.26)	Oppose	Accept in part	
			#FS2032.73	Kelly Bombay (852.26)	Oppose	Accept in part	
			#FS2071.38	New Zealand Airports	Support	Accept in part	
				Association (852.26)			
esidential	> How to interpret and	l apply the rules					
	Kāinga Ora –	Oppose	•	apply the rules – Clause f. xvi.		Reject	
	Homes and		•	•	d development, heightand/or		
	Communities		density directed by the MDRS or Policy 3 of the NPS-UD may be modified by qualifying				
				•	the PlanningMaps, and include		
			the following: xvi. Airport 1				
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2031.100	Arlene Baird	Oppose	Accept	
			#FS2037.24	Christchurch Civic Trust	Seek Amendment	Not clear	
			#FS2049.85	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	Support	Reject	
			#FS2051.99	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	Accept	
			#FS2052.24	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept	
			#FS2055.18	KiwiRail	Oppose	Accept	
			#FS2059.20	Kauri Lodge Rest Home 2008 Limited	Support	Reject	
			#FS2071.12	New Zealand Airports Association	Oppose	Accept	
esidential	> Rules - Residential Su	uburban Zone and	Residential Suburban Density T	ransition Zone		•	
47.5	Rohan A Collett	Oppose		visions - Qualifying Matters - nder the Airport Noise Corrido	_	Reject	

			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2052.67	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept	
				International Airport			
				Limited			
183.3 Brooke McKenzie	Oppose	Oppose the Low Density Re	sidential Airport Noise Influe	ence Zone that reduces residential	Reject		
			density. Support this to be	MDRZ.			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2052.82	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept	
				International Airport			
				Limited			
411.1	Ruth Parker	Support	Supports retaining Resident	ial Suburban Zoning		Accept in part	
479.3	Karelia Levin	Support	Approve PC14 in respect of	the Airport Noise Influence	Area.	Accept in part	
834.58	Kāinga Ora –	Oppose	14.4.1 – 14.4.4, 14.13, 14.14	14.4.1 – 14.4.4, 14.13, 14.14Low Density Residential Airport Influence Zone and Airport			
	Homes and		Influence DensityPrecinct. [Delete this qualifying matter	and allproposed provisions		
	Communities		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2059.29	Kauri Lodge Rest Home	Support	Reject	
				2008 Limited			
			#FS2071.11	New Zealand Airports	Oppose	Accept	
				Association			
Residential	l > Rules - Residential Sul	ourban Zone and R	esidential Suburban Density T	ransition Zone > Activity sta	tus tables > Restricted discretionar	y activities	
852.11	Christchurch	Seek	Amend Rule 14.4.1.3 RD30			Accept in part	
	International	Amendment	<u> </u>		e of the activity specific standards		
	Airport Limited				ng to noise sensitive activities in the		
	(CIAL)			r and or the Qualifying Matte	*		
			-	-	219 standard x. relating to storage		
			of heavy vehicles, refer to R				
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2017.11	Sarah Harrow	Oppose	Accept in part	
			#FS2032.58	Kelly Bombay	Oppose	Accept in part	
			#FS2071.24	New Zealand Airports	Support	Accept in part	
				Association			

852.12	Christchurch	Seek	Amend rule 14.4.1.3 RD34 as	follows: a. The following ac	tivities and facilities located within	Accept in part
	International	Amendment	the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Conto	our and or the Qualifying M	atter Airport Noise Influence Area	
	Airport Limited		as shown on the Planning Ma	ps: i. Residential activities v	which are not provided for as a	
	(CIAL)		permitted or controlled activi	ity <u>in this Chapter and</u> whicl	n do not comply with:	
			 14.4.2.1 Site density; 	<u>or</u>		
			• 14.4.2.3 Building heig	ght; or		
			• 14.4.2.4 Site coverage	e; or		
			• 14.4.2.5 Outdoor livir	ng space;		
			ii. Education activities (Rule 1	l4.4.1.1 P16);		
			iii. Preschools (Rule 14.4.1.1 F			
			iv. Health care facilities (Rule			
				L P30).(Plan Change 4 Council		
			Decision subject to appeal)			
				•	cly notified and shall be limited	
			notified only to Christchurch	International Airport Limite	d(absent its written approval).	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2017.12	Sarah Harrow	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2032.59	Kelly Bombay	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2052.43	Christchurch	Seek Amendment	Accept in part
				International Airport		
				Limited		
			#FS2071.25	New Zealand Airports	Support	Accept in part
				Association		
			#FS2082.774	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part

Residential > Rules - Residential Suburban Zone and Residential Suburban Density Transition Zone > Area specific rules - Residential Suburban Zone and Residential Suburban Density Transition Zone, and Qualifying Matter Airport Noise Influence Area

121.9	Cameron	Seek	Amend the Airport Noise Qua	alifying Matter to either:		Accept in part	
	Matthews	Amendment	 make all relevant act 	ivities within the Airport No	ise Contour Restricted		
			Discretionary, contingent or	n their meeting the indoor d	esign sound levels already		
			specified in the operative Ch				
			 re-zone sites within t 	he Airport Noise Contour to	a Medium Residential Zone, High		
			Residential Zone or any other	er zone that would otherwis	e apply, and amend those zone's		
			rules to require any permitt	ed activity within the Airpor	t Noise Contour to meet the		
			indoor design sound levels a	already specified in the oper	ative Christchurch District Plan		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2050.26	Miles Premises Ltd	Support	Accept in part	
			#FS2052.71	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept in part	
				International Airport			
				Limited			
			#FS2052.199	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept in part	
				International Airport			
				Limited			
			#FS2082.55	Kāinga Ora	Support	Accept in part	
376.11	Alan Ogle	Seek	Seek amendment to include	Reject			
		Amendment	should, for reason and consistency, in the Airport Noise Influence Zone.				
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2052.96	Christchurch	Support	Accept	
				International Airport			
				Limited			
			#FS2083.19	Robert Broughton	Support	Accept	
					rules - Residential Suburban Zone	and Residential	
		1	1	-	Area-specific controlled activities	T	
1003.14	Melissa	Support	Retain 14.4.3.1.2(C1) as notif	fied.		Accept in part	
	Macfarlane						
	> Rules - Medium Densi	ty Residential Zone				1	
L83.5	Brooke McKenzie	Oppose	Oppose the Low Density Residential Airport Noise Influence Zone that reduces residential			Reject	
			density. Support this to be M				
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		

			#FS2052.84	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept
852.14	Christchurch International Airport Limited (CIAL)	Seek Amendment	a. Activities and buildings the standards in Rule 14.12.1.1 sensitive activities in the 50	Amend rule 14.12.1.3 RD16 as follows: a. Activities and buildings that do not meet any one or more of the activity specific standards in Rule 14.12.1.1 (except for P8 to P10 activity standard ix. relating to noise sensitive activities in the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the Qualifying Matter Airport Noise Influence Area refer to RD26; or P8 to P12 activity standard x. relating to storage of		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2017.14	Sarah Harrow	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2032.61	Kelly Bombay	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2071.26	New Zealand Airports Association	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2082.775	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part
852.15	Christchurch International Airport Limited (CIAL)	Seek Amendment	Planning Maps: i. Residential controlled activity in this Che 14.12.2.1 Building he 14.12.2.2 Site cover 14.12.2.3 Outdoor le 14.12.2.14 Minimue 14.12.2.16 Outline of 14.12.2.17 Compresii. Education activities (Rule iii. Preschools (Rule 14.12.2 iv. Health care facilities (Rule v. Visitor accommodation in Decision subject to appeal) b. Any application arising from	nd facilities located within thing Matter Airport Noise Influid activities which are not properties and which do not complete, or large; or living space; or li	uence Area as shown on the ovided for as a permitted or aply with:	Accept in part

			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position				
			#FS2017.15	Sarah Harrow	Oppose	Accept in part			
			#FS2032.62	Kelly Bombay	Oppose	Accept in part			
			#FS2052.44	Christchurch	Seek Amendment	Accept in part			
				International Airport Limited					
			#FS2071.27	New Zealand Airports Association	Support	Accept in part			
			#FS2082.776	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part			
General sub	omission on multiple c	hapters							
121.10	Cameron	121.10 – Seek	Amend the Airport Noise Qu	mend the Airport Noise Qualifying Matter to either:					
121.11 121.12	Matthews	Amendment	Discretionary, conti	 make all relevant activities within the Airport Noise Contour Restricted Discretionary, contingent on their meeting the indoor design sound levels already 					
121.13		121.11 – Seek	•	rative Christchurch District Pla					
121.14		Amendment	 re-zone sites within Residential Zone or 						
		121.12 – Seek	•	, . , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	hin the Airport Noise Contour to				
		Amendment	meet the indoor de						
			Christchurch Distric						
		121.13 – Seek	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position				
		Amendment	#FS2052.72 #FS2052.200 #FS2052.73 #FS2052.201	Christchurch International Airport	Oppose	Accept in part			
		121.14 – Seek Amendment	#FS2052.74 #FS2052.202 #FS2052.75 #FS2052.203	Limited (121.10) (121.11) (121.12) (121.13)					
			#FS2052.76 #FS2052.204	(121.14)					
			#FS2050.27	Miles Premises Ltd (121.14)	Support	Accept in part			
852.17	Christchurch	Seek	Amend Rule 15.4.1.1 P21 as	follows: Residential activity-	Activity specific standard:	Accept in part			
	International	Amendment	h. The activity shall not be lo	ocated within the 50 dB Ldn A	ir Noise Contour <u>or the Airport</u>				
	Airport Limited		Noise Influence Area as sho	wn on the planning maps.	· 				
	(CIAL)		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position				
			#FS2017.17	Sarah Harrow	Oppose	Accept in part			
			#FS2032.64	Kelly Bombay	Oppose	Accept in part			

			#FS2071.29	New Zealand Airports	Support	Accept in part
				Association		
			#FS2082.777	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part
Commercia	I > Rules - Town Centre	Zone > Activity sta	tus tables - Town Centre Zone	> 15.4.1.5 Non-complying a	ctivities	
852.19	Christchurch	Seek	Amend Rule 15.4.1.5 NC2 a	s follows: Sensitive activities	within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise	Accept in part
	International	Amendment	Contour or the Airport Nois	<u>e Influence Area</u> as defined o	on the planning maps.	
	Airport Limited		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	(CIAL)		#FS2017.19	Sarah Harrow	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2032.66	Kelly Bombay	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2071.31	New Zealand Airports	Support	Accept in part
				Association		
			#FS2082.779	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part
Commercia	ıl > Rules – Local Centre	Zone > Activity sta	tus tables – Local Centre Zone	> Permitted activities		
852.18	Christchurch	Seek	[Amend Rule 15.5.1.1 P21 (as follows: Residential activit	y - Activity specific standard:	Accept in part
	International	Amendment	g. The activity shall not be l	ocated within the 50dB Ldn A	ir Noise Contour or theAirport	
	Airport Limited		Noise Influence Area as sho	wn on the planning maps.		
	(CIAL)		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2017.18	Sarah Harrow	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2032.65	Kelly Bombay	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2071.30	New Zealand Airports Association	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2082.778	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part
Commercia	I > Rules – Local Centre	Zone > Activity sta	tus tables – Local Centre Zone	> Non-complying activities		
852.20	Christchurch	Seek	Amend Rule 15.5.1.5 NC2 a	s follows: Sensitive activities	within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise	Accept in part
852.20		Amendment	Contour or the Airport Nois	<u>e Influence Area</u> as defined o	on the planning maps.	
	International	/ tilleliallicite				
	Airport Limited	Amendment	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
		Amenament	Further submission No. #FS2017.20	Further Submitter Sarah Harrow	Position Oppose	Accept in part
	Airport Limited	Amendment				Accept in part Accept in part
	Airport Limited	Amenament	#FS2017.20	Sarah Harrow	Oppose	Accept in part
	Airport Limited	Amendment	#FS2017.20 #FS2032.67	Sarah Harrow Kelly Bombay New Zealand Airports	Oppose Oppose	<u> </u>

852.21	Christchurch	Seek	Amend Rule 15.9.1.1 P10 a	s follows: a. outside the 50 d	B Ldn Air Noise Contour <u>or the</u>	Accept in part		
	International	Amendment	Airport Noise Influence Are	<u>a</u>				
	Airport Limited		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
	(CIAL)		#FS2017.21	Sarah Harrow	Oppose	Accept in part		
			#FS2032.68	Kelly Bombay	Oppose	Accept in part		
			#FS2071.33	New Zealand Airports Association	Support	Accept in part		
			#FS2082.781	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part		
Commercia	l > Rules - Mixed Use Z	one > Activity status	s tables - Mixed Use Zone > Pe	rmitted activities				
852.22	Christchurch International Airport Limited (CIAL)	Seek Amendment	f. The activity shall not be le	Amend Rule 15.10.1.1 P27 by inserting a new activity standard as follows: <u>f. The activity shall not be located within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour or the Airport Noise Influence Area as shown on the planning maps.</u> Consequential renumbering of				
	(CIAL)		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2017.22	Sarah Harrow	Oppose	Accept in part		
			#FS2032.69	Kelly Bombay	Oppose	Accept in part		
			#FS2071.34	New Zealand Airports Association	Support	Accept in part		
			#FS2082.782	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part		
			#FS2082.534	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part		
Commercia	l > Rules - Mixed Use Z	one > Activity status	s tables - Mixed Use Zone > No	on-complying activities		· · ·		
852.23	Christchurch International	Seek Amendment	Amend Rule 15.10.1.5 NC1 15.10.1.1 P27 (e) or (f)	Amend Rule 15.10.1.5 NC1 as follows: NC1 Any residential activity not meeting Rule				
	Airport Limited		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
	(CIAL)		#FS2017.23	Sarah Harrow	Oppose	Accept in part		
			#FS2032.70	Kelly Bombay	Oppose	Accept in part		
			#FS2071.35	New Zealand Airports Association	Support	Accept in part		
			#FS2082.783	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part		
Planning M	aps - QM - Airport Nois	se	·			•		
121.2	Cameron	Seek	Remove (or substantially re	evise, as per attached submis	sion) specific Qualifying Matters:	Reject		
	Matthews	Amendment	Airport Noise					
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			

