PC 14 COUNCIL REPLY – UPDATED SUBMISSIONS WITH RECOMMENDATIONS – TREE CANOPY COVER-FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTIONS, PUBLIC OPEN SPACE QM, SES, SCS, ONL, ONF, WATER BODY SETBACK QM

The following provides an updated account of response to submissions relevant to the s42A of Anita Collie.

Changes to recommended responses to submissions have been formatted in the same manner as Right of Reply provisions, being text in **bold dark orange underlined** indicates responses to submissions that are recommended to be altered from the recommendations to the s42A and text recommended to be deleted in **bold dark orange** strikethrough.

The rows shaded in orange contain submission points which were not included in the s42A report.

Where the officer recommendation on a specific submission point is to "reject", this recommendation may be based on planning merit or if the submission point is considered outside the scope of this plan change, including being a matter not able to be considered through a change to the District Plan.

Council have provided documentation of both original and further submissions and is available via the following link: <u>https://makeasubmission.ccc.govt.nz/PublicSubmissionSearch.aspx</u>.

2 - Abbreviations and Definitions > 2.2 - Definitions List

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
571.12	James Harwood		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan including associated definitions	Accept in part
615.8	Analijia Thomas		Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan [as it relates to the associated definitions]	Accept in part

2 - Abbreviations and Definitions > 2.2 - Definitions List – D

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
814.13	Carter Group Limited		Support	Retain the definition for Development Site as notified.	Accept

	Further submitte	er			
	Kāinga Ora/	FS2082.843	Seek		Accept in part
			amendment		
823.13	The Catholic		Support	Definition 'Development site'. Retain as notified.	Accept
	Diocese of				
	Christchurch				
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch	FS2037.1245	Oppose		Reject
	Civic Trust				
	Carter Group	FS2045.186	Support		Accept
	Limited				
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1098	Seek		Accept in part
			amendment		

2 - Abbreviations and Definitions > 2.2 - Definitions List - H

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
814.19	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose definition of Heat island. Seek that it is deleted.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Kāinga Ora	FS 2082.849	Seek amendment		Reject
814.20	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose definition of Hedge. Seek that it is deleted.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.850	Seek amendment		Reject
823.19	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	Definition 'Heat island'. Delete	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1251	Oppose		Accept

	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.192	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1104	Seek amendment		Reject
823.20	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	Definition 'Hedge'. Delete.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1252	Oppose		Accept
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.193	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1105	Seek amendment		Reject

2 - Abbreviations and Definitions > 2.2 - Definitions List – P

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
184.11	University of Canterbury		Support	[Support] Definition of Public Open Space as proposed.	Accept

2 - Abbreviations and Definitions > 2.2 - Definitions List – T

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation a
814.36	Carter Group Limited		Seek Amendment	Oppose the new definition of Tree. Seek than it is deleted or amended to specify a potential height of at least 3m.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.866	Seek amendment		Reject
814.37	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose the definition of Tree canopy cover. Seek that it is deleted.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			

	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.867	Seek amendment		Reject
823.32	The Catholic Diocese of		Oppose	Definition 'Tree'. Delete or alternatively amend to specify a potential height of at least 3m.	Reject
	Christchurch Further submitt	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1264	Oppose		Accept
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.205	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1117	Seek amendment		Reject
823.33	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	Definition 'Tree canopy cover'. Delete	Reject
	Further submitt	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1264	Oppose		Accept
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.205	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1117	Seek amendment		Reject

3 - Strategic Directions > 3.3 - Objectives

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
242.19	Property		Seek	We recommend the Council do not introduce the proposal to use financial	Reject
	Council New		Amendment	contributions as another revenue source if density limits are not reach within	
	Zealand			greenfield development.	

3 - Strategic Directions > 3.3 - Objectives > 3.3.10 - Objective - Natural and cultural environment

Submission	Submitter	Further	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
No		submission No			

571.13	James Harwood		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept
615.9	Analijia Thomas		Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept
689.6	Environment Canterbury / Canterbury Regional Council		Support	[Retain Objective as notified]	Accept
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1028	Support		Accept
780.3	Josie Schroder		Support	Retain Objective 3.3.10 as notified.	Accept
811.10	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Inc		Seek amendment	Amend 3.3.10a.ii.E. for consistency with the Enabling Housing Act or delete.	Reject
	Further submitte				
	Boffa Miskell Limited	FS2097.6	Support		Reject
814.43	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose Objective 3.3.10(ii) E. Seek that it is deleted.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.873	Seek amendment		Reject
823.39	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	Delete	Reject
	Further submitte	Further submitter			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1271	Oppose		Accept
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.212	Support		Reject

	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1122	Seek amendment		Reject
834.6	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities		Oppose	Delete proposed clause (a)(ii)(E): Tree canopy cover in areas ofresidential activity that maintains andenhances the city's biodiversity andamenity, sequesters carbon, reducesstormwater runoff, and mitigates heatisland effects; and	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1	Oppose		Accept
	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	FS2044.17	Support		Reject
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.15	Support		Reject
	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	FS2049.8	Support		Reject
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.3	Oppose		Accept
	Trojan Built Properties Ltd	FS2088.14	Support		Reject
874.16	Daresbury Ltd		Oppose	[Regarding Objective 3.3.10(ii)(E)] [Seeks that this objective is deleted]	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.628	Oppose		Accept

6 - General Rules and Procedures

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
834.8 Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities		Support	Support6.1A qualifying matters:1. Retain the Sites of Ecological Significance qualifying matter.	Accept in part	
	Communities			2. Retain the Outstanding and Significant Natural Features qualifying matter.	
				3. Retain the Sites of Cultural Significance qualifying matter.	

	Further submitter				
	Amy Beran	FS2030.6	Support		Accept in part
	Christchurch	FS2037.2	Support		Accept in part
	Civic Trust				
834.30	Kāinga Ora —		Seek	Remove 'Environmental Asset Waterways' and 'Network Waterways' as qualifying	Accept in part
	Homes and		Amendment	matter, unless a site by site assessment has been undertaken that demonstrates	
	Communities			why development that is otherwise permitted under MDRS is inappropriate.	
	Further submitte	-			
	Catholic	FS2044.24	Support		Accept in part
	Diocese of				
	Christchurch				
	Carter Group	FS2045.23	Support		Accept in part
	Limited				
	LMM	FS2049.15	Support		Accept in part
	Investments				
024.22	2012 Limited		0		
834.32	Kāinga Ora –		Oppose	Delete the Open Space (recreation zone) qualifying matter and any relevant	Reject
	Homes and Communities			provisions proposed in its entirety.	
	Further submitte				
	Catholic	FS2044.26	Support		Reject
	Diocese of	F32044.20	Support		Reject
	Christchurch				
	Carter Group	FS2045.25	Support		Reject
	Limited	132043.23	Support		Reject
	LMM	FS2049.17	Support		Reject
	Investments	102010127	support		
	2012 Limited				
834.115	Kāinga Ora –		Oppose	Delete Section 6.10A and all associated provisions	Reject
	Homes and				
	Communities				
	Further submitte	er			
	Catholic	FS2044.80	Support		Reject
	Diocese of				
	Christchurch				

Carter Group Limited	FS2045.84	Support	Reject
LMM Investments 2012 Limited	FS2049.38	Support	Reject
Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.132	Support	Reject
Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.127	Support	Reject
Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.4	Support	Reject

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.1A - Qualifying Matters

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
311.1	Barry Newcombe		Seek Amendment	To include as a Qualifying Matter area the Opawaho Heathcote River corridor. It is not clear how 'corridor ' is defined but [submitter] expect[s] this will include a distance from the water?	Accept
	Further submitte	er			
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.261	Oppose		Reject
443.15	Summerset Group Holdings Limited		Seek Amendment	Remove the natural hazards and water bodies qualifying matters from the Summerset Cavendish site.	Accept
755.6	Margaret Stewart		Seek Amendment	Make the residential red zone (Otakaro River Avon Corridor) a Qualifying Matter.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Mountfort Planning Limited	FS2070.11	Oppose		Accept in part

900.2	Summit Road Society		Support	 We support the following items as qualifying matters: Matters of national importance including sites of cultural, heritage and ecological importance, areas of high-risk natural hazards and significant trees. Public open space areas. 	Accept
	Further submitte	r			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.682	Support		Accept
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.825	Oppose		Reject

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.1A - Qualifying Matters - 6.1A.1 Application of qualifying matters

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
196.1	Brian Gillman		Support	Retain waterbody setbacks and sunlight access as a qualifying matters.	Accept in part
282.2	Brendan McLaughlin		Support	[M]ake tree canopies compulsory in suburbs	Accept in part
364.6	John Reily		Oppose	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept
579.1	Gareth Bailey		Seek Amendment	Exclude properties within waterway setbacks from MDRZ classification.	Accept in part
689.73	Environment Canterbury / Canterbury Regional Council		Support	 [Retain the following Qualifying Matters as notified]: () Waterbody Setbacks () Sites of Ngāi Tahu Significance, including Wāhi tapu, silent files, ngā tūranga tūpuna, ngā wai Sites of ecological significance Outstanding natural features and landscapes () 	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Ministry of Justice	FS2012.3 and FS2012.6	Support		Accept in part

	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1095	Support		Accept in part
	Orion New Zealand Limited	FS2056.22	Support		Accept in part
	Toka Tū Ake EQC	FS2075.16	Support		Accept in part
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.433	Oppose		Accept in part
804.1	Waihoro Spreydon- Cashmere- Heathcote Community Board		Support	[S]upports the qualifying matters in the proposal and in particular the following are of local interest in Waihoro Spreydon-Cashmere-Heathcote: Matters of national importance (RMA s6) – Outstanding and significant natural features	Accept in part
196.2	Brian Gillman		Support	Retain waterbody setbacks [provisions] as a qualifying matter	Accept in part
914.27	Davie Lovell- Smith Ltd		Support	Supports the waterbody setbacks, which are not changing as part of PC14.	Accept in part
2108.4	Teewah Holdings Ltd		Seek Amendment	Seeks amendment to provisions of the Residential Hills zone and cultural significance Qualifying Matter to allow for more variety in housing type, if not increased density.	Reject

6 - General Rules and Procedures > 6.6 - Water Body Setbacks > 6.6.4 - Rules - Activity status tables - City and Settlement Water Body Setbacks

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
196.2	Brian Gillman		Support	Retain waterbody setbacks [provisions] as a qualifying matter	Accept in part
834.31	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities		Seek Amendment	6.6.4 City and Settlement Water Body Setbacks 6.6.4.1-6.6.4.4 Activities within waterbody setbacks Remove 'Environmental Asset Waterways' and 'Network Waterways' as qualifying matter, unless a site by site assessment has been undertaken that demonstrates why development that is otherwise permitted under MDRS is inappropriate.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	FS2044.25	Support		Reject

	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.24	Support		Reject
	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	FS2049.16	Support		Reject
914.27	Davie Lovell- Smith Ltd		Support	Supports the waterbody setbacks, which are not changing as part of PC14.	Accept in part

6 - General Rules and Procedures > 6.6 - Water Body Setbacks > 6.6.5 - Rules - Activity status tables - Rural Water Body Setbacks and 6.6.6 - Rules - Activity status tables - Natural Area Water Body Setbacks

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
914.28	Davie Lovell- Smith Ltd		Support	Supports the waterbody setbacks, which are not changing as part of PC14.	Accept in part
914.29	Davie Lovell- Smith Ltd		Support	Supports the waterbody setbacks, which are not changing as part of PC14.	Accept in part

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
45.4	Kelvin Lynn		Seek Amendment	To deal with this [tree canopy loss and climate crisis] we need more rather than fewer trees. Consideration needs to be given to the provision of pocket parks in the HDR areas.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.74	Support		Accept in part
117.4	Ian Tinkler		Support	Clarify how often developers remove existing trees and then apply for new buildings. Clarify methods to ensure that the canopy is maintained after the development of the dwelling.	Accept in part
				Clarity how will Christchurch residents be assured that the canopy is being grown to offset the lack of canopy by developers?	

	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.199	Support		Accept in part
145.15	Te Mana Ora/Communit y and Public Health		Support	Te Mana Ora supports the different proposals to support tree cover in the Housing and Business Choice Plan Change Consultation Document, including to update tree setbacks to better protect individual trees and to incentivise more tree planting, Financial Contributions, and the Schedule of Significant and Other Trees becoming a Qualifying matter.	Accept in part
180.6	Josiah Beach		Support	[F]ully support[s] the proposed tree canopy requirement mechanism	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.234	Support		Accept in part
188.20 Ric - Ki Res	Riccarton Bush - Kilmarnock Residents' Association		Seek Amendment	 [Stronger] Protections for trees, and incentives for planting more trees, should be part of the changes proposed in PC14. Any financial contributions made to compensate for tree removal should be required to be spent in the area where trees are removed to, at least, replace what was there with equivalent planting. 	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.257	Support		Accept in part
	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS2052.305	Support		Accept in part
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.103	Oppose		Accept in part
233.1	Paul Clark		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.321	Support		Accept in part
242.18	Property Council New Zealand		Support	Support the proposal for financial contributions for tree canopy which would see anyone wanting to develop land that does not retain 20 per cent tree canopy cover on a site charged a financial contribution. The fee will be used to plant trees on Council-owned land.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.199	Oppose		Reject

251.2	Daniel McMullan		Seek Amendment	Request the Council encourage more native planting (through appropriate planning methods) between the Port Hills/Banks Peninsula and the central city (i.e., a native tree corridor).	Accept in part
254.5	Emma Besley		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.345	Support		Accept in part
254.9	Emma Besley		Seek Amendment	Concern that the 'or the payment of financial contributions in lieu of planting' will essentially mean 'pay a fine so we don't have to plant trees', and that 'as close to the development site as practicable' may in effect lead to areas of intensification without tree cover nearby as it is not 'practicable' and ask this be strictly enforced.	Accept
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.345	Support		Accept
260.5	Scentre (New Zealand) Limited		Oppose	[Regarding the insertion of a new Sub-chapter 6.10A] Oppose the imposition of additional financial contributions for the development of commercial zone land in greenfield/brownfield locations resulting in one or more buildings and / or impervious surfaces that do not retain or plant 10 percent tree canopy cover. This provision should be removed.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.354	Oppose		Accept
	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS2052.149	Oppose		Accept
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.225	Support		Reject
260.6	Scentre (New Zealand) Limited		Seek Amendment	[Regarding the insertion of a new Sub-chapter 6.10A] Amend the sub-chapter to include a financial contributions credit for sites that achieve tree coverage that goes above and beyond the 10% limit.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.355	Oppose		Accept

	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS2052.150	Oppose		Accept
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.226	Support		Reject
260.7	Scentre (New Zealand) Limited		Seek Amendment	[Regarding the insertion of a new Sub-chapter 6.10A] Seek amendment to [e]nsure that the unit of measurement of "tree canopy coverage" takes into account green / living walls and roofs.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS2052.151	Oppose		Accept
261.1	Maia Gerard		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.358	Support		Accept in part
262.1	Alfred Lang		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
263.1	Harley Peddie		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
264.1	Aaron Tily		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter	Further submitter			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.361	Support		Accept in part
265.1	John Bryant		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.364	Support		Accept in part
266.1	Alex Hobson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.367	Support		Accept in part

267.1	Justin		Support	That the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Muirhead				
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.370	Support		Accept in part
268.1	Clare Marshall		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter	•			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.373	Support		Accept in part
269.1	Yvonne Gilmore		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.376	Support		Accept in part
270.1	Rob Harris		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter	Further submitter			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.379	Support		Accept in part
273.1	lan Chesterman		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.392	Support		Accept in part
274.1	Robert Fleming		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.395	Support		Accept in part
314.3	Graham Townsend		Support	[Retain proposed financial contributions]	Accept in part
342.1	Adrien Taylor		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter	•			

	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.425	Support		Accept in part
345.1	Monique Knaggs		Support	Seeks that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.429	Support		Accept in part
346.1	George Laxton		Support	[Seek] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.432 & FS2037.433	Support		Accept in part
347.1	Elena Sharkova		Support	I seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.436	Support		Accept in part
350.1	Felix Harper		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.439	Support		Accept in part
352.3	Janice Lavelle		Not Stated	Oppose the ability to pay a financial contribution in lieu of the retention/planting of trees.	Reject
357.5	Alexandra Free		Support	[Retain proposed financial contributions]	Accept in part
361.1	James Gardner		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter	Further submitter			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.445	Support		Accept in part
362.1	Cynthia Roberts		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				

	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.448	Support		Accept in part
363.1	Peter Galbraith		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.451	Support		Accept in part
365.1	Andrew Douglas- Clifford		Support	[S]eek[s]that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributionsplan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.457	Support		Accept in part
366.1	Olivia Doyle		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.460	Support		Accept in part
371.1	Nkau Ferguson- Spence		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.472	Support		Accept in part
372.1	Julia Tokumaru		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.475	Support		Accept in part
374.1	Michael Redepenning		Support	[S]eek[s]that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.481	Support		Accept in part
375.1	Aidan Ponsonby		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

	Further submitter	Further submitter			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.484	Support		Accept in part
379.1	Indiana De Boo		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
384.1	Christopher Seay		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
387.1	Christopher Henderson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
389.12	Emma Coumbe		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
391.1	Ezra Holder		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
392.1	Ella McFarlane		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
393.1	Sarah Laxton		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
394.1	Lesley Kettle		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
395.1	Emily Lane		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
411.3	Ruth Parker		Support	Supports tree canopy cover provisions in that they promote the positive effects of trees	Accept in part
437.7	David Allan		Seek Amendment	[Support the] preservation of tree canopies	Accept in part
443.1	Summerset Group Holdings Limited		Seek Amendment	Amend all tree canopy provisions as they apply to residential zones within Christchurch City to specifically exclude retirement villages.	Accept in part
443.14	Summerset Group Holdings Limited		Oppose	Delete chapter 6.10A [as an alternative to the other submission points that relate to Tree Canopy Cover and Financial Contributions, Summerset Group Holdings supports the position of the Retirement Villages Association]	Reject
470.4	Dew & Associates (Academic Publishers)		Support	I recommend CCC impose an obligation on developers to either retain trees and similar oxygenators or provide them as part of the build permit. AND prosper all land-owners or users to institute a planting or shrub placement regime . Consider	Reject

				offering once in a lifetime at the time of taking up land or building ownership a one-off per site one-month-rate-holiday to an appropriate recipient.	
503.12	Jamie Lang		Support	Seeks that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
506.1	Alex Mcmahon		Support	I support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy. I seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.506	Support		Accept in part
510.7	Ewan McLennan		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.513	Support		Accept in part
512.7	Harrison McEvoy		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
513.1	Tales Azevedo Alves		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
514.1	Ann Vanschevenste en		Support	The council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.514	Support		Accept in part
515.1	Zachary Freiberg		Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
516.1	Jessica Nimmo		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
517.1	Alex McNeill		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
518.1	Sarah Meikle		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

520.1	Amelie Harris	Support	I seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
521.1	Thomas Garner	Support	I seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
522.1	Lisa Smailes	Support	I seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
524.1	Daniel Tredinnick	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
525.1	Gideon Hodge	Support	S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
526.1	Philippa Wadsworth	Support	S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
527.1	Kaden Adlington	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
533.1	Frederick Markwell	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
534.1	Donna Barber	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
536.1	Hannah Blair	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
538.1	Barnaba Auia	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
539.1	Lucy Hayes	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
540.1	Ben Close	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
541.1	Amelia Hamlin	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
542.1	Ben Helliwell	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
545.1	James Hoare	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
546.1	Benjamin Maher	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

547.1	Amanda Ng	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
548.1	Ethan Gullery	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
549.1	Tineek Corin	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
550.1	Sam Mills	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
551.1	Henry Seed	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
552.1	David Moore	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
553.1	Josh Flores	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
554.1	Fraser Beckwith	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
555.1	James Cunniffe	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
557.1	Peter Beswick	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
558.1	Jan-Yves Ruzicka	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
559.1	Mitchell Tobin	Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
560.1	Reece Pomeroy	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

562.1	Rob McNeur	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
563.1	Peter Cross	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
565.1	Angela Nathan	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
566.2	Bruce Chen	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
567.1	Mark Mayo	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
568.1	Hazel Shanks	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
569.1	Marcus Devine	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
570.1	Christine Albertson	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
571.1	James Harwood	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
572.1	Yu Kai Lim	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
573.1	Jeff Louttit	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
574.1	Henry Bersani	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
575.1	Jeremy Ditzel	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
576.1	Juliette Sargeant	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
577.2	James Robinson	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
578.1	Jamie Dawson	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
580.10	Darin Cusack	Support	[Retain] protections for trees, and incentives for planting more trees	Accept in part