			#FS2027.13	Halswell Hornby Riccarton Community Board	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2047.5	Ivan Thomson	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2052.69 #FS2052.192	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2082.51	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept
834.332	Kāinga Ora — Seek Homes and Communities		1. Retain MRZ over areas where MRZ is proposed in PC14 as notified unless otherwise changed by this submission. 2. Rezone to MRZ areas that are proposed as RS/ RSDT zones under the Public Transport Accessibility and Airport Noise Influence AreaQMs. 5. Rezone to HRZ areas that are proposed as MRZ within a Local Centre Intensification Precinct andr emove the precinct. 6. Retain HRZ over areas where HRZ is proposed in PC14 as notified unless otherwise changed by this submission. 8. Extend the boundary of HRZ in the Riccarton area as shown in the maps attached to this submission in Appendix 3. 9. Delete the various height/intensification precincts and replace with a single 'Height Variation Control' precinct to reflect the 36mheight limit sought in the submission for the HRZ adjacent to the City Centre, Hornby, Riccarton, and Papanui centres as shown in the maps attached to this submission within Appendix 3.Generally these are: - 22m HDZ 1.20km from the edg eof the new MCZ and the CCZ. - 36m Height Variation Overlay400m from the edge of the new MCZ and CCZ.			Accept in part
			See original submission for Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2027.15	Halswell Hornby Riccarton Community Board	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2030.30	Amy Beran	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2044.98	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2045.102	Carter Group Limited	Oppose	Accept in part

			#FS2059.10	Kauri Lodge Rest Home 2008 Limited	Support	Accept in part	
			#FS2071.13	New Zealand Airports	Oppose	Accept in part	
			5267 2.25	Association		/ tecept iii part	
			#FS2090.28	Vaughan Smith	Support	Accept in part	
130.4	Tracey Berry	Seek	[That Avonhead, including '	Westall Lane, is zoned Mediu	m Density Residential] [Relates to	Reject	
		Amendment	request to remove Airport	request to remove Airport Noise QM and RuUF zoning on Westall Lane]			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2082.312	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject	
139.2	Jeff Vesey	Seek Amendment	Area in Avonhead/Ilam be	pe Residential Suburban Zone zoned Medium Density Resid lational Policy Statement for		Reject	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2052.126	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept	
676.14	Jack Gibbons	Seek Amendment	[Extend MRZ across the pro remove that QM]	Reject			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2052.65	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept	
852.2 852.3	Christchurch International	852.2 – Seek Amendment	Retain the operative Districthe MRZ and HRZ.	t Plan residential zones bene	ath the contours, rather than apply	Accept in part	
	Airport Limited		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
	(CIAL)	852.3 – Seek	#FS2017.2	Sarah Harrow (852.2)	Oppose	Accept in part	
		Amendment	#FS2027.29	Halswell Hornby Riccarton Community Board (852.2)	Support	Accept in part	
			#FS2032.49	Kelly Bombay (852.2)	Oppose	Accept in part	
			#FS2050.3	Miles Premises Ltd (852.2)	Oppose	Accept in part	

			#FS2059.32	Kauri Lodge Rest Home	Support	Accept in part	
				2008 Limited (852.2)			
			#FS2062.32	Riccarton Bush	Support	Accept in part	
				Kilmarnock Residents			
				Association (852.2)			
			#FS2071.15	New Zealand Airports	Support	Accept in part	
				Association (852.2)			
			#FS2017.3	Sarah Harrow (852.3)	Oppose	Accept in part	
			#FS2032.50	Kelly Bombay (852.3)	Oppose	Accept in part	
			#FS2050.4	Miles Premises Ltd (852.3)	Oppose	Accept in part	
			#FS2062.35	Riccarton Bush	Support	Accept in part	
				Kilmarnock Residents			
				Association (852.3)			
			#FS2071.16	New Zealand Airports	Support	Accept in part	
				Association (852.3)			
887.1	Jane Harrow	Seek	Rezone land between the 5	0 and 55 Ldn CIAL airport noi	se contour for urban	Reject	
		Amendment	development, with no restr	development, with no restrictions relating to airport noise, including 384, 388, 420, 422,			
			424, 426, 434 Sawyers Arm				
			aerial photograph below. R				
			and 123 and 141 Gardiners	Road Future Urban Zone or N	Medium Density Residential.		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2017.27	Sarah Harrow	Support	Reject	
			#FS2052.101	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept	
			#FS2087.4	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject	
			#FS2088.5	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject	
			#FS2089.4	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject	
50.4	Oliver Comyn	Support	Retain the Airport Noise Co	ntour Qualifying Matter.		Accept in part	
54.7	Shirley van Essen	Seek	The airport noise contour to	o be widened to include 34, 3	6A and 38 Kahu Road, and more	Accept	
	·	Amendment	properties west and south	properties west and south of Kahu Road between the two bridges over the Avon River.			
			Properties within the amen	ided noise contour to be zone	ed Residential Suburban.		

69.2	John Campbell	Seek Amendment		so that the QM Airport Noise ton Bush to south of Rata St	Influence Overlay is removed reet and Kauri Street	Accept in part
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.140	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2052.77 #FS2052.133 #FS2052.183	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2059.30	Kauri Lodge Rest Home 2008 Limited	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2062.61	Riccarton Bush Kilmarnock Residents Association	Oppose	Accept in part
110.3 Marie Mullins		Oppose	Oppose the Airport Noise Influence Area that goes that overlays a small part of the site at 18 Kauri Street.			Accept in partReject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.180	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2052.59 #FS2052.138 #FS2052.188	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept
121.8	Cameron Matthews	Seek Amendment	Discretionary, continuous specified in the open re-zone sites within Residential Zone or zone's rules to requ	tivities within the Airport Nongent on their meeting the interactive Christchurch District Pathe Airport Noise Contour to any other zone that would our ire any permitted activity wisign sound levels already spe	ndoor design sound levels already lan 15, or, o a Medium Residential Zone, High therwise apply, and amend those thin the Airport Noise Contour to	RejectAccept in part
			#FS2050.25	Miles Premises Ltd	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2052.70 #FS2052.198	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept in part

			#FS2059.31	Kauri Lodge Rest Home 2008 Limited	Support	Accept in part	
			#FS2082.54	Kāinga Ora	Support	Accept in part	
183.1	Brooke McKenzie	Oppose	Oppose the Low Density Re Precinct that would reduce	ne and Airport Influence Density	Reject		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2052.80	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept	
183.2	Brooke McKenzie	Seek	Land within the 54 dbn and	57 dbn be a 'Soft Fringe Buff	er Zone' to with 1 arce lots	Reject	
		Amendment	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	.,	
			#FS2050.33	Miles Premises Ltd	Support		
188.12	Riccarton Bush - Kilmarnock	Seek Amendment	[T]he properties at 34, 36, 36A, 38, 40, 44, 46, and 48 Kahu Rd, should be included in the Airport Noise Influence [Contour Overlay].				
	Residents'		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
	Association		#FS2036.11	Tony Dale	Support	Accept	
			#FS2037.249	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept	
			#FS2052.97	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	Accept	
			#FS2052.297	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	Accept	
210.2	Victor Ong	Seek	Extend Airport Noise Bound	dary to 60 dba		Reject	
		Amendment	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			# FS2050.34	Miles Premises Ltd	Support	Reject	
			#FS2052.115	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept	
307.5	Robert Fletcher	Seek Amendment	[Seeks to reduce or remove last year]	the number of qualifying ma	tter exceptions added since	Reject	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		

			#FS2052.79	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept		
			#FS2082.246	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject		
351.5	Jono de Wit	Seek	[T]he Airport Noise Influenc	e Area should be moved furt	her back from Riccarton road	Accept in part		
		Amendment	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2052.85	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept in part		
				International				
				Airport Limited				
			#FS2062.62	Riccarton Bush	Oppose	Accept in part		
				Kilmarnock				
				Residents Association				
			#FS2082.282	Kāinga Ora	Support	Accept in part		
430.1	Tracey Berry	Oppose	[Delete] the Airport Noise Q	Reject				
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2052.60	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept		
				International				
				Airport Limited				
			#FS2082.309	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject		
443.13	Summerset Group	Seek	Amend the air noise contou	Amend the air noise contour identified in relationto the Summerset on Avonhead village				
	Holdings Limited	Amendment			head, and legally described as Lot 1			
			DP516385 and Lots 1 and 2					
			planningmaps in accordance	e with that shown on existing	gzoning maps forming part of the			
			ChristchurchDistrict Plan					
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2052.86	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept		
				International Airport				
				Limited				
			#FS2100.2	Aston Consultants	Oppose	Accept		
			#FS2101.2	Aston Consultants	Oppose	Accept		
			#FS2102.2	Aston Consultants	Oppose	Accept		
479.1	Karelia Levin	Support	Approve PC14 in respect of	the Airport Noise Influence A	Area.	Accept in part		
676.11	Jack Gibbons	Oppose	[Remove QM Airport Noise	Influence Area]		Reject		

			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2052.62	Christchurch International	Oppose	Accept
				Airport Limited		
689.79	Environment	Seek	[That the Airport Noise Contours are updated following the publication] of the most up			Accept in part
	Canterbury /	Amendment	todate Airport Noise Contours [in an upcoming] peerreview of theinputs, assumptions and			
	Canterbury		outcomes of theremodelling [undertaken by] Christchurch International Airport Limited.			
	Regional Council		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.1101	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2050.35	Miles Premises Ltd	Reject	Accept in part
			#FS2052.32	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2059.33	Kauri Lodge Rest Home 2008 Limited	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2067.1	Davie Lovell-Smith Limited	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2082.430	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2091.1	Holly Lea Village Limited	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2097.100	Boffa Miskell Limited	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2099.4	Kainga Ora - Homes and Communities	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2100.1	Aston Consultants	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2101.1	Aston Consultants	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2102.1	Aston Consultants	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2104.1	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2105.1	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept in part

			#FS2106.1	Te Tuapapa Kura Kainga - Ministry of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	Support	Accept in part
729.2	Independent Producers Limited	Seek Amendment	·	311370, Lot 6 DP 311370) fror	330, 250 and 232 Styx Mill Road n Rural Urban Fringe to Future	Reject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2052.118	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept
737.3	Christian Jordan	Oppose	Remove QM-Airport Noise a	as a restriction on application	of MDRS zone.	Reject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.1473	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2052.54	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept
833.2	Andrew Kyle	Oppose	That the 50dBA air noise co	ntour be excluded frombecon	ning a Qualifying Matter.	Reject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2052.89	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept
835.5	Historic Places	Support	The submitter supports this	qualifying matter.		Accept
	Canterbury		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.592	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept
			#FS2037.690	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept
851.7	Robert Leonard	Seek	1	southern side of Rata Street,	•	Reject
	Broughton	Amendment	,	in the Airport Noise Influence		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2052.98	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	Reject

852.1	Christchurch International Airport Limited (CIAL)	Seek Amendment		s to show the outer extent of the and Outer Envelope contours don the Plan attached as	Accept in part	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2017.1	Sarah Harrow	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2022.2	Summerset Group Holdings Limited	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2032.48	Kelly Bombay	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2034.1	Environment Canterbury / Canterbury Regional Council	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2050.1	Miles Premises Ltd	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2050.2	Miles Premises Ltd	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2071.14	New Zealand Airports Association	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2082.770	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2087.9	Fiona Aston	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2088.10	Fiona Aston	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2089.2	Fiona Aston	Oppose	Accept in part
859.5	Ministry of	Oppose	That the Airport Noise Con	tours Qualifying Matter be del	eted	Reject
	Housing and		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	Urban		#FS2037.1222	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept
	Development		#FS2050.37	Miles Premises Ltd	Support	Reject
			#FS2052.92	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2059.27	Kauri Lodge Rest Home 2008 Limited	Support	Reject
			#FS2071.39	New Zealand Airports Association	Oppose	Accept
860.3	Sally & Declan Bransfield	Seek Amendment	Supports Residential Subur around Deans Bush should		Interface Area, all other areas	Accept in part

			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2052.113	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept in part
				International Airport Limited		
873.1	David Lawry	Oppose	Remove 50dba Ldn Air Nois	e Contour as a QM		Reject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2052.56	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept
				International Airport		
				Limited		
383.1	Miles Premises Ltd	Oppose	Oppose the application of t 57 dBA Ldn.	he QM airport noise contour	on the 50 dBA Ldn rather than the	Reject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2052.87	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept
				International Airport		
				Limited		
			#FS2052.106	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept
				International Airport		
				Limited		
			#FS2082.817	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject
			#FS2087.1	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject
			#FS2087.3	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject
			#FS2088.1	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject
			#FS2088.3	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject
			#FS2089.3	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject
84.1	Troy Lange	Seek	Amend the Airport Noise Q	ualifying Matter to only appl	y to areas within the 55 dBA Ldn	Reject
		Amendment	CIALairport noise contour.			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2052.90 #FS2052.109	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept
				International Airport		
				Limited		
			#FS2088.4	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject
886.4	Helen Broughton	Seek	Amend the Airport noise co	ntour QM to include the nor	th and south sides of Rata Street,	Accept in part
		Amendment	Riccarton.			