	Further submitter	Further submitter			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.529	Support		Accept in part
586.1	Joe Clowes		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.531	Support		Accept in part
587.1	Ciaran Mee		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
588.3	David Lee		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
589.1	Krystal Boland		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
590.1	Todd Hartshorn		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
591.1	Helen Jacka		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
594.2	Hao Ning Tan		Support	Seeks that the Council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
595.1	Logan Sanko		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
596.1	Hayley Woods		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
597.1	Karl Moffatt- Vallance		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
598.1	Caleb Sixtus		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
600.1	Maggie Lawson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopyrequirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
601.1	Jack Hobern		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
602.1	Devanh Patel		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

603.1	Evan Ross		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
604.1	Daniel Morris		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
605.1	Benjamin Wilton		Support	I support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1212	Support		Accept in part
606.1	Alanna Reid		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
607.1	Mathew Cairns		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
608.1	Denisa Dumitrescu		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
609.1	Morgan Patterson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
610.1	Alexia Katisipis		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
611.1	Ailbhe Redmile		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
612.1	Hamish McLeod		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
613.1	Noah Simmonds		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
614.1	Matthew Coulthurst		Support	[S]ee[s]k that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
615.1	Analijia Thomas		Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
616.1	Elizabeth Oquist		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
617.1	Tegan Mays		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

618.1	Lance Woods		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
619.1	Oscar Templeton		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
620.1	Izak Dobbs		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
621.1	Loren Kennedy		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
622.1	Ella Herriot		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
623.1	Peter Dobbs		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy. Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
624.1	Daniel Scott		Support	[Support] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy. Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
628.1	Tom Crawford		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
631.1	Matt Pont		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.543	Support		Accept in part
632.1	Aimee Harper		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
633.1	James Dunne		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
634.1	Georgia Palmer		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
635.1	Suzi Chisholm		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
637.1	James Ballantine		Support	[Seeks to retain] the tree canopy cover and financial contributions provisions as notified.	Accept in part

638.12	Central		Seek	[Strengthen tree canopy cover requirements]	Reject
	Riccarton		Amendment		
	Residents'				
	Association Inc				
	Further submitter				Further submitter
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.59	Oppose		Accept
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.57	Oppose		Accept
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.339	Oppose		Accept
640.1	Steven Watson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
641.1	Andrew Treadwell		Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
642.1	Sophie Harre		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
643.1	Keegan Phipps		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
644.2	Fay Brorens		Support	[Seeks protection of tree canopy and natural spaces]	Accept in part
645.1	Laura McGill		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
646.1	Archie Manur		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1200	Support		Accept in part
648.1	Brennan Hawkins		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
649.1	Peter Stanger		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

650.1	Charlie Lane		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
651.1	Jess Green		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
652.1	Declan Cruickshank		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
654.2	Wendy Fergusson		Seek Amendment	Strengthen the requirements for trees	Reject
656.1	Francesca Teague- Wytenburg		Support	Seeks that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1198	Support		Accept in part
659.1	Lucy Wingrove		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1197	Support		Accept in part
660.2	Bray Cooke		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
670.4	Mary-Louise Hoskins		Seek Amendment	[Seeks] that the financial contributions are significant [to ensure developers do not ride roughshot over it].	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Wynn Williams	FS2042.51 - FS2042.52	Support		Accept in part
686.5	Robyn Thomson		Oppose	Does not support financial contributions	Reject
686.7	Robyn Thomson		Support	[Seeks] prescribed minimum green space and tree canopy, but [considers] it is not sufficient to achieve anything meaningful to local residents. [Further seeks retention of exisitng tree canopy]	Accept in part
701.10	lan McChesney		Seek Amendment	The proposal should increase minimum protection of green space and canopy cover. There should be no 'buying out' provision.	Reject

	Further submitter	Further submitter			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.562 & FS2037.983	Support		Reject
	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS2052.264	Support		Reject
713.1	Girish Ramlugun		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter	•			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.569	Support		Accept in part
714.1	Russell Stewart		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter	•			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.570	Support		Accept in part
715.1	Sara Campbell		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter	•			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.575	Support		Accept in part
717.1	Jonty Coulson		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.576	Support		Accept in part
718.1	Gareth Holler		Support	I support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				

	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.579	Support		Accept in part
719.1	Andrew Cockburn		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	r			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.580	Support		Accept in part
722.1	Nick Leslie		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
724.1	Alan Murphy		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
727.8	Birdie Young		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
733.2	Michael Hall		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.970	Support		Accept in part
738.1	Pim Van Duin		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
740.1	Woolworths		Oppose	Delete Chapter 6.10A in its entirety, and associated Plan provisions (including but not limited to):- 8.3, 8.5.1 and 8.7.12;- HDZ Rule 14.6.2.7 / 14.6.1.3 (RD13), and-MDZ Rule 14.5.2.2 / 14.5.1.3 (RD24	Reject
	Further submitte	r			
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.484	Support		Reject
741.2	Lower Cashmere Residents Association		Seek Amendment	[That the removal] of mature trees [is] not allowed	Reject
	Further submitte	r			
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.90	Oppose		Accept

	Retirement	FS2064.87	Oppose	ER-FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTIONS/ PUBLIC OPEN SPACE QWI/ SES, SCS, ONL, ONF, WAT	Accept
	Village Association of				
	Association of New Zealand				
	Incorporated				
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.492	Oppose		Accept
745.3	Richmond	132002.432	Support	[Retain provisions to protect loss of trees and vegetation]	Accept in part
/ 10.0	Residents and		Support		/ coope in part
	Business				
	Association				
	(We are				
	Richmond)				
752.1	Amanda		Support	support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our	Accept in part
	Smithies			tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and	
				contributions plan.	
	Further submitter	r			
	Christchurch	FS2037.819	Support		Accept in part
	Civic Trust				
753.1	Piripi Baker		Support	[Support] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our	Accept in part
				tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and	
				contributions plan.	
754.1	Alex Shaw		Support	Supports the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions torestore our	Accept in part
				tree canopy and seek that thecouncil retains the tree canopy requirement and	
				contributions plan.	
	Further submitter	T			
	Christchurch	FS2037.815	Support		Accept in part
	Civic Trust				
762.3	New Zealand		Support	[Supports] [e]stablishing provisions that aim to encourage tree protection and	Accept in part
	Institute of			planting through financial contributions.	
	Architects				
	Canterbury Branch				
	Further submitter	r			
	Christchurch	FS2037.768	Support		Accept in part
	Civic Trust	132037.708	Support		Accept in part

	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.544	Oppose		Reject
762.7	New Zealand Institute of Architects Canterbury Branch		Seek Amendment	[Implement] additional incentives such as rate rebates to increase canopy coverage to areas that lack this amenity currently.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.764 & FS2037.772	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.548	Oppose		Accept
778.7	Mary O'Connor		Support	Fully support increasing the tree canopy.	Accept in part
783.1	Roman Shmakov		Support	[S]eek[s] that the Christchurch City Council retains the tree canopy cover and financial contribution policy outlined in Chapter 6.10A.	Accept in part
788.4	Marc Duff		Seek Amendment	Hornby should be exempt from the Tree Levy and Developers should be made to ensure density developments have a 20% tree canopy cover.	Reject
793.1	Fiona Bennetts		Support	[Retain protections for tree canopy]	Accept in part
	Further submitter	Further submitter			•••
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.732	Support		Accept in part
808.1	Josh Garmonsway		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
811.11	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	Delete chapter 6.10A and rely on the MDRS landscaping provisions.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Boffa Miskell Limited	FS2097.7	Support		Reject
823.41	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	Delete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitter				

	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1273	Oppose		Accept
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.214	Support		Reject
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.10	Support		Reject
826.4	LMM Investments 2012 Limited		Oppose	The proposed financial contributions policy should be deleted in its entirety.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.24	Support		Reject
832.1	Finn Jackson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.711	Support		Accept in part
837.1	Sylvia Maclaren		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
839.1	Jacinta O'Reilly		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
840.1	Rosa Shaw		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
841.1	Jess Gaisford		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
843.1	Allan Taunt		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
844.1	Hayden Smythe		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
846.3	Lauren Bonner		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
847.1	Will Struthers		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
851.10	Robert Leonard Broughton		Support	Protections for trees, and incentives for planting more trees, should be part of the changes proposed in PC14.	Accept in part

				Any financial contributions made to compensate for tree removal should be required to be spent in the area where treesare removed to, at least, replace what was there with equivalent planting.	
853.4	Lyttelton Port Company Limited		Not Stated	Ensure LPC's facilities remain exempt from requirements.	Accept
874.17	Daresbury Ltd		Oppose	[Seeks to] [d]elete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.639	Oppose		Accept in part
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.46	Support		Reject
877.16	Otautahi Community Housing Trust		Oppose	Delete Section 6.10A and all associated provisions.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.173	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.167	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora/ #	FS2082.1244 & FS2082.1312	Seek amendment		Reject
881.1	Red Spur Ltd		Oppose	[T]he Submitter opposes the tree canopy cover provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitter	Further submitter			
	Four Stars Development Ltd and Gould Developments Limited	FS2089.8	Support		Reject

881.2	Red Spur Ltd		Support	[S]upports the exclusion of Redmund Spur from the Operative Christchurch District Plan and PC14 definitions of greenfield and brownfield areas, which by definition exclude Redmund Spur and are referenced in 6.10A.2.1.1 Policy – Contribution to tree canopy cover and 6.10A.4.1.1 Permitted activities P2	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.814	Oppose		Accept in part
881.3	Red Spur Ltd		Seek Amendment	[Seeks that] an alternative, more workable approach [to this provision] should include the option of providing tree canopy off site, but within the wider subdivision area or elsewhere.	Accept in part
896.3	Claire Coveney		Support	Supports the retention of established trees in low density and medium density zones.	Accept in part
	Further submitter	-			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.683	Oppose		Accept in part
900.5	Summit Road Society		Seek Amendment	Supports the proposal to use the Financial Contribution process to ensure a tree canopy cover however would like to see it increased from 20% to 25%. Would like to see prioritisation of native plantings wherever possible.	Reject
900.6	Summit Road Society		Seek Amendment	The Financial Contribution to include [provision for] riparian planting along waterways including small creeks.	Reject
902.10	Waipuna Halswell- Hornby- Riccarton Community Board		Seek Amendment	[That policies and rules in 6.10A require] financial contributions regarding trees [to] be used in the ward that the development occurs in.	Accept
	Further submitter	•			
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1272	Oppose		Reject
908.2	Christchurch Civic Trust		Support	[S]upports the Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment's observations and recommendations regarding the importance of greenspace and trees, both public and private, given their relevance also for Christchurch and in particular PC14.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.671	Support		Accept in part

918.1	Geoff Banks	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
1049.1	Dylan Lange	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
1087.1	Claire Coveney	Oppose	Opposes the Tree Canopy Cover and Financial Contribution provisions.	Reject

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions - 6.10A.1 Introduction

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
814.48	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose 6.10A.1 and delete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitter	r			
	Clare Dale	FS2029.2	Support		Reject
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.108	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.103	Support		Reject
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.15	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.878	Seek amendments		Reject
814.49	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose 6.10A.1. Seeks that all of the provisions regarding financial contributions are deleted.	Reject
	Further submitter	r			
	Clare Dale	FS2029.2	Support		Reject
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.109	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of	FS2064.104	Support		Reject

	New Zealand Incorporated				
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.16	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.879	Seek amendments		Reject
814.50	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose 6.10A.1(c). Seek that it is deleted.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.110	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.105	Support		Reject
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.17	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.880	Seek amendments		Reject
814.51	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose 6.10A.1(d). Seek that it be deleted.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.111	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.106	Support		Reject
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.18	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.881	Seek amendments		Reject

823.42	The Catholic		Oppose	Delete all of the financial contributions	Reject
023.42	Diocese of			draft provisions in their entirety.	
	Christchurch				
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1274	Oppose		Accept
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.215	Support		Reject
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.11	Support		Reject
823.43	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	6.10A.1c - Delete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1275	Oppose		Accept
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.216	Support		Reject
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.12	Support		Reject
823.44	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	6.10A.1d - Delete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1276	Oppose		Accept
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.217	Support		Reject
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.13	Support		Reject
874.18	Daresbury Ltd		Oppose	[Seeks to] [d]elete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitte	Further submitter			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.630	Oppose		Accept
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.47	Support		Reject
874.19	Daresbury Ltd		Oppose	[Seeks to] [d]elete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject

	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch	FS2037.631	Oppose		Accept
	Civic Trust				
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.48	Support		Reject
874.20	Daresbury Ltd		Oppose	[Regarding 6.10A.1d] [Seeks to] [d]elete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitte	Further submitter			
	Christchurch	FS2037.632	Oppose		Accept
	Civic Trust				
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.49	Support		Reject
914.21	Davie Lovell-		Seek	Enable through the rules, the ability for offsetting to occur. For example, if the	Accept in part
	Smith Ltd		Amendment	tree canopy cover exceeds the permitted requirements within the road reserve	
				then the area required to be planted within the residential lots are reduced.	

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions - 6.10A.2 Objectives and Policies

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
154.3	Ōpāwaho Heathcote River Network (OHRN)		Seek Amendment	<i>[Re: Policy Outcomes]</i> Rules should seek to maximise tree canopy coverage within intensive housing including incentives to retain mature trees and/or penalties for removal of mature trees.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.222	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.79	Oppose		Accept
154.5	Ōpāwaho Heathcote River Network (OHRN)		Seek Amendment	<i>[Re: Policy Outcomes]</i> Rules should seek to encourage or require community-level planning in areas of high intensification.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.224	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.80	Oppose		Accept

790.3	Jade McFarlane		Seek Amendment	PC14 is too limited in scope to create the level of change desired in the Urban Forest Plan. Initiatives for tree canopy planting in Existing Development areas need to be explored and implemented in order to achieve the goals of the Urban Forest Plan.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.738	Support		Reject

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions - 6.10A.2 Objectives and Policies - 6.10A.2.1 Objective – Urban tree canopy cover

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
61.65	Victoria Neighbourhood Association (VNA)		Seek Amendment	Include commercial/industrial sites in Objective 6.10A.2.1	Reject
237.50	Marjorie Manthei		Seek Amendment	Apply [6.10A provisions] to commercial/industrial [zones] too.	Reject
625.1	Pamela-Jayne Cooper		Seek Amendment	Amend Policy 6.10A.2.1.1 to maintain the existing tree canopy cover if it is over 20%, new builds should achieve 30% canopy cover and seeks no removal of existing mature trees.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.537 & FS2037.1201	Support		Reject
814.52	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose 6.10A.2.1. Seek that it be deleted.	Reject
	Further submitte	r			
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.112	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.107	Support		Reject

	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.19	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.882	Seek amendments		Reject
823.45	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	Delete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitte	r			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1277	Oppose		Accept
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.218	Support		Reject
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.14	Support		Reject
874.21	Daresbury Ltd		Oppose	[Seeks to] [d]elete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitte	r			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.633	Oppose		Accept
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.50	Support		Reject

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions - 6.10A.2 Objectives and Policies - 6.10A.2.1 Objective – Urban tree canopy cover - 6.10A.2.1.1 Policy – Contribution to tree canopy cover

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
61.32	Victoria Neighbourhood Association (VNA)		Seek Amendment	Amend Policy 6.10A.2.1.1 by increasing the minimum tree canopy cover from 20% to 25%.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.11	Support		Accept
	Cambridge 137 Limited	FS2042.20	Oppose		Reject

61.66	Victoria Neighbourhood Association (VNA)		Seek Amendment	Include commercial/industrial sites in Policy 6.10A.2.1.1	Reject
	Further submitter	r			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.129	Support		Reject
237.51	Marjorie Manthei		Seek Amendment	Increase cover in (i) to 25%	Reject
	Further submitter	r			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.337	Support		Reject
814.53	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose Policy 6.10A.2.1.1. Seek that it be deleted.	Reject
	Further submitter	r			
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.113	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.108	Support		Reject
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.20	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.883	Seek amendments		Reject
823.46	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	Delete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1278	Oppose		Accept
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.219	Support		Reject
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.15	Support		Reject

874.22	Daresbury Ltd		Oppose	[Seeks to] [d]elete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch	FS2037.634	Oppose		Accept
	Civic Trust				
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.51 & FS2090.52	Support		Reject

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions - 6.10A.2 Objectives and Policies - 6.10A.2.1 Objective – Urban tree canopy cover - 6.10A.2.1.2 Policy – The cost of providing tree canopy cover and financial contributions

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
61.23	Victoria Neighbourhood Association (VNA)		Support	[Retain] as written, Policies 6.10A.2.1.2 and 2.1.3, Standard 6.10A.4.2.2 (Financial Contributions).	Accept in part
	Further submitte	r			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.109	Support		Accept in part
61.29	Victoria Neighbourhood Association (VNA)		Support	Supports Policy 6.10A.2.1.2.	Accept
	Further submitter				
	Cambridge 137 Limited	FS2042.19	Oppose		Reject
237.52	Marjorie Manthei		Support	[Retain 6.10A.2.1.2]	Accept in part
790.2	Jade McFarlane		Seek Amendment	Council must attempt to plant trees using this money in the immediate vicinity of the new development (within 50m).	Accept
814.54	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose Policy 6.10A.2.1.2. Seek that it be deleted.	Reject
	Further submitte	r			

	Ryman Healthcare	FS2063.114	Support		Reject
	Limited				
	Retirement	FS2064.109	Support		Reject
	Village				
	Association of				
	New Zealand				
	Incorporated				
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.21	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.884	Seek		Reject
			amendments		
823.47	The Catholic		Oppose	Delete all of the financial contributions	Reject
	Diocese of			draft provisions in their entirety.	
	Christchurch				
	Further submitte	Further submitter			
	Christchurch	FS2037.1279	Oppose		Accept
	Civic Trust				
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.220	Support		Reject
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.16	Support		Reject
874.23	Daresbury Ltd		Oppose	[Seeks to] [d]elete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch	FS2037.635	Oppose		Accept
	Civic Trust				
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.53	Support		Reject

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions - 6.10A.2 Objectives and Policies - 6.10A.2.1 Objective – Urban tree canopy cover - 6.10A.2.1.3 Policy – Tree health and infrastructure

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
61.30	Victoria Neighbourhood		Support	Supports Policy 6.10A.2.1.3.	Accept

	Association				
	(VNA)				
	Further submitte				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.111	Support		Accept
237.53	Marjorie Manthei		Support	[Retain 6.10A.2.1.3]	Accept
814.55	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose Policy 6.10A.2.1.3. Seek that it be deleted.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.115	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.110	Support		Reject
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.22	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.885	Seek amendments		Reject
823.48	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	Delete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1280	Oppose		Accept
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.221	Support		Reject
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.17	Support		Reject
874.24	Daresbury Ltd		Oppose	[Seeks to] [d]elete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.635	Oppose		Accept

	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.53	Support		Reject
878.7	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Seek Amendment	Amend Policy 6.10A.2.1.3 as follows: <u>"a. Ensure that trees on a development site are planted in a</u> <u>position appropriate to the tree type and in sufficient soil volume, width and</u> <u>depth to maximise the tree's healthy growth while minimising future nuisance</u> <u>effects and avoiding adverse effects on strategic infrastructure"</u>	Accept
	Further submitte	r			
	Orion New Zealand Limited	FS2056.6	Support		Accept

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions - 6.10A.3 How to interpret and apply the rules

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
814.56	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose 6.10A.3. Seek that it be deleted.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.116	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.111	Support		Reject
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.23	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.886	Seek amendments		Reject
823.49	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	Delete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1281	Oppose		Accept

	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.222	Support		Reject
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.18	Support		Reject
874.25	Daresbury Ltd		Oppose	[Seeks to] [d]elete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitte	Further submitter			
	Christchurch	FS2037.637	Oppose		Accept
	Civic Trust				
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.55	Support		Reject
914.20	Davie Lovell-		Seek	Amend 6.10A.3(c) Increase the species of street trees to take into account the	Accept in part
	Smith Ltd		Amendment	different ground water characteristics of the site	
	Further submitte	Further submitter			
	Amy Beran	FS2030.23	Support		Accept in part