			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2052.99 #FS2052.274	Christchurch	Support	Accept in part
				International Airport Limited		
			#FS2082.822	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part
887.2	Jane Harrow	Seek	Rezone land between the 50	and 55 Ldn CIAL airport nois	e contour for urban	Reject
		Amendment	development, with no restric	tions relating to airport noise	e, including 384, 388, 420, 422,	
			424, 426, 434 Sawyers Arms	Road and 123 and 141 Gardi	ners Road as identified on the	
			aerial photograph below. Rez	zone 384, 388, 420, 422, 424	, 426, 434 Sawyers Arms Road	
			and 123 and 141 Gardiners R	oad Future Urban Zone or M	ledium Density Residential.	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2017.28	Sarah Harrow	Support	Reject
			#FS2052.102	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept
				International Airport Limited		
			#FS2087.5	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject
			#FS2088.6	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject
			#FS2089.5	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject
387.7	Jane Harrow	Seek	Amend the Airport Noise Qua	alifying Matter to only apply	to areas within the 55 dBA Ldn	Reject
		Amendment	airport noise contour, such a	contour to be based on a m	aximum 30 year assessment	
			period having regard to matt	ers such as future growth pro	ojections, predicted flight paths	
			and expected fleet mix. The o	contour should be based on a	an assessment of the annual	
			average noise, as opposed to	the current contour which is	s based on the 3 busiest months	
			of commercial aircraft mover	ments.		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2017.33	Sarah Harrow	Support	Reject
			#FS2052.105	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept
				International Airport		
				Limited		
902.14	Waipuna Halswell-	Seek	[T]contours be extended furt	her.		Accept in part
	Hornby-Riccarton	Amendment	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	Community Board		#FS2050.38	Miles Premises Ltd	Oppose	

			#FS2052.100	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2082.1276	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept in part
876.28	Alan Ogle	Seek Amendment		the properties at 34, 36, 36, istency, in the Airport Noise I	nfluence Zone.	Accept
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2062.44	Riccarton Bush Kilmarnock Residents Association	Support	Accept
			#FS2083.20	Robert Broughton	Support	Accept
54.6	Shirley van Essen	Seek Amendment	properties west and south o	•	6A and 38 Kahu Road, and more obridges over the Avon River. d Residential Suburban.	Accept
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.91	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept
439.3	Jeff Vesey	Seek Amendment	That the area proposed to be Residential Suburban Zone under the Airport Noise Influence Area in Avonhead/Ilam be zoned Medium Density Residential Zone in line with the surrounding area and the National Policy Statement for Urban Development.			Reject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2052.127	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept
676.13	Jack Gibbons	Seek Amendment	[That the existing zoning in the proposed Airport Noise Influence Areas be changed to MRZ or HRZ - relates to request to remove QM Airport Noise Influence Area]			Reject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2052.64	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept
805.25	Waka Kotahi (NZ	Seek	Update the Residential Subu	urban Zone properties subjec	t to the Airport Noise Influence	RejectAccept in
	Transport Agency)	Amendment		ing required under the MDRS	•	part
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2050.16	Miles Premises Ltd	Support	Accept in part

			#FS2052.41	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2071.3	New Zealand Airports Association	Oppose	Accept in part
852.13	Christchurch International	Oppose	Amend the planning maps to to Residential Suburban or Re		ighbourhood zoning and rename Transition zone.	Accept in partReject
	Airport Limited		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	(CIAL)		#FS2017.13	Sarah Harrow	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2032.60	Kelly Bombay	Oppose	Accept
887.3	Jane Harrow	Seek Amendment	424, 426, 434 Sawyers Arms	tions relating to airport noise Road and 123 and 141 Gardi cone 384, 388, 420, 422, 424	e, including 384, 388, 420, 422, ners Road as identified on the , 426, 434 Sawyers Arms Road	Reject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2017.29	Sarah Harrow	Support	Reject
			#FS2052.103	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2087.6	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject
			#FS2088.7	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject
			#FS2089.6	Fiona Aston	Support	Reject

0, 11 = 1 11 12 = 1	SAFE AND EFFICIENT OPERATION OF NATIONALLY SIGNIFICANT INFRASTRUCTURE - ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION CORRIDORS – SETBACK FROM TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION LINES – EXISTING MATTER					
Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of Relief	Recommendation		
854.7	Orion New Zealand Limited	Seek Amendment	Support identification of a qualifying matter for Electricity Transmission Corridor and Infrastructure subject to the following amendments: General – qualifying matter for	Accept		
	(Orion)		Electricity Transmission and Distribution Corridors and Infrastructure.			

854.9	Orion New	854.9 – Seek	Orion supports identification of Electricity Transmission Corridor and Infrastructure	Accept
854.10	Zealand Limited	Amendment	as a qualifying matter in PC14.	
854.12	(Orion)	854.10 - Support		
854.22	, ,	854.12 – Seek		
854.23		Amendment		
		854.22 – Support		
		854.23 – Support		
854.13	Orion New	Seek Amendment	Residential Suburban Zone and Residential Suburban Density Transition Zone Rule 14.4.1.5.	Reject Accept in part
	Zealand Limited		Add an additional clause to NC7 and amendclause 'c'[sic][b]. as follows:	,
	(Orion)		iiii [sic][iv] within 3m of the outside overheadconductor of any 11kV, 400V or	
	(0.1011)		230Velectricity distribution line.	
			b. Conductive Ffences within 5 metres of a 66kV or, 33kV, 11kv, 400V or 230V	
			electricitydistribution line support structure foundation.	
854.14	Orion New	Seek Amendment	Residential Hills Zone Rule 14.7.1.5 non-complying activities NC2	RejectAccept in part
	Zealand Limited		Add an additional clause to NC2 a. andamend clause 'b' as follows:	
	(Orion)		iii within 3m of the outside overheadconductor of any 11kV, 400V or	
			230Velectricity distribution line.	
			b. Conductive Ffences within 5 metres of a66kV or, 33kV, 11kv, 400V or	
			230V electricity distribution line support structurefoundation.	
854.15	Orion New	Seek Amendment	Future Urban Zone Rule 14.12.5 Non-complying activities NC2	RejectAccept in part
	Zealand Limited		Add an additional clause to NC2 a. and amend clause 'b' as follows:	
	(Orion)		iv within 3m of the outside overhead conductor of any 11kV,400V or 230V	
			electricity distribution line.	
			b. Conductive Ffences within 5 metres of a 66kV or, 33kV, 11kv, 400V or 230V	
			electricity distribution line support structure foundation.	
854.16	Orion New	Seek Amendment	Town Centre Zone Rule 15.4.1.5 Non-complying activities	RejectAccept in part
	Zealand Limited		Add an additional clause to NC3 a. and amend clause 'd' as follows:	
	(Orion)		iii within 3m of the outside overhead conductor of any 11kV,400V or 230V	
	, ,		electricity distribution line.	
			d. Conductive F fences within 5 metres of aNational Grid transmission line	
			supportstructure foundation, 66kV er, 33kV, 11kv,400V or 230V electricity	
			distribution linesupport structure foundation.	
			and the determined appoint an action of the	

854.17	Orion New	Seek Amendment	Local Centre Zone Rule 15.5.1.5 Non-complying activities	Reject Accept in part
	Zealand Limited		Add an additional clause to NC3 a. and amend clause 'd' as follows:	,
	(Orion)		iii within 3m of the outside overhead conductor of any 11kV,400V or 230V	
	,		electricity distribution line.	
			d. Conductive F fences within 5 metres of aNational Grid transmission line	
			supportstructure foundation, 66kV or 33kV, 11kv, 400V or 230V electricity	
			distribution line support structure foundation.	
854.18	Orion New	Seek Amendment	Neighbourhood Centre Zone Rule 15.6.1.5 Non-complying activities	RejectAccept in part
	Zealand Limited		Add an additional clause to NC3 a. and amend clause 'd' as follows:	
	(Orion)		iii within 3m of the outside overhead conductor of any 11kV,400V or 230V	
			electricity distribution line.	
1			d. Conductive Ffences within 5 metres of a 66kV or, 33kV, 11kv, 400V or 230V	
1			electricity distribution line support structure foundation.	
854.19	Orion New	Seek Amendment	Mixed Use Zone Rule 15.10.1.5 Non-complying activities	RejectAccept in part
	Zealand Limited		Add an additional clause to 'NC2' and amend clause 'c' as follows:	
	(Orion)		X Sensitive activities within 3m of the outside overhead conductor of any	
			11kV,400V or 230V electricity distribution line.	
			d. Conductive Ffences within 5 metres of a 66kV or 33kV, 11kv, 400V or 230V	
			electricity distribution line support structure foundation.	
854.20	Orion New	Seek Amendment	Industrial General Zone	Reject Accept in part
	Zealand Limited		Rule 16.4.1.5 on non-complying activities	
	(Orion)		Add an additional clause to 'NC1' and amend clause 'd' as follows:	
			X Sensitive activities within 3m of the outside overhead conductor of any	
			11kV,400V or 230V electricity distribution line.	
			d. Conductive Ffences within 5 metres of a 66kV electricity distribution support	
			structure foundation or, 33kV, 11kv, 400V or 230V electricity distribution line	
			support structure foundation.	
854.21	Orion New	Seek Amendment	Industrial Park Zone Rule 16.6.1.5	Reject Accept in part
	Zealand Limited		Add an additional clause to 'NC3' and amend clause 'd' as follows:	
	(Orion)		X Sensitive activities within 3m of the outside overhead conductor of any	
			11kV,400V or 230V electricity distribution line.	
			d. <u>Conductive</u> Ffences within 5 metres of a 66kV electricity distribution support	
			structure foundation or, 33kV, 11kv, 400Vor 230V electricity distribution line	
			support structure foundation.	

			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2027.7	Halswell Hornby Riccarton	Support			
				Community Board				
878.6	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support	Retain the introductory tex	ct in 6.1A.1 as notified		Accept		
689.73	Environment	Support	[Retain the following Quali	fying Matters as notified]: Safe	or efficient operation of	Accept		
	Canterbury /		nationally significant infras	tructure (Electricity Transmissi	on Corridors)			
	Canterbury		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
	Regional Council		#FS2012.3	Ministry of Justice	Support	Accept		
			#FS2012.6	Ministry of Justice	Support	Accept		
			#FS2037.1095	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept		
			#FS2056.22	Orion New Zealand Limited	Support	Accept		
			#FS2075.16	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Support	Accept		
			#FS2082.433	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Reject		
834.52	Kāinga Ora – Homes and	Ora – Homes Support nunities	I	Retain Electricity Transmission Corridors qualifying matter only to the extent of the corridor as defined in the NES ET.				
	Communities		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2056.17	Orion New Zealand Limited	Oppose	Accept in part		
			#FS2060.1	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support	Accept in part		
834.53	Kāinga Ora – Homes	Support	I	sion Corridors qualifying matte	r only to the extent of the	Accept in part		
	and		corridor as defined in the N					
	Communities		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2060.2	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support	Accept in part		
834.54	Kāinga Ora – Homes	Support	14.5.1.5 NC2 – NC3 Nation	al Grid transmission and distrik	oution lines. Retain	Accept in part		
	and		· 1	rridors qualifying matter only t	o the extent of thecorridor			
	Communities		as defined in the NES ET.					
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2052.14	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept in part		

			#FS2060.3	Transpower New Zealand	Support	Accept in part
834.55 Kāinga Ora – Support Homes and Communitie				14.7.1.5 NC2 National Grid transmission and distribution lines. Retain Electricity Transmission Corridors qualifying matter only to the extent of the corridor as defined in the NES ET		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2052.15	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2060.4	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support	Accept in part
S834.56	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communitie	Support		Transmission Corridors qualifying matter only to the extent of the corridor as defined		Accept in part
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2060.5	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Support	Accept in part

SAFE AND EFFIC	IENT OPERATION O	F NATIONALLY SIGNIFICANT	INFRASTRUCTURE – RAILW	AY CORRIDORS – SETBACK F	ROM RAILWAY LINES – EXIS	STING MATTER		
Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of Relief	Summary of Relief				
829.2	Kiwi Rail	829.2 – Support	Retain identification of the	NZ Rail Network as a qualify	ring matter	Accept		
829.3		829.3 – Support	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
829.4		829.4 – Support	#FS2037.713	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept		
829.5		829.5 – Support		(829.2)				
829.6		829.6 – Support						
829.7		829.7 – Support						
829.8		829.8 – Support						
829.9	Kiwi Rail	Seek Amendment	Amend Rule 14.5.2.7 so tha	at the minimum building seth	oack from the rail corridor	Reject		
			boundary increases for 4m	to 5m				
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2082.744	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept		
829.10	Kiwi Rail	Seek Amendment	Amend Rule 14.5.1.3 so tha	at the minimum building seth	pack from the rail corridor	Reject		
			boundary increases for 4m to 5m					
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			

Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
		•	#FS2037.714	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject
			#FS2082.745	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept
829.11, 829.12	Kiwi Rail	Seek Amendment	Amend Rule 14.6.2.3 so th	at the minimum building set	back from the rail corridor	Reject
			boundary increases for 4m	ı to 5m		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2082.746	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2082.747			
829.13 Kiwi Rail		Seek Amendment	Amend Rule 15.6.2.8 so the	at the minimum building set	back from the rail corridor	Reject
			boundary increases for 4m	ı to 5m		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2082.748	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Accept
829.14	Kiwi Rail	829.14 to 829.22 – Seek	Retain identification of the	NZ Rail Network as a qualify	ving matter	Accept
829.15		Amendment	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
829.16			#FS2082.749	Kāinga Ora (829.15)	Oppose	Reject
829.17			#FS2082.750	Kāinga Ora (829.16)	Oppose	Reject
829.18			#FS2082.751	Kāinga Ora (829.17)	Oppose	Reject
829.19			#FS2082.752	Kāinga Ora (829.18)	Oppose	Reject
829.20			#FS2082.753	Kāinga Ora (829.19)	Oppose	Reject
829.21			#FS2082.754	Kāinga Ora (829.20)	Oppose	Reject
829.22			#FS2037.715	Christchurch Civic Trust (829.21)	Support	Accept
			#FS2082.755	Kāinga Ora (829.21)	Oppose	Reject
829.23	Kiwi Rail	Support		fying Matters as notified:Safe		Accept
		• •	9	structure (NZ Rail Network)	•	, '
689.73	Environment	Support	6.1A Qualifying matters NZ	Z Rail Network Interface Sites	S.NZ Rail Network Interface	Accept
	Canterbury /	• •	Sites qualifying matter			·
	Canterbury		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	Regional Council		#FS2012.3	Ministry of Justice	Support	Accept
			#FS2012.6	Ministry of Justice	Support	Accept
			#FS2037.1095	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept

Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation		
			#FS2056.22	Orion New Zealand Limited	Support	Accept		
			#FS2075.16	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Support	Accept		
			#FS2082.433	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Reject		
834.61	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	Delete NZ Rail Network In	Reject				
834.62	Kāinga Ora –	Oppose	14.4.1.3 RD28 Delete NZ R	ail Network Interface Sites	qualifying matter.	Reject		
	Homes and		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
	Communities		#FS2055.10	KiwiRail	Oppose	Accept		
834.63 Kāinga Ora –		Oppose 14.4.2.7 Delete NZ Rail Network Interface Sites qualifying matter.				Reject		
	Homes and		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
	Communities		#FS2055.11	KiwiRail	Oppose	Accept		
	Kāinga Ora – Homes and	Oppose	14.5.1.3 RD12 Setback fro qualifying matter.	14.5.1.3 RD12 Setback from rail corridor. Delete NZ Rail Network Interface Sites qualifying matter.				
	Communities		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2055.12	KiwiRail	Oppose	Accept		
834.65	Kāinga Ora – Homes and	Oppose	14.5.1.3 RD12 Setback fro qualifying matter.	Reject				
	Communities		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2055.13	KiwiRail	Oppose	Accept		
834.66	Kāinga Ora – Homes and	Oppose	14.8.1.3 RD12 Setback fro qualifying matter.	14.8.1.3 RD12 Setback from rail corridor. Delete NZ Rail Network Interface Sites				
	Communities		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2055.14	KiwiRail	Oppose	Accept		
834.67	Kāinga Ora –	Oppose	14.8.2.4 Setback from rail	corridor. Delete NZ Rail Ne	etwork Interface Sites	Reject		
	Homes and		qualifying matter.					
	Communities		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2055.15	KiwiRail	Oppose	Accept		
834.68	Kāinga Ora – Homes and	Oppose	14.12.1.3 RD13 Setback from qualifying matter.	om rail corridor. Delete NZ	Rail Network Interface Sites	Reject		

SAFE AND EFFICIENT OPERATION OF NATIONALLY SIGNIFICANT INFRASTRUCTURE – RAILWAY CORRIDORS – SETBACK FROM RAILWAY LINES – EXISTING MATTER								
Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of Relief	Summary of Relief				
	Communities		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2055.16	KiwiRail	Oppose	Accept		
834.69	Kāinga Ora –	Oppose	14.12.2.5 Setback from rai	l corridor. Delete NZ Rail Net	work Interface Sites	Reject		
	Homes and		qualifying matter.					
	Communities							

SAFE AND EFFIC	CIENT OPERATION OF	NATIONALLY SIGNIFIC	ANT INFRASTRUCTURE – LYT	TTELTON PORT – EXISTING M	1ATTER	
Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
853.1	Lyttelton Port	853.1 – Support	Chapters 14 and 15 – Resid	lential Banks Peninsula Zone	and Commercial Banks	Accept
853.2	Company Limited		Peninsula Zone. Retain with	hout amendment all provisio	ns that apply to or refer to	
		853.2 – Support	the Lyttelton Port Influence	es Overlay as notified.		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2082.784	Kāinga Ora (853.2)	Oppose	Reject
853.3 Lyttelton Port		Support	Retain 6.1A.1 as notified.			Accept
	Company Limited		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2055.21	KiwiRail	Support	
			#FS2082.785	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	
853.8	Lyttelton Port	Support	Retain "Qualifying Matter -	- Lyttelton Port Influences O	verlay" as notified.	Accept
	Company Limited					
853.9	Lyttelton Port	Support	Retain area-specific activiti	Accept		
	Company Limited		14.8.3.1.1 – 14.8.3.1.5			
689.73	Environment	Support	Retain the following Qualif	ying Matters as notified: Safe	e or efficient operation of	Accept
	Canterbury /		nationally significant infras	tructure (Lyttelton Port Influ	ences Overlay)	
	Canterbury		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	Regional Council		#FS2012.3	Ministry of Justice	Support	Accept
			#FS2012.6	Ministry of Justice	Support	Accept
			#FS2037.1095	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept
			#FS2056.22	Orion New Zealand	Support	Accept
				Limited		

			#FS2075.16	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Support	Accept
			#FS2082.433	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Reject
834.59	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Support	6.1A Qualifying matters Lyt qualifying matter.	y Retain Lyttelton Port	Accept	
834.60	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Support	14.8.3.1.1 – 14.8.3.1.5 Area Lyttelton Port qualifying m	Accept		
CITY INFRASTE	RUCTURE – WASTE WATE	R CONSTRAINT - OTHER	MATTER			
Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief	Recommendation		
834.73	Kāinga Ora	Support	6.1A Qualifying matters Table 1 - Qualifying Matters -Provisions that may reduce the level of enablement of Medium Density Residential Standards and/or intensification enabled under Policy 3. Vacuum Sewer Wastewater Constraint Areas			Accept
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2012.4	Ministry of Justice	Support	Accept
			#FS2012.8	Ministry of Justice	Support	Accept
834.74	Kāinga Ora	Seek Amendment	matters: c. The ability to connect int d. The extent to which alte	nt areas incil's discretion shall be limi to any nearby non-vacuum wernative waste water solution to control of the Council's waste	rastewater system. ns are available that do	Reject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2030.24	Amy Beran	Support	Reject
			#FS2044.46	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Reject
			#FS2045.48	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject
			#FS2049.22	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	Support	Reject

212.6	The Fuel Companies	Seek Amendment	Rule 8.9A Waste water constraint areas Support but seek clarification that the rule only applies when the volume of wastewater discharged is or could be increased and is therefore not applicable to smaller scale activities that do not affect wastewater discharge volumes.	Accept in part
211.4	Pauline McEwan	Seek Amendment	Consider QM Wastewater Constraint for Merivale	Reject
805.20	Waka Kotahi	Support	[Generally supports] the intent of the Waste Water Constraints Areas Overlay (Vacuum Sewers) as a qualifying matter. [The submitter seeks this to be] retain[ed] as notified.	Accept
805.21	Waka Kotahi	Support	[Generally supports] the intent of the Waste Water Constraints Areas Overlay (Vacuum Sewers) as a qualifying matter. [The submitter seeks this to be] retain[ed] as notified.	Accept
805.22	Waka Kotahi	Support	[Generally supports] the intent of the Waste Water Constraints Areas Overlay (Vacuum Sewers) as a qualifying matter. [The submitter seeks this to be] retain[ed] as notified.	Accept

CITY INFRAS	CITY INFRASTRUCTURE – CITY SPINE – OTHER MATTER							
Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation		
General Rul								
689.73	Environment	Support	[Retain the following Qua	alifying Matters as notified	d]: • City Spine Transport Corridor	Accept		
	Canterbury /		Further submission	Further Submitter	Position			
	Canterbury		No.					
	Regional Council		#FS2012.3	Ministry of Justice	Support	Accept		
			#FS2012.6	Ministry of Justice	Support	Accept		
			#FS2037.1095	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept		
			#FS2056.22	Orion New Zealand Limited	Support	Accept		
			#FS2075.16	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Support	Accept		
			#FS2082.433	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Reject		
780.4	Josie Schroder	Support	Retain the 6.1A Qualifyin	sport Corridor, Low Public Transport	Accept			
			Accessibility Area as noti					
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			

			#FS2037.742	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept		
859.7	859.7 Ministry of Housing and Urban	Oppose	is applied:a. Low Public	That the following qualifying matters are deleted and the appropriate underlying zoning is applied:a. Low Public Transport Accessibility Qualifying Matter.b. Sunlight Accessc. Airport Noise Contoursd. Key Transport Corridors – City Spine.				
	Development		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2037.1224	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept		
			#FS2050.23	Miles Premises Ltd	Support	Reject		
			#FS2052.93	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept		
			#FS2071.40	New Zealand Airports Association	Oppose	Accept		
			#FS2074.120	Group of Neighbours	Oppose	Accept		
			#FS2081.24	Andrew McCarthy	Support	Reject		
			#FS2098.16	Rachel Sanders & Neighbours	Oppose	Accept		
877.13	Otautahi Community	Seek Amendment	Delete the Key Transpo provisions.	ialifying Matter and all associated	Reject			
	Housing Trust		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2082.1241	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject		
			#FS2082.1309	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject		
Residentia	I > How to interpret	and apply the rules	S					
834.169	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	14.3 How to interpret a zoneswhere the permi orPolicy 3 of the NPS-U detail in Chapter 6.1A a Transport Corridor	Reject				
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2031.100	Arlene Baird	Oppose	Accept		

			#FS2037.24	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2049.85	LMM Investments	Support	Reject
			#FS2051.99	2012 Limited Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2052.24	Christchurch International Airport Limited	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2055.18	KiwiRail	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2059.20	Kauri Lodge Rest Home 2008 Limited	Support	Reject
			#FS2071.12	New Zealand Airports Association	Oppose	Accept
Residentia	l > Rules - Medium De	ensity Residential 2	Zone > Built form standard	ds > Minimum road bounda	ry setback - Qualifying Matter City Sp	oine Transport Corridor
504.5	Diane Gray	Seek Amendment	Seek amendment to the they are.	Accept in part		
805.7	Waka Kotahi (NZ	Oppose	•	ansport Corridor Qualifying	Matter	Reject
003.7	Transport	Оррозс	Further submission	Further Submitter	Position	Neject
	Agency)		No.	Turtifer Submitter		
			#FS2037.1468	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Reject
814.161	Carter Group	Oppose	Rule 14.5.2.18. Seek tha	at this be deleted.		Reject
	Limited		Further submission			
			No.	Further Submitter	Position	
				Further Submitter Kāinga Ora	Position Oppose	Reject
823.129	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Oppose	No. #FS2082.991 Delete all new or amen	Kāinga Ora ded provisions, to the exter		Reject Reject
823.129	Diocese of	Oppose	Wo. #FS2082.991 Delete all new or amen enabling than the mand	Kāinga Ora ded provisions, to the exter	Oppose at that they conflict with or are less	•
823.129	Diocese of	Oppose	Wo. #FS2082.991 Delete all new or amen enabling than the mand the status quo.	Kāinga Ora ded provisions, to the exter datory MDRS and/or impose	Oppose It that they conflict with or are less additional constraints relative to	•
823.129	Diocese of	Oppose	No. #FS2082.991 Delete all new or amen enabling than the mand the status quo. Further submission	Kāinga Ora ded provisions, to the exter datory MDRS and/or impose	Oppose It that they conflict with or are less additional constraints relative to	•
823.129	Diocese of	Oppose	Wo. #FS2082.991 Delete all new or amen enabling than the mand the status quo. Further submission No.	Kāinga Ora ded provisions, to the exter datory MDRS and/or impose Further Submitter	Oppose It that they conflict with or are less additional constraints relative to	Reject

834.96	Kāinga Ora – Homes and	Oppose	Delete the Key Transpo provisions.	ort Corridors – CitySpine Q	ualifying Matter and allassociated	Reject		
	Communities		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2044.65	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Reject		
			#FS2045.69	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject		
Homes and	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	Delete the rule. If land acquisition fo of Requirement todesi	•	, then Council shouldinitiate a Notice	Accept in part		
			Further submission	Further Submitter	Position			
			No.					
			#FS2049.118	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	Support	Accept in part		
Residentia	l > Rules - High Densit	y Residential Zo	one > Built form standards >	Minimum road boundary	setback - Qualifying Matter City Spin	e Transport Corridor		
805.8	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	Oppose	Delete the City Spine T	Reject				
834.234	Kāinga Ora –	Oppose	Delete the [standard].	Reject				
	Homes and Communities		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2049.154	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	Support	Reject		
877.33	Otautahi Community	Oppose		[Regarding 14.6.2.17] Delete the rule. Ifland acquisition for public works is the intent, then Council should initiate aNotice of Requirement to designate the corridor.				
	Housing Trust		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2082.1261	Kāinga Ora	Seek Amendment	Accept in part		
			#FS2082.1329	Kāinga Ora	Seek Amendment	Accept in part		
Residentia	I > Rules - Matters of	control and disc	retion > Residential design	principles				
805.9	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	Oppose	Delete the City Spine T	ransport Corridor Qualifyii	ng Matter.	Reject		

834.257	Kāinga Ora –	Oppose	Delete all City Spine Tr	ansport Corridoractivity rul	es from the suite of commercialzones.	Reject	
	Homes and	''	Further submission	Further Submitter	Position	,	
	Communities		No.				
			#FS2044.106	Catholic Diocese of	Support	Reject	
				Christchurch			
			#FS2045.110	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject	
Commercia	al > Rules - Town Cent	re Zone > Built 1	form standards - Town Cent	re Zone > Minimum road b	ooundary setback - Qualifying Matter (City Spine Transport	
Corridor						1	
805.10	Waka Kotahi (NZ	Oppose	Delete the City Spine T	ransport Corridor Qualifyin	g Matter.	Reject	
	Transport						
	Agency)						
834.98	Kāinga Ora –	834.98 –	Delete the Key Transpo	Reject			
834.273	Homes and	Oppose	provisions.				
	Communities		Further submission	Further Submitter	Position		
		834.273 –	No.				
		Oppose	#FS2044.67	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch (834.98)	Support	Reject	
			#FS2045.71	Carter Group Limited (834.98)	Support	Reject	
Commercia	al > Rules – Local Cent	re Zone > Activi	ty status tables – Local Cen	tre Zone > Restricted discre	etionary activities		
834.258	Kāinga Ora – Homes and	Oppose	RD 8 Delete all City Spi commercialzones.	ne Transport Corridoractivi	ty rules from the suite of	Reject	
	Communities		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2044.107	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Reject	
			#FS2045.111	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject	
Commercia	al > Rules – Local Cent	re Zone > Built 1	orm standards - Local Cent		ary setback - Qualifying Matter City Sp		
805.11	Waka Kotahi (NZ	Oppose		ransport Corridor Qualifyin		Reject	
	Transport			,	-		
	Agency)						