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions - 6.10A.4 Rules – Tree canopy cover and financial contributions

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
72.1	Rosemary Neave		Support	Retain the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions.	Accept
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.148	Support		Accept
112.7	Nikki Smetham		Seek Amendment	Seek a reduced tree canopy coverage, based on 10 years growth (a common measure for tree size), which is more likely to be achieved and maintained at this scale.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.189	Oppose		Accept
112.14	Nikki Smetham		Support	 Support the proposed standards: A minimum 20% tree canopy at maturity for residential subdivision and/ or development in residential zones A 15% tree canopy at maturity for roads reserves vested with CCC 	Accept

				Payment of financial contributions to CCC where the above (either in full or part) are not met.	
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.191	Support		Accept
146.4	Julie Kidd		Support	[S]upport[s] as much being done as possible to maintain tree canopy cover.	Accept
154.4	Ōpāwaho Heathcote River Network (OHRN)		Seek Amendment	Rules should seek to maximise tree canopy coverage within intensive housing including incentives to retain mature trees and/or penalties for removal of mature trees.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.223	Support		Reject
154.6	Ōpāwaho Heathcote River Network (OHRN)		Seek Amendment	Rules should seek to encourage or require community-level planning in areas of high intensification.	Reject
	Further submitte	Further submitter			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.225	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.81	Oppose		Accept
271.1	Pippa Marshall		Support	[S]seek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.382	Support		Accept in part
288.2	Waipapa Papanui-Innes- Central Community Board		Support	[S]eeks the advancement of the signalled Qualifying Matters and mechanisms protecting tree canopy.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Cambridge 137 Limited	FS2042.35	Oppose		Reject

364.1	John Reily		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.454	Support		Accept in part
370.1	Simon Fitchett		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.469	Support		Accept in part
373.1	Mark Stringer		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
	Further submitte	Further submitter			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.478	Support		Accept in part
415.4	Blake Thomas		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
416.1	Anake Goodall		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
431.5	Sonia Bell		Seek Amendment	[Concerned with potential for flooding due to provision of trees]	Accept in part
505.1	Jarred Bowden		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	Further submitter			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.503	Support		Accept in part
519.1	James Carr		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

523.1	Adam Currie		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
528.1	Kelsey Clousgon		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
531.1	Claire Cox		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
639.1	Rory Evans Fee		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
655.1	Daymian Johnson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1199	Support		Accept in part
658.1	Ben Thorpe		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1186	Support		Accept in part
661.1	Edward Parkes		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
662.1	Bryce Harwood		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy seek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
721.1	Ethan Pasco		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy seek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.584	Support		Accept in part

798.7	Wolfbrook		Oppose	Delete the financial contribution provisions, which may require up to 40% landscaping on a site in conflict with the MDRS and the RMA	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.94	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.91	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.578	Support		Reject
804.10	Waihoro Spreydon- Cashmere- Heathcote Community Board		Support	[S]upports the inclusion of financial contributions for the replacement or new planting of trees, and would like to see the planting happen in the local areas where the intensification development is taking place.	Accept in part
814.57	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose the rules in 6.10A.4. Seek that these be deleted.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.117	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.112	Support		Reject
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.24	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.887	Seek amendments		Reject
814.58	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose 6.10A.4(a). Seek that it be deleted.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			

	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.118	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.113	Support		Reject
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.25	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.888	Seek amendments		Reject
823.50	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	Delete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitte	Further submitter			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1282	Oppose		Accept
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.223	Support		Reject
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.19	Support		Reject
823.51	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Support	6.10A.4(a) - Delete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1283	Oppose		Accept
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.224	Support		Reject
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.20	Support		Reject
835.8	Historic Places Canterbury		Support	The submitter supports the proposal to require financial contributions to allow mitigating planting on council owned land where the required tree-canopy cover, through either retention of existing trees or new planting, has not been met.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			

	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.595 & FS2037.693	Support		Accept in part
874.26	Daresbury Ltd		Oppose	[Seeks to] [d]elete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch	FS2037.638	Oppose		Accept
	Civic Trust				
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.56	Support		Reject
902.11	Waipuna Halswell- Hornby- Riccarton Community Board		Support	[Retain the proposed requirement for] financial contributions being paid where the developer is unwilling or unable to plant trees. [Retain] the aim of 20% minimum tree coverage.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	Further submitter			
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1273	Oppose		Reject

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions - 6.10A.4 Rules – Tree canopy cover and financial contributions - 6.10A.4.1 Activity status tables

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
790.4	Jade McFarlane		Seek Amendment	Implement a minimum tree canopy cover of 15% for new builds, while retaining 20% as an overall percentage. Incentivising alternatives such as green roofs and bioswales to make up the remaining 5%.	Accept in part
814.59	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose 6.10A.4.1. Seek that these rules be deleted.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.119	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of	FS2064.114	Support		Reject

	New Zealand Incorporated				
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.26	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.889	Seek amendments		Reject
874.27	Daresbury Ltd		Oppose	[Seeks to] [d]elete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.639	Oppose		Accept
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.57	Support		Reject

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions - 6.10A.4 Rules – Tree canopy cover and financial contributions > 6.10.4.4.1 - 6.10A.4.1 Activity status tables > 6.10.4.4.1.1 - 6.10A.4.1.1 Permitted activities

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
30.2	Doug Latham		Seek Amendment	Amend Rule 6.10A.4.1.1 Tree canopy cover so that the 20% minimum threshold for canopy cover is reduced to 10%.	Reject
	Further submitte	r			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.58	Oppose		Accept
30.3	Doug Latham		Seek Amendment	Amend Rule 6.10A.4.1.1 Tree canopy cover to avoid applying the rule to single dwellings, it should only apply to multi-unit [developments].	Reject
33.1	Joanne Knudsen		Seek Amendment	Amend Rule 6.10A.4.1.1 so that at least 25% tree canopy is provided for new developments.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.59	Support		Reject
61.19	Victoria Neighbourhood Association (VNA)		Seek Amendment	Retain the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan but increase the minimum tree canopy cover from 20% to 25% (6.10A.4.1.1).	Reject
	Further submitte	r			

		L		FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTIONS/ FUBLIC OPEN SPACE QIVI/ SES, SCS, ONL, ONF, WA	
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.105	Support		Reject
	Cambridge 137 Limited	FS2042.16	Oppose		Accept
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.4	Oppose		Accept
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.4	Oppose		Accept
61.33	Victoria Neighbourhood Association (VNA)		Seek Amendment	Amend 6.10A.4.1.1 by increasing the minimum tree canopy cover from 20% to 25%.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Cambridge 137 Limited	FS2042.21	Oppose		Accept
61.67	Victoria Neighbourhood Association (VNA)		Seek Amendment	Include commercial/industrial sites in Standard 6.10A.4.1.1	Reject
205.25	Addington Neighbourhood Association		Support	That developers are prevented from clearing every tree on a site before they apply for a building consent.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.35	Oppose		Accept
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.34	Oppose		Accept

	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.145	Oppose		Accept
237.54	Marjorie		Seek	[For P1 and P2], Clarify that provisions apply everywhere in Christchurch,	Accept in part
	Manthei		Amendment	including the Central City and Hight Density Residential Zones/Precincts	
728.9	Sutherlands		Seek	Rule 6.10A.4.1.1 P2	Reject
	Estates Limited		Amendment		
				Amend the rule so that only the 15% street tree canopy requirement is	
				applicable to a vacant lot greenfield subdivision. Delete Activity specific	
				standards – Tree canopy cover clause (a) and (b), and amend clause (d) to only	
				refer to the 15% road corridor cover	
819.6	Benrogan		Seek	Amend the rule so that only the 15% street tree canopy requirement is	Reject
	Estates Ltd		Amendment	applicable to a vacant lot greenfield subdivision. Delete Activity specific	
				standards – Tree canopy cover clause (a) and (b), an amend clause (d) to only	
				refer to the 15% road corridor cover.	
820.9	Knights Stream		Seek	Amend the rule so that only the 15% street tree canopy requirement is	Reject
	Estates Ltd		Amendment	applicable to a vacant lot greenfield subdivision. Delete Activity specific	
				standards – Tree canopy cover clause (a) and (b), an amend clause (d) to only	
				refer to the 15% road corridor cover.	
823.52	The Catholic		Oppose	Delete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Diocese of				
	Christchurch				
	Further submitte				
	Christchurch	FS2037.1284	Oppose		Accept
	Civic Trust				
	Carter Group	FS2045.225	Support		Reject
	Limited				
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.21	Support		Reject
878.8	Transpower		Seek	Amend 6.10A.4.1.1 P1 and P2 to include an advice note as follows:	Accept
	New Zealand		Amendment		
	Limited			"Advice Note: Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be	
				selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation	
				breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."	
	Further submitte				-
	Orion New	FS2056.8	Support		Accept
	Zealand Limited				

903.1	Danne Mora Limited		Seek Amendment	Require the tree canopy rule applies to all new development in the city (Rule 6.10A.4.1.1 P1 and P2).	Reject
	Further submit	Further submitter			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.679	Support		Reject
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.49	Oppose		Accept in part
	Robert Broughton	FS2083.3	Support		Reject
903.2	Danne Mora Limited		Seek Amendment	Amend the rule so that only the 15% street tree canopy requirement is applicable to a vacant lot greenfield subdivision. Delete Activity specific standards – Tree canopy cover clause (a) and (b), an ament [amend] clause (d) to only refer to the 15% road corridor cover.	Reject
	Further submit	Further submitter			
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.50	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.826	Oppose		Accept
914.6	Davie Lovell- Smith Ltd		Seek Amendment	Require P2 to also apply to new commercial and industrial greenfield subdivision in relation to the tree canopy of the road corridor area.	Reject
914.7	Davie Lovell- Smith Ltd		Seek Amendment	Amend the rule 6.10A.4.4.1 P2 so that only the 15% street tree canopy requirement is applicable to a vacant lot greenfield subdivision. Delete Activity specific standards – Tree canopy cover clause (a) and (b), an amend clause (d) to only refer to the 15% road corridor cover.	Reject
916.4	Milns Park Limited		Seek Amendment	Amend '6.10A.4.1.1 P2 so that only the 15% street tree canopy requirement is applicable to a vacant lot greenfield subdivision. Delete Activity specific standards – Tree canopy cover clause (a) and (b), an amend clause (d) to only refer to the 15% road corridor cover.	Reject