834.99	Kāinga Ora – Homes and	Oppose	Delete the Key Transpo provisions.	ort Corridors – CitySpine Qu	ualifying Matter and allassociated	Reject
	Communities		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2044.68	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Reject
			#FS2045.72	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject
834.274	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	Delete all City Spine Tr zones.	Reject		
Commercia	al > Rules - Neighbou	rhood Centre Zo			ne > Restricted discretionary activitie	es
834.259	Kāinga Ora – Homes and	Oppose	RD7 Delete all City Spir commercialzones.	Reject		
	Communities		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2044.108	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Reject
			#FS2045.112	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject
	al > Rules - Neighbou sport Corridor	rhood Centre Zo	ne > Built form standards -	Neighbourhood Centre Zor	ne > Minimum road boundary setbac	k - Qualifying Matter City
805.12	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	Oppose	Delete the City Spine T	ransport Corridor Qualifyin	g Matter.	Reject
834.100	Kāinga Ora – Homes and	Oppose	Delete the Key Transpo provisions.	ort Corridors – CitySpine Qu	ualifying Matter and allassociated	Reject
	Communities		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
		#FS2044.69	#FS2044.69	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Reject
			#FS2045.73	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject
834.275	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Oppose	Delete all City Spine Tr zones.	ansport Corridorbuilt form	rules from the suite ofcommercial	Reject

834.260	Kāinga Ora –	Oppose	15.8.1.3 RD3 Delete all	City Spine Transport Corri	doractivity rules from the suite of	Reject	
	Homes and		commercialzones.		•		
	Communities		Further submission	Further Submitter	Position		
			No.				
			#FS2044.109	Catholic Diocese of	Support	Reject	
				Christchurch			
			#FS2045.113	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject	
	_	nat Retail Zone	> Built form standards - Larg	ge Format Retail Zone > Mi	inimum road boundary setback - Qua	lifying Matter City Spine	
Transport (1				Reject	
805.13	Waka Kotahi (NZ	Oppose	se Delete the City Spine Transport Corridor Qualifying Matter.				
	Transport Agency)						
834.101	Kāinga Ora –	Oppose	Oppose Delete the Key Transport Corridors – CitySpine Qualifying Matter and allassociated				
	Homes and		provisions.				
	Communities		Further submission	Further Submitter	Position		
			No.				
			#FS2044.70	Catholic Diocese of	Support	Reject	
				Christchurch			
			#FS2045.74	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject	
834.276	Kāinga Ora –	Oppose	Delete all City Spine Tr	ansport Corridorbuilt form	rules from the suite ofcommercial	Reject	
	Homes and		zones.				
	Communities						
		-	status tables - Mixed Use Z		-		
834.277	Kāinga Ora –	Oppose	, ,	ansport Corridorbuilt form	rules from the suite ofcommercial	Reject	
	Homes and		zones.				
	Communities					0: 7 :0 :1	
					dary setback - Qualifying Matter City	•	
805.14	Waka Kotahi (NZ	Oppose	Delete the City Spine T	ransport Corridor Qualifyir	ng Matter.	Reject	
	Transport						
024 102	Agency)	Onnoss	Doloto the Vay Transact	art Corridore CityCair - O	ralifying Matter and allege sists	Poinct	
834.102		Oppose	provisions.	ort corridors – cityspine Qi	ualifying Matter and allassociated	Reject	

	Kāinga Ora –		Further submission	Further Submitter	Position			
	Homes and		No.					
	Communities		#FS2044.71	Catholic Diocese of	Support	Reject		
				Christchurch				
			#FS2045.75	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject		
834.261	Kāinga Ora –	Oppose	Delete all City Spine Tr	Delete all City Spine Transport Corridoractivity rules from the suite of commercialzor				
	Homes and Communities		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position			
			#FS2044.110	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Reject		
			#FS2045.114	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject		
Commercia	al > Rules - Central Cit	y Mixed Use Zo	ne > Activity status tables -	Central City Mixed Use Zor	ne > Restricted discretionary activities	•		
		Curanant	RD 6 Delete all City Spi	form rules from the suite	Reject			
	Kāinga Ora –	Support	IND O Delete all city spi	ne manspore comas bane				
	Kāinga Ora – Homes and	Support	ofcommercial zones.	ne mansport comideraum				
834.279	_	Support						
834.279	Homes and Communities		ofcommercial zones.		ne > Minimum road boundary setback -	· Qualifying Matter Cit		
834.279 Commercia	Homes and Communities		ofcommercial zones.			· Qualifying Matter Cit		
834.279 Commercia	Homes and Communities al > Rules - Central Cit		ofcommercial zones. ne > Built form standards - 0		ne > Minimum road boundary setback -	Qualifying Matter Cit		
834.279 Commercia Spine Tran	Homes and Communities al > Rules - Central Cit sport Corridor	y Mixed Use Zo	ofcommercial zones. ne > Built form standards - 0	Central City Mixed Use Zon	ne > Minimum road boundary setback -			
834.279 Commercia Spine Tran	Homes and Communities al > Rules - Central Cit sport Corridor Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport	y Mixed Use Zo	ofcommercial zones. ne > Built form standards - 0 Delete the City Spine T	Central City Mixed Use Zon ransport Corridor Qualifyin	ne > Minimum road boundary setback -			
834.279 Commercia Spine Tran 805.15	Homes and Communities al > Rules - Central Cit sport Corridor Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) Kāinga Ora —	Oppose	ofcommercial zones. ne > Built form standards - 0 Delete the City Spine T Delete the Key Transpo	Central City Mixed Use Zon ransport Corridor Qualifyin	ne > Minimum road boundary setback -	Reject		
834.279 Commercia Spine Tran 805.15	Homes and Communities al > Rules - Central Cit sport Corridor Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) Kāinga Ora — Homes and	Oppose	ofcommercial zones. ne > Built form standards - 0 Delete the City Spine T Delete the Key Transport provisions. Further submission	Central City Mixed Use Zon Transport Corridor Qualifyin Ort Corridors – CitySpine Qu	ne > Minimum road boundary setback - ng Matter. ualifying Matter and allassociated	Reject		
834.279 Commercia Spine Tran 805.15	Homes and Communities al > Rules - Central Cit sport Corridor Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) Kāinga Ora — Homes and	Oppose	ofcommercial zones. ne > Built form standards - 0 Delete the City Spine T Delete the Key Transport provisions. Further submission No.	Central City Mixed Use Zon Transport Corridor Qualifyin ort Corridors – CitySpine Qu Further Submitter	ne > Minimum road boundary setback - ng Matter. ualifying Matter and allassociated Position	Reject		
834.279 Commercia Spine Tran 805.15	Homes and Communities al > Rules - Central Cit sport Corridor Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) Kāinga Ora — Homes and	Oppose	ofcommercial zones. ne > Built form standards - 0 Delete the City Spine T Delete the Key Transport provisions. Further submission No.	ransport Corridor Qualifyin ort Corridors – CitySpine Qu Further Submitter Catholic Diocese of	ne > Minimum road boundary setback - ng Matter. ualifying Matter and allassociated Position	Reject		
834.279 Commercia Spine Tran 805.15	Homes and Communities al > Rules - Central Cit sport Corridor Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) Kāinga Ora — Homes and	Oppose	ofcommercial zones. ne > Built form standards - 0 Delete the City Spine T Delete the Key Transport provisions. Further submission No. #FS2044.72	Central City Mixed Use Zon Transport Corridor Qualifyin Ort Corridors – CitySpine Qu Further Submitter Catholic Diocese of Christchurch Carter Group Limited	ne > Minimum road boundary setback - ng Matter. ualifying Matter and allassociated Position Support	Reject Reject Reject		

805.16	Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)	Oppose	Delete the City Spine T	g Matter.	Reject		
834.104	Kāinga Ora – Homes and	Oppose	Delete the Key Transpo provisions.	Delete the Key Transport Corridors – CitySpine Qualifying Matter and allassociated provisions.			
	Communities		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2044.73	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Reject	
			#FS2045.77	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject	
834.331	Kāinga Ora –	Oppose	15.14.5.3 City Spine Tr	Reject			
	Homes and Communities		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2044.130	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Reject	
			#FS2045.136	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject	
Planning N	/laps > Any other QMs	;	·				
859.6	Ministry of	Oppose	That the Key Transport	: Corridors – City Spine Qual	lifying Matter [is] deleted	Reject	
	Housing and Urban		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
	Development		#FS2037.1223	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	Reject	

Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation	
5 - Natura	Hazards > 5.2 - Object		.2.2 - Natural hazards policies	> 5.2.2.2 - Policy for man	aging risk from flooding		
145.5	Te Mana	Support	Te Mana Ora supports the p	roposed Qualifying Matter	s related to high-risk	Accept	
	Ora/Community and		natural hazards, including co				
	Public Health		hazard.				
5 - Natura	Hazards > 5.2 - Object	ives and Policies > 5	.2.2 - Natural hazards policies	s > 5.2.2.5 – BLANK			
751.7	Christchurch City	Seek Amendment	Add the following policy hea	ding - 5.2.2.5 Policies for I	managing risk within	Accept in part	
	Council		Qualifying Matter Coastal H	azard Management Areas	and Qualifying Matter		
			Tsunami Management Area				
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2037.829	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part	
834.110	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Seek Amendment	Policy 5.2.2.5.1 – Managing Management Areas Amend Qualifying Matters, develop for intensification of any site inundation and a site specifi or very low based on thresh Further submission No. #FS2044.77 #FS2045.81 #FS2049.35	the policy as follows: With ment, subdivision and land shall be avoided, unless to c assessment demonstrate	in the following I use that would provide he risk is from coastal as the risk is <u>medium</u> , low	Reject Reject Reject Accept	
 5 - Natura	 Hazards > 5.2 - Obiect	ives and Policies > 5	.2.2 - Natural hazards policies				
	Matter Coastal Hazard		•		, 5 6 4 4	•	
212.2	The Fuel Companies	Seek Amendment	Support but seek clarity that	Accept			
	- BP Oil, Z Energy		only relates to higher density residential activities(i.e. not new developmen				
	and Mobil Oil (joint		associated with non-residen	use (b) applies to all			
	submission)		buildings rather than just the	ose associated with reside	ntial activities or		
			residential intensification.				
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		

Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation	
			#FS2014.1	Mark St. Clair	Support	Accept	
377.3	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Seek Amendment	Retain the policy, but formulin regard to coastal hazards	Accept			
689.7	Environment Canterbury / Canterbury Regional Council	Support	[Retain Policy as notified]				
806.4	Te Tāhuhu o te Mātaranga (Ministry of Education)	Seek Amendment	[Regarding Policy 5.2.2.5.1] there is an operational need based on a site specific assort of the hazard. This could be alternative methods.	Reject			
	Carter Group	· I · · · E	Policy 5.2.2.5.1. Seek that it	is deleted.		Reject	
	Limited		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2082.874	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject	
834.23	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Seek Amendment	5.2.2.5.1Managing develop Management Areas 5.4A1-5 Management Areas and Qu 1. Amend the provisions to Areas from within theDistrictatutory GISmaps. 3. Amenthissubmission.	5.4A6 Rules - Qualifying Mar alifying Matter Tsunami Ma remove /delete the mappe ct Plan and instead hold this	tter Coastal Hazard anagement Area. d HazardManagement sinformation in non-	Reject	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position		
			#FS2044.21	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Reject	
			#FS2045.20	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject	
			#FS2049.12	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	Support	Reject	
			#FS2075.9	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose	Accept	
878.3	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Seek Amendment	Amend Policy 5.2.2.5.1 as for development in Qualifying			Accept	

Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
			a. Within the following Qua	lifying Matters, developme	ent, subdivision and land	
			use that would provide for			
			avoided, unless the risk is fi	om coastal inundation and	d a site specific	
			assessment demonstrates t	he risk is low or very low b	ased on thresholds	
			defined in Table 5.2.2.5.1a	below:"		
			b. Replacement buildings, a	ccessory buildings and ext	ensions/additions to	
			buildings are enabled wher	e effects are mitigated to a	in acceptable level based	
			on a site specific assessmer			
			hazard. This could be by use			
			methods."			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2014.2	Mark St. Clair	Support	Accept
			#FS2054.5	Lyttelton Port	Support	Accept
				Company Limited		
			#FS2056.3	Orion New Zealand	Support	Accept
				Limited		
			#FS2075.21	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Support	Accept
			#FS2082.803	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Reject
5 - Natura	l Hazards > 5.4 - Rules -	- Flood hazard				
3.2	Richard Abey-Nesbit	Seek Amendment	Accelerate planning for ma	naged retreat as a result of	climate change, including	Reject out of scope
			the introduction of financia			
			for landowners to seek furt	her protections from insur	ance companies.	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.37	Christchurch Civic	Support	Reject
				Trust		
145.4	Te Mana	Support	Te Mana Ora supports the p	proposed Qualifying Matte	rs related to high-risk	Accept in part
	Ora/Community and		natural hazards, including c	oastal inundation, coastal	erosion and tsunami	
	Public Health		hazard.			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2075.27	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Support	Accept in part
627.25	Plain and Simple Ltd	Seek Amendment	[New provisions to enable]	[New provisions to enable] Temporary, modular lightweight housing / buildings		
			[in natural hazard areas]			