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions - 6.10A.4 Rules – Tree canopy cover and financial contributions 6.10A.4.1 Activity status tables > 6.10.4.4.1.2 - 6.10A.4.1.2 Controlled activities

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
823.53	The Catholic		Oppose	Delete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Diocese of				
	Christchurch				
	Further submitte	r			

Christchurch	FS2037.1285	Oppose	Accept
Civic Trust			
Carter Group Limited	FS2045.226	Support	Reject
Vaughan Smith	FS2090.22	Support	Reject

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions - 6.10A.4 Rules – Tree canopy cover and financial contributions - 6.10A.4.1 Activity status tables - 6.10A.4.1.3 Restricted discretionary activities

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
728.3	Sutherlands		Seek	Amend to rule to make it clear that reserves that are vested to Council with	Accept in part
	Estates Limited		Amendment	enhancements can offset the tree canopy rules for the development.	
819.7	Benrogan		Seek	Amend to rule to make it clear that reserves that are vested to Council with	Accept in part
	Estates Ltd		Amendment	enhancements can offset the tree canopy rules for the development.	
820.10	Knights Stream		Seek	Amend to rule to make it clear that reserves that are vested to Council	Accept in part
	Estates Ltd		Amendment	with enhancements can offset the tree canopy rules for the development.	
903.3	Danne Mora		Seek	Amend to rule to make it clear that reserves that are vested to Council with	Accept in part
	Limited		Amendment	enhancements can offset the tree canopy rules for the development.	
	Further submitte	r			
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.51	Support		Accept in part
914.8	Davie Lovell-		Seek	Amend 6.10A.4.1.3 RD2 to make it clear that reserves that are vested to Council	Accept in part
	Smith Ltd		Amendment	with enhancements can offset the tree canopy rules for the development.	
916.5	Milns Park		Seek	Amend 6.10A.4.1.3 RD2 to make it clear that reserves that are vested to Council	Accept in part
	Limited		Amendment	with enhancements can offset the tree canopy rules for the development.	

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions - 6.10A.4 Rules – Tree canopy cover and financial contributions - 6.10A.4.2 Tree canopy cover and financial contributions standards

Submission	Submitter	Further	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
No		submission No			

200.8	Robert J Manthei Further submitt e	er	Seek Amendment	 Increase the financial contribution and adopt a 'hard' tree cover target of 25% Require mature vegetation and trees to be kept on new building sites. Require developers to design 'around' a site's unique features, including retaining mature trees and vegetation. 	Reject
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.288	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.115 & FS2082.193	Oppose		Accept
625.2	Pamela-Jayne Cooper		Seek Amendment	Amend 6.10A.4.2.1 (b) for more provision to locate tree canopy to individual residences wherever feasible.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.538 & FS2037.1202	Support		Reject
814.60	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose 6.10A.4.2. Seek that this be deleted.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.120	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.115	Support		Reject
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.27	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.890	Seek amendment		Reject
874.28	Daresbury Ltd		Oppose	[Seeks to] [d]elete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.640	Oppose		Accept
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.58	Support		Reject

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions - 6.10A.4 Rules – Tree canopy cover and financial contributions - 6.10A.4.2 Tree canopy cover and financial contributions standards - 6.10A.4.2.1 Tree canopy cover standards and calculation

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
61.20	Victoria Neighbourhood Association (VNA)		Seek Amendment	Discourage the use of impervious/impermeable surfaces around the tree roots.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.106	Support		Accept in part
237.55	Marjorie Manthei		Seek Amendment	Re (vii) : Consider how to address [the longevity of the trees]. Decrease the maximum percentage in (viii) as much as possible	Accept in part
	Further submitte	r			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.338	Support		Accept in part
399.2	Peter Earl		Oppose	Oppose the minimum 20% tree canopy cover standards	Reject

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions - 6.10A.4 Rules – Tree canopy cover and financial contributions - 6.10A.4.2 Tree canopy cover and financial contributions standards - 6.10A.4.2.2 Financial contribution standards and calculations

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
30.4	Doug Latham		Seek Amendment	Amend Rule 6.10A.4.2.2 financial contributions to add an option to use rateable land value in lieu of valuation.	Reject
61.22	Victoria Neighbourhood Association (VNA)		Seek Amendment	Increase the Financial Contribution per tree significantly as a disincentive to removing or not replacing trees on the development site.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.108	Support		Reject

				INANCIAL CONTRIBUTIONS FOBLIC OF IN SPACE QWY SES, SCS, ONL, ONI, WA	
	Cambridge 137 Limited	FS2042.18	Oppose		Accept
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.6	Oppose		Accept
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.6	Oppose		Accept
61.31	Victoria Neighbourhood Association (VNA)		Support	Supports financial contribution standards as set out in 6.10A.2.1.2.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	r			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.112	Support		Accept in part
61.35	Victoria Neighbourhood Association (VNA)		Seek Amendment	Amend 6.10A.4.2.2 by increasing the financial contribution per tree significantly.	Reject
	Further submitte				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.115	Support		Reject
	Cambridge 137 Limited	FS2042.22	Oppose		Accept
112.5	Nikki Smetham		Seek Amendment	Seek a guarantee that the financial contributions collected by CCC will indeed be used for offsite replacement tree planting, and not for general revenue gathering (i.e. reallocated for maintenance or roading infrastructure).	Accept
	Further submitte	r			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.187	Support		Accept
237.57	Marjorie Manthei		Seek Amendment	Rewrite 6.10A.4.2.2 (a) to read "If the tree canopy cover requirements cannot be met [rather than "are not met") to make it clearer that maintaining	Reject

				or planting on the same site is the first priority and (ii) increase the amount per	
				tree from \$2037.00 to at least \$4074.	
367.7	John Bennett		Seek	Ensure the financial contribution required for not meeting the landscaping	Reject
			Amendment	requirements is high enough that meeting the requirement will be financially	
				beneficial to the developer.	
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch	FS2037.468	Support		Reject
	Civic Trust				
728.4	Sutherlands		Seek	Make clearer in the plan how the costs have been attributed and whether it is	Accept
	Estates Limited		Amendment	GST inclusive	
790.1	Jade McFarlane		Seek	Reduce the cost of financial contributions for not providing 15% tree canopy to	Reject
			Amendment	\$1000 per tree; refuse rate rebate if the remaining 5% tree canopy is not	
				provided; provide an agreed rate rebate to the landowner for the next 2 years	
				as an incentive for providing the additional 5% tree canopy; and /or increase	
				the standard building site coverage of 5% if the additional 5% tree canopy is	
				planted.	
819.8	Benrogan		Seek	Make clearer in the plan how the costs [of \$2,037.00 per tree] have been	Accept
	Estates Ltd		Amendment	attributed and whether it is GST inclusive.	
820.7	Knights Stream		Oppose	Make clearer in the plan how the costs have been attributed and whether it is	Accept
	Estates Ltd			GST inclusive.	
903.4	Danne Mora		Seek	Make clearer in the plan how the costs have been attributed and whether it is	Accept
	Limited		Amendment	GST inclusive.	
	Further submitte	er			
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.52	Support		Accept
914.9	Davie Lovell-		Seek	Amend 6.10A.4.2.2Make clearer in the plan how the costs have been attributed	Accept
	Smith Ltd		Amendment	and whether it is GST inclusive.	
916.6	Milns Park		Seek	6.10A.4.2.2 Make clearer in the plan how the costs have been attributed and	Accept
	Limited		Amendment	whether it is GST inclusive	

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions - 6.10A.4 Rules – Tree canopy cover and financial contributions - 6.10A.4.2 Tree canopy cover and financial contributions standards - 6.10A.4.2.3 Consent notice

Submission	Submitter	Further	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
No		submission No			

30.5	Doug Latham		Seek Amendment	Remove requirement from Rule 6.10A.4.2.3 Tree canopy for a Consent notice	Reject
112.6	Nikki Smetham		Seek Amendment	[Require] monitoring of trees required as part of a development where they are relied on for mitigation of higher density development	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.188	Support		Accept in part
728.5	Sutherlands Estates Limited		Seek Amendment	Clarify how Council will enforce the tree canopy rules on individual properties & within their own road reserve network. How will compliance be measured? Will Council report on the compliance of the tree canopy rules and what projects the financial contributions go towards?	Accept in part
814.61	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose 6.10A.4.2.3. Seek that this be deleted.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.121	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.116	Support		Reject
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.28	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.891	Seek amendment		Reject
819.9	Benrogan Estates Ltd		Seek Amendment	Clarify how Council will enforce the tree canopy rules on individual properties & within their own road reserve network.	Accept in part
820.8	Knights Stream Estates Ltd		Oppose	Clarify how Council will enforce the tree canopy rules on individual properties & within their own road reserve network.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.716	Support		Accept in part

823.54	The Catholic		Oppose	Delete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their entirety.	Reject
	Diocese of				
	Christchurch				
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch	FS2037.1286	Oppose		Accept
	Civic Trust				
	Carter Group	FS2045.227	Support		Reject
	Limited				
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.23	Support		Reject
874.29	Daresbury Ltd		Oppose	[Seeks to] [d]elete all of the financial contributions draft provisions in their	Reject
				entirety.	
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch	FS2037.641	Oppose		Accept
	Civic Trust				
	Vaughan Smith	FS2090.59	Support		Reject
903.5	Danne Mora		Seek	Clarify how Council will enforce the tree canopy rules on individual properties &	Accept in part
	Limited		Amendment	within their own road reserve network.	
	Further submitte	er			
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.53	Support		Accept in part
914.10	Davie Lovell-		Seek	Amend 6.10A.4.2.3 to provide clarification on who the tree canopy rules will be	Accept in part
	Smith Ltd		Amendment	monitored and enforced, and how Council will utilise the money paid to them	
				and how that will be reported to the public.	
916.7	Milns Park		Seek	6.10A.4.2.3 to be made clearer	Accept in part
	Limited		Amendment		

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions - 6.10A.5 Matters of discretion

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
61.36	Victoria Neighbourhood Association (VNA)		Seek Amendment	Amend 6.10A.5 to make it less likely that trees will be removed or not replaced on site.	Reject
	Further submitter				

	Christchurch	FS2037.116	Support	Reject
	Civic Trust			

6 - General Rules and Procedures - 6.10A Tree Canopy Cover and Financial contributions - 6.10A.5.1 Tree canopy cover and financial contributions

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
61.21	Victoria		Seek	[T]hat the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions	Reject
	Neighbourhood		Amendment	plan but we submit that in many cases the provisions need to be strengthened.	
	Association			Rewrite the Matters of Discretion to make it less likely that trees will be	
	(VNA)			removed or not replaced on site.	
	Further submitte	r			
	Christchurch	FS2037.107	Support		Reject
	Civic Trust				
	Cambridge 137	FS2042.17	Oppose		Accept
	Limited				
	Ryman	FS2063.5	Oppose		Accept
	Healthcare				
	Limited				
	Retirement	FS2064.5	Oppose		Accept
	Village				
	Association of				
	New Zealand				
	Incorporated				
237.58	Marjorie		Seek	Consider how to make the intention of the Matters of Discretion more explicit.	Reject
	Manthei		Amendment		

8 - Subdivision, Development and Earthworks

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
470.2 &	Dew &		Seek	For Chapter 8 and generally in relation to the RMA (and its successors), I	Reject
470.3	Associates		Amendment	recommend CCC impose an obligation on developers to either retain trees and	
	(Academic			similar oxygenators or provide them as part of the build permit. AND prosper all	
	Publishers)			land-owners or users to institute a planting or shrub placement regime.	