COASTAL	HAZARD MANAGEMEN	T AREAS - OTHER SEC	TION 6 MATTER			
Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
834.112	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Seek Amendment	 Amend the provisions to rease from within the District statutory GISmaps. Delete all references to means. Undertake any consequer qualifying matters to reflect Further submission No. 	et Plan and instead hold this paps withinthe District Plan ntialamendments to zones,	sinformation in non overlays,precincts, and	Reject
			#FS2075.3	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose	Accept
834.114	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Seek Amendment	5.4A Rules – Qualifying MatterCoastal Hazard Mana Management Area 1. Delete all references in al 3. Amend Rule 5.4A.5 NC3 a a. Development, subdivision intensification of any site wat Area except that permitted 4. Any consequential amend matters to reflect the relief	gementAreas and Qualifying I rules in thissection that rest is follows: A and land use that would within the Qualifying Matter in the Qualifying Matter in the Submission.	ng MatterTsunami efer to maps. provide for residential er Tsunami Management 4.1 and14.4.2. precincts, andqualifying	Reject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2044.79	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Reject
			#FS2045.83	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject
			#FS2049.37	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	Support	Reject
			#FS2075.4	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose	Accept
5 - Natura	l Hazards > 5.4 - Rules -	Flood hazard > 5.4.	5 - Activities and earthworks	in the Flood Ponding Man	agement Area	
834.21	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Seek Amendment	Areas from within theDistric statutory GISmaps. 2. Reduce the Tsunami Man	L. Amend the provisions to remove /delete the mapped HazardManagement Areas from within theDistrict Plan and instead hold thisinformation in non-statutory GISmaps. 2. Reduce the Tsunami ManagementArea to a 1:100 year hazard. 3. Amend and make consequentialchanges to give effect to thissubmission.		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	

Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
			#FS2044.19	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Reject
			#FS2045.17	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject
			#FS2045.90	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject
			#FS2049.10	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	Support	Reject
			#FS2075.8	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose	Accept
5 - Natura	al Hazards > 5.4 - Rules	Flood hazard > 5.4.6	5 - Activities in the High Flood	Hazard Management Are	a	
380.6	South Shore Resident's Association (SSRA)	Seek Amendment	Clarif[y the interaction between Mater Coastal Hazard Manag	Accept in part		
- Natura	al Hazards > 5.4 - Rules	Flood hazard > 5.4.7	7 - 5.4A Rules - Qualifying Mat	ter Coastal Hazard Mana	gement Areas and Qualifyi	ng Matter Tsunami
Managen	nent Area					
212.4	The Fuel Companies - BP Oil, Z Energy and Mobil Oil (joint submission)	Support	Retain as notified.			Accept in part
377.5	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Seek Amendment	No change to rules and polici given as to how restrictions of hazard zones will affect appli Standards.	Accept in part but no change required to the District Plan. Support the		
						development of
380.2	South Shore Resident's Association (SSRA)	Seek Amendment	Clarify the interaction and re hazard areas [and] plan chan	• •	fying Matter] coastal	development of
	Resident's	Seek Amendment Seek Amendment		ge 12.		development of guidance material Clarified within
380.2	Resident's Association (SSRA) South Shore		hazard areas [and] plan chan Clarif[y the interaction between	ge 12.		development of guidance material. Clarified within s42A Sarah Oiver

COASTAL	HAZARD MANAGEMEN	IT AREAS - OTHER SEC	CTION 6 MATTER			
Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
689.74	Environment Canterbury /	Support	[Retain Qualifying Matters C Management Areas]	t and Tsunami	Accept	
	Canterbury Regional		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	Council		#FS2037.1096	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept
			#FS2075.17	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Support	Accept
814.46	Carter Group	Oppose	Oppose rules 5.4A. Seek that	t they are deleted.	, , ,	Reject
	Limited		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2075.29	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2082.876	Kāinga Ora	Seek Amendment	Reject
Managem	nent Area > 5.4.7.1 - 5.4	A.1 Permitted activi			Sement Areas and Quanty	
175.7	Winstone Wallboards Limited (WWB)	Seek Amendment	Amend: Rule 5.4A.1 5.4A.1 P a. There are no permitted ac		ctivities.	Reject
519.5	James Carr	Seek Amendment	It might be worthwhile requiring new houses in areas at risk from sea level rise or increasing flood risk to be designed to be easily relocated (not necessarily in one piece). Again this is likely to require a wood foundation, but given that these areas typically have soft soils this would not be a bad thing.			Reject out of scope
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.518	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject
878.5	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Seek Amendment	Amend 5.4A Rules as follows a. There are no permitted ac The activities listed below a	Reject		
			[Add to Permitted Activities	-	l" specific standards.l	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2056.5	Orion New Zealand Limited	Support	Reject
			#FS2075.22	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose	Accept
	•	•	•	•	•	•

Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of Relief	Recommendation
5 - Natura	al Hazards > 5.4 - Rules -	Flood hazard > 5.4.	7 - 5.4A Rules - Qualifying Matter Coastal Hazard Management Areas and Qualifyi	ng Matter Tsunami
Managen	nent Area > 5.4.7.2 - 5.4	A.2 Controlled activi	ties	
806.6	Te Tāhuhu o te	Support	[Regarding 5.4A.2] Retain as drafted.	Accept in part
	Mātaranga (Ministry			
	of Education)			
5 - Natura	al Hazards > 5.4 - Rules -	Flood hazard > 5.4.	7 - 5.4A Rules - Qualifying Matter Coastal Hazard Management Areas and Qualifyi	ing Matter Tsunami
Managen	nent Area > 5.4.7.3 - 5.4	A.3 Restricted discre	etionary activities	
806.7	Te Tāhuhu o te	Support	[Regarding 5.4A.3] Retain as drafted	Accept in part
	Mātaranga (Ministry			
	of Education)			
5 - Natura	al Hazards > 5.4 - Rules -	Flood hazard > 5.4.	7 - 5.4A Rules - Qualifying Matter Coastal Hazard Management Areas and Qualifyi	ing Matter Tsunami
Managen	nent Area > 5.4.7.4 - 5.4	A.4 Discretionary ac	tivities	
806.8	Te Tāhuhu o te	Support	[Regarding 5.4A.4] Retain as proposed.	Accept in part
Māt	Mātaranga (Ministry			
	of Education)			
5 - Natura	al Hazards > 5.4 - Rules -	Flood hazard > 5.4.	7 - 5.4A Rules - Qualifying Matter Coastal Hazard Management Areas and Qualifyi	ng Matter Tsunami
Managen	nent Area > 5.4.7.5 - 5.4	A.5 Non-complying a	activities	
806.9				
	Te Tāhuhu o te	Support	[Regarding 5.4A.5] Retain as proposed.	Accept in part
	Te Tāhuhu o te Mātaranga (Ministry	Support	[Regarding 5.4A.5] Retain as proposed.	Accept in part
		Support	[Regarding 5.4A.5] Retain as proposed.	Accept in part
	Mātaranga (Ministry of Education)		[Regarding 5.4A.5] Retain as proposed. - 6.1A - Qualifying Matters > 6.1.9.1 - 6.1A.1 Application of qualifying matters	Accept in part
6 - Genera	Mātaranga (Ministry of Education)		- 6.1A - Qualifying Matters > 6.1.9.1 - 6.1A.1 Application of qualifying matters	Accept in part Accept in part
6 - Genera	Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) al Rules and Procedures	s > 6.1 - Noise > 6.1.9	- 6.1A - Qualifying Matters > 6.1.9.1 - 6.1A.1 Application of qualifying matters	
6 - Genera	Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) al Rules and Procedures	s > 6.1 - Noise > 6.1.9	- 6.1A - Qualifying Matters > 6.1.9.1 - 6.1A.1 Application of qualifying matters [S]eek[s] clarity around definitions of the Coastal Hazard Management	
6 - Genera	Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) al Rules and Procedures	s > 6.1 - Noise > 6.1.9	- 6.1A - Qualifying Matters > 6.1.9.1 - 6.1A.1 Application of qualifying matters [S]eek[s] clarity around definitions of the Coastal Hazard Management Zone and also the Tsunami Management zone. Clear definitions of the	
6 - Gener 87.1	Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) al Rules and Procedures	s > 6.1 - Noise > 6.1.9	- 6.1A - Qualifying Matters > 6.1.9.1 - 6.1A.1 Application of qualifying matters [S]eek[s] clarity around definitions of the Coastal Hazard Management Zone and also the Tsunami Management zone. Clear definitions of the methodology behind such policy and reasoning as to why internationally	
6 - Gener 87.1	Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) al Rules and Procedures David East	S > 6.1 - Noise > 6.1.9 Seek Amendment	- 6.1A - Qualifying Matters > 6.1.9.1 - 6.1A.1 Application of qualifying matters [S]eek[s] clarity around definitions of the Coastal Hazard Management Zone and also the Tsunami Management zone. Clear definitions of the methodology behind such policy and reasoning as to why internationally rejected or highly unlikely scenarios are still being used as the basis for planning	Accept in part
6 - Gener 87.1	Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) al Rules and Procedures David East Winstone	S > 6.1 - Noise > 6.1.9 Seek Amendment	- 6.1A - Qualifying Matters > 6.1.9.1 - 6.1A.1 Application of qualifying matters [S]eek[s] clarity around definitions of the Coastal Hazard Management Zone and also the Tsunami Management zone. Clear definitions of the methodology behind such policy and reasoning as to why internationally rejected or highly unlikely scenarios are still being used as the basis for planning Seeks to be directly engaged on upcoming release of Plan Change 12 on Coastal	Accept in part
6 - Gener 87.1 175.3	Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) al Rules and Procedures David East Winstone Wallboards Limited	S > 6.1 - Noise > 6.1.9 Seek Amendment	- 6.1A - Qualifying Matters > 6.1.9.1 - 6.1A.1 Application of qualifying matters [S]eek[s] clarity around definitions of the Coastal Hazard Management Zone and also the Tsunami Management zone. Clear definitions of the methodology behind such policy and reasoning as to why internationally rejected or highly unlikely scenarios are still being used as the basis for planning Seeks to be directly engaged on upcoming release of Plan Change 12 on Coastal	Accept in part
	Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) al Rules and Procedures David East Winstone Wallboards Limited (WWB)	Seek Amendment Seek Amendment	- 6.1A - Qualifying Matters > 6.1.9.1 - 6.1A.1 Application of qualifying matters [S]eek[s] clarity around definitions of the Coastal Hazard Management Zone and also the Tsunami Management zone. Clear definitions of the methodology behind such policy and reasoning as to why internationally rejected or highly unlikely scenarios are still being used as the basis for planning Seeks to be directly engaged on upcoming release of Plan Change 12 on Coastal Hazards for implications on Winstone Wallboards Ltd's site.	Accept in part Accept in part
6 - Gener 87.1 175.3	Mātaranga (Ministry of Education) al Rules and Procedures David East Winstone Wallboards Limited (WWB) Alexandra Free	Seek Amendment Seek Amendment Seek Amendment Support	- 6.1A - Qualifying Matters > 6.1.9.1 - 6.1A.1 Application of qualifying matters [S]eek[s] clarity around definitions of the Coastal Hazard Management Zone and also the Tsunami Management zone. Clear definitions of the methodology behind such policy and reasoning as to why internationally rejected or highly unlikely scenarios are still being used as the basis for planning Seeks to be directly engaged on upcoming release of Plan Change 12 on Coastal Hazards for implications on Winstone Wallboards Ltd's site. [Retain all proposed qualifying matters]	Accept in part Accept in part Accept in part

COASTAL	HAZARD MANAGEMEN	IT AREAS - OTHER SEC	CTION 6 MATTER			
Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
380.1	South Shore Resident's Association (SSRA)	Seek Amendment	Clarify the interaction and rel hazard areas [and] plan chang	• •	ying Matter] coastal	Accept
689.73	Environment Canterbury / Canterbury Regional	Support	[Retain the following QualifyiCoastal Hazard ManagemerTsunami Management Area		Accept	
	Council		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2012.3	Ministry of Justice	Support	Accept
			#FS2012.6	Ministry of Justice	Support	Accept
			#FS2037.1095	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept
			#FS2056.22	Orion New Zealand Limited	Support	Accept
			#FS2075.16	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Support	Accept
			#FS2082.433	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Reject
739.1	North Beach Residents	Seek Amendment	Clarify Plan Change 14 Qualify interaction and relationship t	Accept		
	Association		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2082.481	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject
739.3	North Beach Residents	Seek Amendment	Seek that qualifying matters r not unduly restrict intensifica	Accept in part		
	Association		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2075.32	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2082.483	Kāinga Ora	Support	Accept in part
744.1	Cliff Mason	Support	[Retain all proposed Qualifyir		Accept in part	
751.18	Christchurch City Council	Seek Amendment	Amend qualifying matter prov within the scope authorised f RMA, having regard to releva	Accept in part		
			consideration.		I =	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	

Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
			#FS2037.840	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2044.1	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2045.1	Carter Group Limited	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2049.1	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	Support	Accept in part
759.3	C Collins	Oppose	[Seeks that the Plan Change	Reject		
804.8	Waihoro Spreydon- Cashmere- Heathcote Community Board	Support	[S]upports the need to include high-risk natural hazards as Qualifying Matters. Coastal inundation, coastal erosion and tsunami hazards are all of concern to at least some of the community in Waihoro Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote.			Accept
835.7	Historic Places	Support	The submitter supports all o	qualifying matters.		Accept in part
	Canterbury		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.594	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2037.692	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part
877.4	Otautahi Seek A Community Housing Trust		Amend the provisions to re Areas from within the Distr should be based on non-sta Viewer that sits outside the Variation.	ct Plan. Instead, these natu tutory map layers in the Cit	ral hazard overlays cy Council's Interactive	Reject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2082.1232	Kāinga Ora	Seek Amendment	Reject
			#FS2082.1300	Kāinga Ora	Seek Amendment	Reject
Planning I	Maps					
751.144	Christchurch City Council	Seek Amendment	Amend the Series D plannir 1. move all Historic Heritag 2. improve the legibility of 3. move all coastal hazard Area);	the LPTAA symbology;	Tsunami Management	Not addressed

Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of Relief	Recommendation		
		•	4. remove all Designations	tured in Series A);		
			5. rename the Series D map			
			6. Where required, conduct			
			chapter 6.1A.			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.966	Christchurch Civic	Support	Not addressed
				Trust		
Planning	Maps > QM - Any Coast	al Hazard				
145.3	Te Mana	Support	Te Mana Ora supports the p	roposed Qualifying Matte	rs related to high-risk	Accept
	Ora/Community and		natural hazards, including co			
	Public Health		hazard.			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.204	Christchurch Civic	Support	Accept
				Trust		
			#FS2075.28	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Support	Accept
380.3		South Shore Seek Amendment [That a more likely scenario than] representative concentration pathway 8.5				
	Resident's		(RCP 8.5 and its 83rd percen			
	Association (SSRA)		coastal hazard lines.			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2075.11	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose	Accept
380.4	South Shore	Seek	[That the Coastal Hazards Q	Accept		
	Resident's	Amendment	land, [not rural or other zon			
	Association (SSRA)		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2075.12	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose	Accept
644.1	Fay Brorens	Support	[Retain] precautions around	, flooding, liquefaction	Accept	
			and sea level rise.			
694.2	KI Commercial	Seek Amendment	Amend the planning maps to		Reject	
	Limited		Avenue (as shown in Figure			
			Medium Density Residential			
814.244	Carter Group	Oppose	Amend the planning maps in	Reject		
	Limited		to remove the Coastal Hazai	lanagement Area.		