Consider offering once in a lifetime at the time of taking up land or building ownership a one-off per site one-month-rate-holiday to an appropriate	
recipient.	

8 - Subdivision, Development and Earthworks > 8.2 - Objectives and policies > 8.2.6 - Objective - Urban tree canopy cover

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
571.14	James Harwood		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
615.10	Analijia Thomas		Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
780.7	Josie Schroder		Support	Retain Objective 8.2.6 as notified.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.745	Support		Reject
814.81	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose Objective 8.2.6. Seek that this is deleted.	Accept
	Further submitter				
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.29	Support		Accept
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.911	Seek amendment		Accept
823.74	The Catholic		Oppose	Delete	Accept
	Diocese of				-
	Christchurch				
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch	FS2037.1306	Oppose		Reject
	Civic Trust				
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.247	Support		Accept
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1137	Seek amendment		Accept

8 - Subdivision, Development and Earthworks > 8.2 - Objectives and policies > 8.2.6 - Objective - Urban tree canopy cover > 8.2.6.1 - Policy - Contribution to tree canopy cover > 8.2.6.1 - Policy - Contribution to tree canopy cover

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
780.8	Josie Schroder		Support	Retain Policy 8.2.6.1 as notified.	Reject
	Further submitt	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.746	Support		Reject
814.82	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose Policy 8.2.6.1. Seek that this policy is deleted.	Accept
	Further submitt	er			
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.30	Support		Accept
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.912	Seek amendment		Accept
823.75	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	Delete	Accept
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1307	Oppose		Reject
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.248	Support		Accept
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1138	Seek amendment		Accept

8 - Subdivision, Development and Earthworks > 8.2 - Objectives and policies > 8.2.6 - Objective - Urban tree canopy cover > 8.2.6.2 - Policy - The cost of providing tree canopy cover and financial contributions

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
780.9	Josie Schroder		Support	Retain Policy 8.2.6.2 as notified.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch	FS2037.747	Support		Reject
	Civic Trust				
814.83	Carter Group		Oppose	Oppose Policy 8.2.6.2. Seek that this policy is deleted.	Accept
	Limited				

	Further submitte	er			
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.31	Support		Accept
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.913	Seek amendment		Accept
820.1	Knights Stream Estates Ltd		Oppose	Make clearer in the plan how the costs have been attributed and whether it is GST inclusive.	Accept
823.76	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	Delete	Accept
	Further submitte	Further submitter			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1308	Oppose		Reject
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.249	Support		Accept
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1139	Seek amendment		Accept

8 - Subdivision, Development and Earthworks > 8.2 - Objectives and policies > 8.2.6 - Objective - Urban tree canopy cover > 8.2.6.3 - Policy – Tree health and infrastructure

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
728.6	Sutherlands Estates Limited		Seek Amendment	Clarify how Council will enforce the tree canopy rules on individual properties & within their own road reserve network.How will compliance be measured?Will Council report on the compliance of the tree canopy rules and what projects the financial contributions go towards?	Accept in part
814.84	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose Policy 8.2.6.3. Seek that it is deleted.	Accept
	Further submitte	er			
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.32	Support		Accept
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.914	Seek amendment		Accept

819.3	Benrogan		Seek	Clarify how Council will enforce the tree canopy rules on individual properties &	Accept in part
	Estates Ltd		Amendment	within their own road reserve network.	
820.3	Knights Stream		Oppose	Clarify how Council will enforce the tree canopy rules on individual properties &	Accept in part
	Estates Ltd			within their own road reserve network.	
823.77	The Catholic		Oppose	Delete	Accept
	Diocese of				
	Christchurch				
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch	FS2037.1309	Oppose		Reject
	Civic Trust				
	Carter Group	FS2045.250	Support		Accept
	Limited				
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1140	Seek		Accept
			amendment		
878.9	Transpower		Seek	Amend Policy 8.2.6.3 as follows:	Reject
	New Zealand		Amendment		
	Limited			"a. Ensure that trees on the development site are planted in a position	
				appropriate to the tree type and in sufficient soil volume, width and depth to	
				maximise the tree's healthy growth while avoiding adverse effects on strategic	
				infrastructure"	
	Further submitte	er			
	Orion New	FS2056.7	Support		Reject
	Zealand Limited				
903.6	Danne Mora		Seek	Clarify how Council will enforce the tree canopy rules on individual properties &	Accept in part
	Limited		Amendment	within their own road reserve network.	
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch	FS2037.678	Support		Accept in part
	Civic Trust				

8 - Subdivision, Development and Earthworks > 8.3 – Administration

Submission	Submitter	Further	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
No		submission No			

834.116	Kāinga Ora — Homes and Communities		Oppose	Delete Section 6.10A and all associated provisions.	Reject
	Further submitt	er			
	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	FS2044.81	Support		Reject
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.85	Support		Reject
	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	FS2049.39	Support		Reject
	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS2052.20	Oppose		Accept
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.133	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.128	Support		Reject
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.5	Support		Reject

8 - Subdivision, Development and Earthworks > 8.3 - Administration > 8.3.1 - How to interpret and apply the rules

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
571.15	James Harwood		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
615.11	Analijia Thomas		Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
814.85	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose 8.3.1 (e) and (f). Seek that it is deleted.	Reject

	Further submitt	er			
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.33	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.915	Seek amendment		Reject
823.78	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	8.3.1 e) and f). Delete	Reject
	Further submitt	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1310	Oppose		Accept
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.251	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1141	Seek amendment		Reject
834.123	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities		Oppose	Clause 8.3.1(e)-(f) – how to apply to the rules Delete the provisions relating to the tree canopy financial contribution and associated tree canopy rules.	Reject
	Further submitt	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1314	Oppose		Accept
	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	FS2044.87	Support		Reject
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.91	Support		Reject
	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	FS2049.46	Support		Reject
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.139	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of	FS2064.134	Support		Reject

New Zealand			
Incorporated			
Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.9	Support	Reject

8 - Subdivision, Development and Earthworks > 8.3 - Administration > 8.3.3 - Development and financial contributions

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
814.86	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose 8.3.3(b). Seek that it is deleted.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.34	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.916	Seek amendment		Reject
820.2	Knights Stream Estates Ltd		Oppose	Make clearer in the plan how the costs have been attributed and whether it is GST inclusive.	Accept
823.79	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	Delete	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1311	Oppose		Accept
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.252	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1142	Seek amendment		Reject
834.124	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities		Oppose	Clause 8.3.3(b) – financial contributions Delete the provisions relating to the tree canopy financial contribution and associated tree canopy rules.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.15	Oppose		Accept
	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	FS2044.88	Support		Reject

	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.92	Support	Reject
	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	FS2049.47	Support	Reject
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.140	Support	Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.135	Support	Reject
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.10	Support	Reject

8 - Subdivision, Development and Earthworks > 8.3 - Administration > 8.3.7 - Consent notice

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
571.16	James Harwood		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
615.12	Analijia Thomas		Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
728.7	Sutherlands Estates Limited		Seek Amendment	Clarify how Council will enforce the tree canopy rules on individual properties & within their own road reserve network. How will compliance be measured? Will Council report on the compliance of the tree canopy rules and what projects the financial contributions go towards?	Accept in part
814.87	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose 8.3.7. Seek that it is deleted.	Reject
	Further submitte	r			
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.35	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.917	Seek amendment		Reject

819.4	Benrogan Estates Ltd		Seek Amendment	Clarify how Council will enforce the tree canopy rules on individual properties & within their own road reserve network. How will compliance be measured? Furthermore, will Council report on the compliance of the tree canopy rules and what projects the financial contributions go towards?	Accept in part
820.4	Knights Stream Estates Ltd		Oppose	Clarify how Council will enforce the tree canopy rules on individual properties & within their own road reserve network.	Accept in part
823.80	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	Delete	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1312	Oppose		Accept
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.253	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1143	Seek amendment		Reject
834.125	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities		Support	Delete the provisions relating to the tree canopy financial contribution and associated tree canopy rules	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.16	Oppose		Accept
	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	FS2044.89	Support		Reject
	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	FS2049.48	Support		Reject
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.141	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of	FS2064.136	Support		Reject

	New Zealand				
	Incorporated				
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.11	Support		Reject
903.7	Danne Mora		Seek	Clarify how Council will enforce the tree canopy rules on individual properties &	Accept in part
	Limited		Amendment	within their own road reserve network.	

8 - Subdivision, Development and Earthworks > 8.5 - Rules - Subdivision > 8.5.1 - Activity Status Tables

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
834.117	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities		Oppose	Delete Section 6.10A and all associated provisions	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	FS2044.82	Support		Reject
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.86	Support		Reject
	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	FS2049.40	Support		Reject
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.134	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.129	Support		Reject
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.6	Support		Reject

8 - Subdivision, Development and Earthworks > 8.5 - Rules - Subdivision > 8.5.1 - Activity Status Tables > 8.5.1.3 - Restricted discretionary activities

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
834.12 &	Kāinga Ora —		Support	RD 11 Subdivision of land	Accept
834.16	Homes and Communities			1. Retain the Sites of Ecological Significance qualifying matter.	
				2. Retain the Outstanding and Significant Natural Features qualifying matter.	
				3. Retain the Sites of Cultural Significance qualifying matter.	