COASTAL	HAZARD MANAGEMEN	IT AREAS - OTHER SEC	CTION 6 MATTER			
Sub. No.	Submitter name	Request	Summary of Relief	Recommendation		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2082.1074	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject
835.4	Historic Places Support The submitter supports this qualifying matter.					Accept
	Canterbury		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.591	Christchurch Civic	Support	Accept
				Trust		
			#FS2037.689	Christchurch Civic	Support	Accept
				Trust		
Planning	Maps > QM - Any other	QMs				
914.19	Davie Lovell-Smith	Seek Amendment	Include the Coastal Confined Aquifer as a new Qualifying Matter			Reject
	Ltd					

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relie	Summary of Relief		
5 - Natural	Hazards > 5.2 - Objectiv	es and Policies > 5.2.2 - Natu	ral hazards policies > 5.2.2.2	2 - Policy for managi	ng risk from floodi	ng
145.3	Te Mana	145.3 – Support	Te Mana Ora supp	oorts the proposed (Qualifying Matters	Accept
145.4	Ora/Community		related to high-ris	sk natural hazards, ir	ncluding coastal	
145.5	and Public Health	145.4 – Support	inundation, coastal erosion and tsunami hazard.			
			Further	Further	Position	
		145.5 – Support	submission No.	Submitter		
			#FS2037.204	Christchurch	Support	Accept
				Civic Trust		
				(145.3)		
			#FS2075.28	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Support	Accept
				(145.3)		
			#FS2075.27	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Support	Accept
				(145.4)		

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relie	Recommendation		
751.7	Christchurch City	Seek Amendment	Add the following	Not addressed		
	Council		managing risk wi			
			Management Are			
			<u>ManagementAre</u>			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.829	Christchurch	Support	Not addressed
			#F32037.029		Support	Not addressed
334.111	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	Seek Amendment	Policy 5.2.2.5.2 – Managing development within Qualifying Matter Tsunami ManagementArea. 1. Amend Policy 5.2.2.5.2 as follows:Within the Tsunami Management Area Qualifying Matter, avoid discourage development,subdivision and land use that would provide for intensification of any site,unless the risk to life and property is acceptable. 2. Alternatively the Policy framework could be retained if the geography icextent of the QM matter is betteraligned with a 1:100 return period orcovers an area reflective of theTsunami Inundation area identifiedby the Greater ChristchurchPartnership as part of its consultationon the Greater Christchurch SpatialPlan.			Reject
			Further	Further	Position	
			submission No.	Submitter		
			#FS2044.78	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Reject
			#FS2045.82	Carter Group Limited	Support	Reject
			#FS2049.36	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	Support	Reject

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relie	ef		Recommendation		
			#FS2075.2	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose	Accept		
5 - Natural	Hazards > 5.2 - Objective	es and Policies > 5.2.2 - Natur	al hazards policies > 5.2.2.5	- BLANK > 5.2.2.5		·		
within Qua	lifying Matter Tsunami N	/lanagement Area	·					
175.6	Winstone	Seek Amendment	Amend Policy 5.2	Amend Policy 5.2.2.5.2: Within the Tsunami				
	Wallboards Limited		Management Are	a Qualifying Matte	r, avoid			
	(WWB)		development, sub	development, subdivision and land use that would				
			provide for intens	provide for intensification of any site for residential				
			purposes in reside					
			property is accept	property is acceptable.				
212.3	The Fuel Companies	212.3 – Support	Retain as notified	Retain as notified				
212.4	- BP Oil, Z Energy							
	and Mobil Oil (joint	212.4 – Support						
	submission)							
369.2	Winstone	Seek Amendment		[That] Policy 5.2.2.5.2 only appl[ies] to				
	Wallboards Limited		residential develo					
	(WWB)		Further	Further	Position			
			submission No.	Submitter				
			#FS2054.20	Lyttelton Port	Support	Accept		
				Company				
				Limited		Accept		
77.4 Toka Tū Ake EQC Seek Amendment			Retain the policy, but formulate and add a definition of					
			acceptable level o					
89.8	Environment	689.8 – Support	[RetainPolicy as n	Accept in part				
89.73	Canterbury /		Further	Further	Position			
89.74	Canterbury Regional	689.73 – Support	submission No.	Submitter	-			
	Council	600.74 6	#FS2037.1030	Christchurch	Support	Accept in part		
		689.74 – Support		Civic Trust				
				(689.8)	-			
			#FS2012.3	Ministry of	Support	Accept in part		
				Justice (689.73)				

TSUNAMI H	AZARD MANAGEMEN	NT AREAS – OTHER SECTION 6 MATTI	ER
Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Sui

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relie	ef		Recommendation
			#FS2012.6	Ministry of Justice (689.73)	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2037.1095	Christchurch Civic Trust (689.73)	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2056.22	Orion New Zealand Limited (689.73)	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2075.16	Toka Tū Ake EQC (689.73)	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2082.433	Kāinga Ora (689.73)	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2037.1096	Christchurch Civic Trust (689.74)	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2075.17	Toka Tū Ake EQC (689.74)	Support	Accept in part
751.15	Christchurch City Council	Seek Amendment	- · · · - ·	proposed Tsunami N atter will [also] exte I Hills	•	Not addressed
			Further	Further	Position	
			submission No.	Submitter		
			#FS2006.1	Stephen Lavery	Oppose	Not addressed
			#FS2033.1	Daniel Crawford	Oppose	Not addressed
			#FS2037.837	Christchurch Civic Trust	Suppose	Not addressed
751.17	Christchurch City Council	Seek Amendment	TsunamiManager inresidential zone land use that wou	follows]: a. Within t ment Area Qualifying es, avoid developme uld providefor intens sk tolife and proper	g Matter ent,subdivision and sification of any	Not addressed

TSUNAMI HAZARD MANAGEMENT AREAS – OTHER SECTION 6 MATTER

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relie	ef		Recommendation
			Further	Further	Position	
			submission No.	Submitter		
			#FS2014.4	Mark St. Clair	Support	Not addressed
			#FS2037.839	Christchurch	Support	Not addressed
				Civic Trust		
806.5	Te Tāhuhu o te	806.5 – Support	[Regarding policy	5.2.2.5.2] Retain as	drafted.	Accept in part
806.6	Mātaranga (Ministry	806.6 – Support				
806.7	of Education)	806.7 – Support				
806.8		806.8 – Support				
806.9		806.9 – Support				
814.45	Carter Group	Oppose	Oppose Policy 5.2	2.5.2. Seek that it is	deleted.	Reject
	Limited		Further	Further	Position	
			submission No.	Submitter		
			#FS2082.875	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject
834.20	Kāinga Ora – Homes	834.20 – Seek Amendment	1. Amend th	ne provisions to rem	ove /delete the	Reject
834.21	and Communities	834.21 – Seek Amendment	mapped I	- HazardManagement	Areas from within	
834.22		834.22 – Seek Amendment	theDistric	t Plan and instead h	old	
834.24		834.24 – Seek Amendment	thisinforn	nation in non-statute	ory GISmaps.	
834.112		834.112 – Seek Amendment	2. Reduce th	ne Tsunami Managei	mentArea to a	
834.114		834.114 – Seek Amendment	1:100 yea			
			3. Amend ar	nd make consequen	tialchanges to give	
				hissubmission.	1	
			Further	Further	Position	
			submission No.	Submitter		
			#FS2044.18	Catholic Diocese	Support	Reject
				of Christchurch		
				(834.20)		
			#FS2045.16	Carter Group	Support	Reject
				Limited (834.20)		
			#FS2045.89	Carter Group	Support	Reject
				Limited (834.20)		

TSUNAMI HAZARD MANAGEMENT AREAS – OTHER SECTION 6 MATTER

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relie	f		Recommendation
			#FS2049.9	LMM Investments 2012 Limited (834.20)	Support	Reject
			#FS2075.7	Toka Tū Ake EQC (834.20)	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2044.19	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch (834.21)	Support	Reject
			#FS2045.17	Carter Group Limited (834.21)	Support	Reject
			#FS2045.90	Carter Group Limited (834.21)	Support	Reject
			#FS2049.10	LMM Investments 2012 Limited (834.21)	Support	Reject
			#FS2075.7	Toka Tū Ake EQC (834.21)	Oppose	
			#FS2044.20	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch (834.22)	Support	Reject
			#FS2045.18	Carter Group Limited (834.22)	Support	Reject
			#FS2045.19	Carter Group Limited (834.22)	Support	Reject
			#FS2049.11	LMM Investments 2012 Limited (834.22)	Support	Reject

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Re	elief		Recommendation
			#FS2050.32	Miles Premises Ltd (834.22)	Support	Reject
			#FS2044.22	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch (834.24)	Support	Reject
			#FS2045.21	Carter Group Limited (834.24)	Support	Reject
			#FS2049.13	LMM Investments 2012 Limited (834.24)	Support	Reject
			#FS2075.10	Toka Tū Ake EQC (834.24)	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2075.3	Toka Tū Ake EQC (834.112)	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2044.79	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch (834.114)	Support	Reject
			#FS2045.83	Carter Group Limited (834.114)	Support	Reject
			#FS2049.37	LMM Investments 2012 Limited (834.114)	Support	Reject
			#FS2075.4	Toka Tū Ake EQC (834.114)	Oppose	Accept
853.17 853.18	Lyttelton Port Company Limited	853.17 – Oppose 853.18 – Oppose	Qualifying Matt	– Managing developm ter Tsunami Manageme	ent Area	Accept in part
853.19 853.20		853.19 – Support		nami Management Area evelopment, subdivisio	, ,	

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relie		Recommendation		
853.21		853.20 – Oppose 853.21 – Oppose	that would provid unless the risk to Remove Tsunami from LPC'sCityDe	acceptable. qualifying matter			
			Further	Further	Position		
			submission No.	Submitter	1 03101011		
			#FS2014.5	Mark St. Clair (853.20)	Support	Accept in part	
877.14	Otautahi Community Housing Trust	Seek Amendment	Amend Policy 5.2.2.5.2 as fol Within the Tsunami Manager Matter, avoid discourage de and land use that would provo for any site, unless the risk to acceptable. Alternatively the Policy framif the geographic extent of the aligned with a 1:100 return preflective of the Tsunami Inuby the Greater Christchurch I consultation on the Greater Plan.	ment Area Qualifying velopment, subdivision vide for intensification vide for intensification vide and property is ework could be retained the QM matter is better period or covers an area andation area identified Partnership as part of its		Reject	
			Further	Further	Position		
			submission No.	Submitter			
			#FS2075.25	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose	Accept	
			#FS2082.1242	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject	
			#FS2082.1310	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject Accept	
878.4	3.4 Transpower New Seek Amendme Zealand Limited		<u>"5.2.2.5.2 Policy -</u>	Amend Policy 5.2.2.5.2 as follows: "5.2.2.5.2 Policy – Managing residential development			
			within Qualifying Matter Tsunami Management Area				
			· ·	a. Within the Tsunami Management Area Qualifying			
			· ·	dential developme			
				ıld provide for inten	•		
			site, unless the ris	site, unless the risk to life and property is acceptable."			

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relie	Summary of Relief		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2014.3	Mark St. Clair	Support	Accept
			#FS2056.4	Orion New Zealand Limited	Support	Accept
			#FS2082.804	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Reject
5 - Natural	Hazards > 5.4 - Rules - Fl	ood hazard				
3.2	Richard Abey-Nesbit	Seek Amendment	climate change, ir contributions. Ad	ng for managed retr ncluding the introdu d advice note about ek further protectio	ction of financial requirements for	Reject but acknowledged
			Further	Further	Position	
			submission No.	Submitter		
			#FS2037.37	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject out of scope
627.25	Plain and Simple Ltd	Seek Amendment		o enable] Temporar	• *	Accept in part
380.8	South Shore Resident's Association (SSRA)	Seek Amendment	=	Qualifying Matter Ts a] does not stop rea		Accept in part
	, ,		Further	Further	Position	
			submission No.	Submitter		
			#FS2075.13	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose	Accept in part
814.46	Carter Group	Oppose		A. Seek that they are	deleted.	Reject
	Limited		Further	Further	Position	
			submission No.	Submitter		
			#FS2075.29	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2082.876	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject
826.2 826.3	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	826.2 – Oppose		he Tsunami Manage s, be deleted in thei		Reject

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
		826.3 – Seek Amendment	In the alternative retained there ne assessments that mitigation; and a mitigation.			
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2082.742	Kāinga Ora (826.2)	Support	Reject
5 - Natura	l Hazards > 5.4 - Rules - Fl	lood hazard > 5.4.7 - 5.4A Rules -	Qualifying Matter Coas	stal Hazard Manage	ment Areas and Qua	alifying Matter
		.1 - 5.4A.1 Permitted activities				
114.2	Connor McIver	Seek Amendment	Seeks new rule to houses to be buil tsunami damage. somewhere to go ground in time.	Reject		
175.7	Winstone Wallboards Limited (WWB)	Seek Amendment	Amend: Rule 5.44 5.4A.1 Permitted a. There are no per activities.		Non-residential	Reject
369.3	Winstone Wallboards Limited (WWB)	Seek Amendment	-	al rule is added to p ies [within the] Tsu Natter overlay.	=	Accept in part
			Further	Further	Position	
			submission No.	Submitter		
			#FS2054.21	Lyttelton Port Company Limited	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2075.24	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose	Accept in part
519.5	James Carr	Seek Amendment		while requiring new	v houses in areas at flood risk to be	Reject out of scope

TSUNAMI	HAZARD MANAGEM	ENT AREAS – OTHER SECTION	6 MATTER			
Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relie	ef		Recommendation
			piece). Again this	asily relocated (not r is likely to require a ese areas typically ha ad thing.	wood foundation,	
			Further	Further	Position	
			submission No.	Submitter		
			#FS2037.518	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Reject
878.5	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Seek Amendment				
			'-	[Add to Permitted Activities table: "Utilities". with "Nil"		
			specific standards.]			
			Further	Further	Position	
			submission No.	Submitter	6 .	5
			#FS2056.5	Orion New Zealand Limited	Support	Reject out of scope
			#FS2075.22	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose	Accept
		Flood hazard > 5.4.7 - 5.4A Rul 7.5 - 5.4A.5 Non-complying ac		tal Hazard Manage	ment Areas and Qu	alifying Matter
11.2	Cheryl Horrell	Support	[Retain resource o	consent requirement Matter Tsunami Ma		Accept in part
			Further	Further	Position	
			submission No.	Submitter		
			#FS2037.40	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part
197.4	Steve Smith	Seek Amendment	[That] any plannii Management Are	ng restrictions within	n the Tsunami	Reject
751.6	Christchurch City Council	Seek Amendment		xcept that permitted	d or controlled in	Not addressed

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relie	ef		Recommendatio
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.828	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Not addressed
			#FS2082.501	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Not addressed
751.16	Christchurch City Council	Seek Amendment		Vhere locatedwithir		Not addressed
			andland use that residentialintensi QualifyingMatter	ones, development, would provide for fication of any site value of any site value or controlled in	vithin the ent Area	
			Further	Further	Position	
			#FS2008.1	Submitter McIntosh Realty Ltd	Oppose	Not addressed
			#FS2037.838	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Not addressed
877.15	Otautahi Community Housing Trust	Seek Amendment	of any site within the Tsunami Manageme permitted or control 14.4.2.	this section to "the maps as", should be uctive maps on the ereturn period of the educed to a 1 in 100 mended as follows: vision and land use that sidential intensification e Qualifying Matter and the educed in Rules 14.4.1 and		Reject
			Any consequential amendme precincts, and qualifying mat sought in the submission.			

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relie	Recommendation		
			Further	Further	Position	
			submission No.	Submitter		
			#FS2075.26	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose	Accept
			#FS2082.1243	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject
			#FS2082.1311	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject
6 - General	Rules and Procedures					
769.2	Megan Power	Support	support in genera	I the following provi	sions :Chapter 6	Accept in part
			General Rules and	l Procedures⊡ 6.1A (Qualifying	
			Matters			
		6.1 - Noise > 6.1.9 - 6.1A - Qu				rs
53.3	Brighton	Oppose		Risk Area in Natural I	Hazards Qualifying	Accept
	Observatory of		Matter			
	Environment and		Further	Further	Position	
	Economics		submission No.	Submitter		
			#FS2075.30	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Support	Accept
67.13	Rachel Davies	Seek Amendment		nd push for Quality		Accept in part
			·	meets more stringe		
				rivacy, environment	al factors and	
			aesthetics.			
87.1	David East	Seek Amendment		ound definitions of		Reject
			_	ent Zone and also t		
			_	e. Clear definitions on the contract of the co		
			0,	ly rejected or highly	•	
			-	d as the basis for pla	•	
175.4	Winstone	Seek Amendment		nt required on the T	-	Reject
1/3.7	Wallboards Limited	Jeek Amendment	Management Ove	•	Janaini	incject
	(WWB)		Widnagement Ove	may mapping.		
357.4	Alexandra Free	Support	[Retain all propose	ed qualifying matter	rsl	Accept
380.9		Oppose	[Delete Qualifying	Reject		

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relie	Recommendation		
	South Shore Resident's		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
	Association (SSRA)		#FS2075.14	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose	Accept
739.3	North Beach Residents Association	Seek Amendment		ng matters relating in the second in the sec		Accept in part
			Further	Further	Position	
			submission No.	Submitter		
			#FS2075.32	Toka Tū Ake EQC	Oppose	Accept in part
			#FS2082.483	Kāinga Ora	Support	Accept in part
744.1	Cliff Mason	Support	[Retain all propos	ed Qualifying Matte	ers]	Accept in part
759.3	C Collins	Oppose	[Seeks that the Plan Change be approved]			Accept in part
804.8	Waihoro Spreydon- Cashmere- Heathcote Community Board	Support	[S]upports the need to include high-risk natural hazards as Qualifying Matters. Coastal inundation, coastal erosion and tsunami hazards are all of concern to at least some of the community in Waihoro Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote.			Accept
835.7	Historic Places	Support	The submitter sup	Accept in part		
	Canterbury		Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.594	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part
			#FS2037.692	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part
877.5	Otautahi Community Housing	Seek Amendment	Reduce the Tsuna hazard.	Reject		
	Trust		Further	Further	Position	
			submission No.	Submitter		
			#FS2082.1233	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject
			#FS2082.1301	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relief			Recommendation
834.141 834.142	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities	834.141 – Oppose	Delete Tsunami hazard.	Reject		
		834.142 – Oppose	Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2049.56	LMM Investments 2012 Limited (834.141)	Support	Reject
			#FS2049.57	LMM Investments 2012 Limited (834.142)	Support	Reject
834.169	Kāinga Ora — Homes and Communities	Oppose	f. There are part permitted devel by the MDRS or may be modified identifiedin deta PlanningMaps, a i. Historic Herita settings, Residentification Busiii. Riccarton Busiii. Heritage, Sigriv. Sites of Ecology. Outstanding Nyi. Sites of Cultuvii. Residential C	s of residential zone opment, heightand Policy 3 of the NPS-dby qualifying matter in Chapter 6.1A and include the folloge including heritage including heritage the Interface Area inficant and other Tigical Significance latural Features and ral Significance	/or density directed UD ers. These are and the owing: geitems, heritage rees	Accept in part but reject that part of this submission relating to reduced spatial extent of the CHRMA and TRMA overlays

ub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relie	ef		Recommendati	
			x. Coastal Hazard	x. Coastal Hazard High RiskManagement Area and			
			Coastal HazardMe	edium Risk Manager	nent Area		
			xi. Tsunami Mana	gement Area			
			xii. Slope Hazard				
			•	xiii. Waterbody Setback			
			xiv. Railway Build				
			xv. Electricity Trar				
			xvi. Airport Noise				
			xvii. Waste Water				
			viii. Lyttelton Port				
				ansportAccessibility	/ Area		
			xx. City Spine Tra	•			
			xxi. Industrial Interface				
			Further	Further	Position		
			submission No.	Submitter			
			#FS2031.100	Arlene Baird	Oppose	Accept in part	
			#FS2037.24	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Accept in part	
			#FS2049.85	LMM	Support	Accept in part	
				Investments			
				2012 Limited			
			#FS2051.99	Heritage New	Oppose	Accept in part	
				Zealand Pouhere			
				Taonga			
			#FS2052.24	Christchurch	Oppose	Accept in part	
				International			
				Airport Limited			
			#FS2055.18	KiwiRail	Oppose	Accept in part	
			#FS2059.20	Kauri Lodge Rest Home 2008	Support	Accept in part	
				Limited			

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relie	Recommendation			
			#FS2071.12	New Zealand	Oppose	Accept in part	
				Airports			
				Association			
Residential	> Rules - Residential Sub	ourban Zone and Residenti	al Suburban Density Transitio	n Zone > Activity s	atus tables > Permi	tted activities	
689.80	Environment		[T]that instances	[T]that instances in the permitted activities table			
	Canterbury /		(specifically P10,	(specifically P10, P11 and P12) of 'thetsunami			
	Canterbury Regional		inundation area a	inundation area as set out in Environment Canterbury			
	Council		report number R	report number R12/38 4 "Modellingcoastal inundation			
			in Christchurch ar	in Christchurch and Kaiapoi from a South American			
			Tsunami using to	Tsunami using topography fromafter the 2011 February			
			Earthquake (2012	Earthquake (2012), NIWA"; as shown in Appendix			
			14.16.5' be replac	14.16.5' be replaced with 'theTsunami Management			
			Area', to reflect the updated area.				
			Further	Further	Position		
			submission No.	Submitter			
			#FS2037.1102	Christchurch	Support	Accept in part	
				Civic Trust			
751.66	Christchurch City	Seek Amendment	[In P10, P11 and I	[In P10, P11 and P12] Remove the text with			
	Council		strikethrough and	strikethrough and addthe text in bold underline - the			
			tsunamiinundatio	tsunamiinundation area as set out in			
			EnvironmentCant	EnvironmentCanterbury report number R12/38			
			"Modellingcoasta	"Modellingcoastal inundation in Christchurch and			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Kaiapoifrom a South American Tsunami			
				usingtopography from after the 2011			
			,	FebruaryEarthquake (2012), NIWA"; as shown			
			inAppendix 14.16	inAppendix 14.16.5; The Qualifying MatterTsunami			
			Management Are	Management Area;			
			Further	Further	Position		
			submission No.	Submitter			
			#FS2037.888	Christchurch	Support	Not addressed	
	1	1	1	Civic Trust	1	i	

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Rel	ief		Recommendation
			#FS2044.7	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	Support	Not addressed
			#FS2045.7	Carter Group Limited	Oppose	Not addressed
			#FS2049.3	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	Oppose	Not addressed
			#FS2082.505	Kāinga Ora	Oppose	Not addressed
			#FS2085.29	The Riccarton Bush Trust The Riccarton Bush Trust	Support	Not addressed
Planning M	aps					_
751.144	Christchurch City Council Seek Amendment		1. move al 2. improve 3. move al (includir 4. remove capture 5. rename Matter 0 6. Where re	es D planning maps as I Historic Heritage lay the legibility of the L I coastal hazard layers ng Tsunami Managem all Designations from d in Series A); the Series D maps to Overlays" or similar. equired, conduct any tential changes to sub	ers to Series C; PTAA symbology; to Series B ent Area); Series D (already "Qualifying	Not addressed
			Further	Further	Position	
			submission No.	Submitter		
			#FS2037.966	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Not addressed

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relie	Recommendation		
751.11	Christchurch City Council	Seek Amendment	[Clarify] thatthe building below the that the tower wo	Not addressed		
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.833	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Not addressed
751.110	Christchurch City Council	City Seek Amendment [Remove any MRZ zoning within the Tsunami management Area and retain operative / RSDT zoning]			Not addressed	
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2021.1	joseph zonneveld	Seek amendment	Not addressed
			#FS2037.932	Christchurch Civic Trust	Support	Not addressed
			#FS2049.6	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	Oppose	Not addressed
Planning M	laps > QM - Any Coasta	l Hazard	-	1		1
107.28	Heather Woods	Seek Amendment	Amend the zoning of 157 to 193 Wainoni Road (and further afield), to "MediumDensity Residential Zone" because the Qualifying Matter of "Tsunami Management Area" only applies toa small part of the properties, and is less of a risk than places like Marine Parade that are "MediumDensity Residential Zone" with the Qualifying Matter of "Tsunami Management Area" applying to thewhole property.			Reject
107.32	Heather Woods	Seek Amendment	Area" applying to thewhole property. Amend zoning for the Residential Suburban portion of Keyes Road, to "Medium DensityResidential Zone" because the Qualifying Matter of "Tsunami Management Area" is not sufficient risk byitself as it is			Reject

Sub. No.	Submitter	Request	Summary of Relie	Recommendation		
			less of a risk than 388 Keyes Road th Zone" with the Qu Management Are Risk Management			
		_	property.			
197.3	Steve Smith	Oppose	-	Management Are	•	Reject
737.7	Christian Jordan	Seek Amendment		Management Area		Reject
			Further submission No.	Further Submitter	Position	
			#FS2037.1477	Christchurch Civic Trust	Oppose	
792.10	Carmel Woods	Oppose	Oppose the Tsuna to 193 Wainoni Ro	Reject		
792.16	Carmel Woods	Oppose	Oppose the Tsuna Road.	Reject		
814.244	Carter Group Oppose Limited		Amend the planning maps in respect of either side of Beachville Road, Redcliffs to remove the Coastal Hazar Medium and High RiskManagement Area, and High Floodplain Hazard ManagementArea, and Tsunami Management Area overlays.		the Coastal Hazard Area, and High	Reject
			Further	Further	Position	
		submission No. Submitter				
			#FS2082.1074	Kāinga Ora	Support	Reject
1034.1	Ebin Scaria Jose	Oppose	Oppose the application of QM Tsunami Management area on 20 Holland Street, Avonside.			Reject