8 - Subdivision, Development and Earthworks > 8.7 - Rules as to matters of control - subdivision > 8.7.12 - Tree canopy cover and financial contributions

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
571.17	James Harwood		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part Note, this submission was wrongly coded to Commercial chapter
571.18	James Harwood		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
571.23	James Harwood		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part Note, these
571.24	James Harwood		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	submission points was wrongly coded
571.25	James Harwood		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	to Commercial chapter, landscaping and trees rules
615.14	Analijia Thomas		Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
814.92	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose 8.7.12. Seek that it is deleted.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.38	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.922	Seek amendment		Reject

823.85	The Catholic		Oppose	Delete	Reject
	Diocese of				
	Christchurch				
	Further submitt	er			
	Christchurch	FS2037.1317	Oppose		Accept
	Civic Trust				
	Carter Group	FS2045.258	Support		Reject
	Limited				
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1148	Seek		Reject
			amendment		
834.118	Kāinga Ora —		Oppose	Delete Section 6.10A and all associated provisions.	Accept in part
	Homes and				
	Communities				
	Further submitt				
	Catholic	FS2044.83	Support		Accept in part
	Diocese of				
	Christchurch				
	Carter Group	FS2045.87	Support		Accept in part
	Limited				
	LMM	FS2049.41	Support		Accept in part
	Investments				
	2012 Limited				
	Ryman	FS2063.135	Support		Accept in part
	Healthcare				
	Limited				
	Retirement	FS2064.130	Support		Accept in part
	Village Association of				
	New Zealand				
	Incorporated				
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.7	Support		Accept in part
	Andrew	FS2081.17	Support		Accept in part
	McCarthy	132001.17	Support		Accept in part
	wiccartity			1	

834.126	Kāinga Ora — Homes and Communities		Oppose	Delete the provisions relating to the tree canopy financial contribution and associated tree canopy rules.	Accept in part
	Further submitt	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.17	Oppose		Accept in part
	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	FS2044.90	Support		Accept in part
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.94	Support		Accept in part
	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	FS2049.49	Support		Accept in part
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.142	Support		Accept in part
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.137	Support		Accept in part
	Red Spur Ltd	FS2068.12	Support		Accept in part

8 - Subdivision, Development and Earthworks > 8.9 - Rules - Earthworks > 8.9.2 - Activity status tables > 8.9.2.3 - Restricted discretionary activities

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
834.13 & 834.17	Kāinga Ora — Homes and Communities		Support	 RD5 Earthworks 1. Retain the Sites of Ecological Significance qualifying matter. 2. Retain the Outstanding and Significant Natural Features qualifying matter. 3. Retain the Sites of Cultural Significance qualifying matter. 	Accept
	Further submitte	r			

	Christchurch	FS2052.12	Oppose	Reject
	International			
	Airport Limited			

9 - Natural and Cultural Heritage > 9.1 - Indigenous Biodiversity and Ecosystems > 9.1.4 - Rules > 9.1.4.1 - Activity status tables > 9.1.4.1.1 - Permitted activities

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
834.9	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities		Support	 Retain the Sites of Ecological Significance qualifying matter. Retain the Outstanding and Significant Natural Features qualifying matter. Retain the Sites of Cultural Significance qualifying matter. 	Accept
	Further submitter				
	Amy Beran	FS2030.7	Support		Accept

9 - Natural and Cultural Heritage > 9.1 - Indigenous Biodiversity and Ecosystems > 9.1.4 - Rules > 9.1.4.1 - Activity status tables > 9.1.4.1.3 - Restricted discretionary activities

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
834.10	Kāinga Ora –		Support	1.Retain the Sites of Ecological Significance qualifying matter.	Accept
	Homes and			2. Retain the Outstanding and Significant Natural Features qualifying matter.	
	Communities			3. Retain the Sites of Cultural Significance qualifying matter.	

9 - Natural and Cultural Heritage > 9.1 - Indigenous Biodiversity and Ecosystems > 9.1.4 - Rules > 9.1.4.1 - Activity status tables > 9.1.4.1.5 - Non-complying activities

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
834.11	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities		Support	 Retain the Sites of Ecological Significance qualifying matter. Retain the Outstanding and Significant Natural Features qualifying matter. Retain the Sites of Cultural Significance qualifying matter. 	Accept
	Further submitter				
	Clare Dale	FS2029.1	Support		Accept

9 - Natural and Cultural Heritage > 9.2 - Landscapes and Natural Character > 9.2.4 - Rules - Landscape overlays - outstanding natural features and landscapes > 9.2.4.1 - Activity status table

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
834.14	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities		Support	 Retain the Sites of Ecological Significance qualifying matter. Retain the Outstanding and Significant Natural Features qualifying matter. Retain the Sites of Cultural Significance qualifying matter. 	Accept
155.3	Trudi Bishop		Oppose	There should be no more development allowed on the Port Hills, adjacent to Bowenvale Reserve and in Banks Peninsula	Accept in part

9 - Natural and Cultural Heritage > 9.4 - Significant and Other Trees > 9.4.4 - Rules > 9.4.4.1 - Activity status tables > 9.4.4.1.1 - Permitted activities

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
876.17	Alan Ogle		Support	Support the provisions for tree canopy and financial contributions, noting:	Accept in part
				1. Protections for trees, and incentives for planting more trees, should be part of the changes proposed in PC14.	
				2. Any financial contributions made to compensate for tree removal should be required to be spent in the area where trees are removed to, at least, replace what was there with equivalent planting.	
	Further submitte	er			
	Robert Broughton	FS2083.26	Support		Accept in part

9 - Natural and Cultural Heritage > 9.4 - Significant and Other Trees > 9.4.4 - Rules > 9.4.4.1 - Activity status tables > 9.4.4.1.2 - Controlled activities

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
876.18	Alan Ogle		Support	Support the provisions for tree canopy and financial contributions, noting: 1. Protections for trees, and incentives for planting more trees, should be part of the changes proposed in PC14.	Accept in part

Further submitter			2. Any financial contributions made to compensate for tree removal should be required to be spent in the area where trees are removed to, at least, replace what was there with equivalent planting.	
Robert Broughton	FS2083.27	Support		Accept in part

9 - Natural and Cultural Heritage > 9.4 - Significant and Other Trees > 9.4.4 - Rules > 9.4.4.1 - Activity status tables > 9.4.4.1.3 - Restricted discretionary activities

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
876.19	Alan Ogle		Support	Support the provisions for tree canopy and financial contributions, noting:	Accept in part
				1. Protections for trees, and incentives for planting more trees, should be part of the changes proposed in PC14.	
				2. Any financial contributions made to compensate for tree removal should be required to be spent in the area where trees are removed to, at least, replace what was there with equivalent planting.	
	Further submitt	ter			
	Robert Broughton	FS2083.28	Support		Accept in part

9 - Natural and Cultural Heritage > 9.4 - Significant and Other Trees > 9.4.4 - Rules > 9.4.4.1 - Activity status tables > 9.4.4.1.4 - Discretionary activities

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
876.20	Alan Ogle		Support	 Support the provisions for tree canopy and financial contributions, noting: 1. Protections for trees, and incentives for planting more trees, should be part of the changes proposed in PC14. 2. Any financial contributions made to compensate for tree removal should be required to be spent in the area where trees are removed to, at least, replace what was there with equivalent planting. 	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			

Robert	FS2083.29	Support	Accept in part
Broughton			

9 - Natural and Cultural Heritage > 9.5 - Ngai Tahu values and the natural environment > 9.5.4 - Rules > 9.5.4.1 - Activity status tables > 9.5.4.1.3 - Restricted discretionary activities - Wahi Tapu / Wahi Taonga

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
834.15	Kāinga Ora —		Support	1. Retain the Sites of Ecological Significance qualifying matter.	Accept
	Homes and Communities			2. Retain the Outstanding and Significant Natural Features qualifying matter.	
				3. Retain the Sites of Cultural Significance qualifying matter.	

13 - Specific Purpose Zones

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
207.4	Mitchell Cocking		Oppose	Reject the plan change	Reject

13 - Specific Purpose Zones > 13.2 - Specific Purpose (Cemetery) Zone > 13.2.4 - Rules - Specific Purpose (Cemetery) Zone > 13.2.4.1 - Activity status tables > 13.2.4.1.3 - Restricted discretionary activities

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
63.10	Kathleen Crisley		Support	Retain provisions in relation to recession planes in final plan decision.	Accept

13 - Specific Purpose Zones > 13.2 - Specific Purpose (Cemetery) Zone > 13.2.4 - Rules - Specific Purpose (Cemetery) Zone > 13.2.4.2 - Built form standards > 13.2.4.2 - Daylight recession planes

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
63.11	Kathleen Crisley		Support	Retain provisions in relation to recession planes in final plan decision.	Accept

13 - Specific Purpose Zones > 13.2 - Specific Purpose (Cemetery) Zone > 13.2.5 - Rules - Matters of discretion > 13.2.5.2 - Height, separation from neighbours and daylight recession planes

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
63.12	Kathleen Crisley		Support	Retain provisions in relation to recession planes in final plan decision.	Accept

13 - Specific Purpose Zones > 13.14 - Specific Purpose (Otakaro Avon River Corridor) Zone

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
671.3	Larissa Lilley		Support	Support high density housing in the Red Zone	Accept
834.34	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities		Oppose	 13.14 Specific Purpose (Ōtākaro Avon River Corridor)Zone – All provisions, including Appendix 13.14.6.2 specifying alternative zone provisions applicable to privately owned properties within the zone. Delete the Open Space (recreation zone) qualifying matter and any relevant provisions proposed in its entirety 	Reject
	Further submitt	er			
	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	FS2044.28	Support		Reject
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.27	Support		Reject
	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	FS2049.19	Support		Reject
	Mountfort Planning Limited	FS2070.7	Oppose		Accept

13 - Specific Purpose Zones > 13.14 - Specific Purpose (Otakaro Avon River Corridor) Zone > 13.14.4 - Rules - Specific Purpose (Otakaro Avon River Corridor) Zone > 13.14.4.1 - Activity status tables > 13.14.4.1.3 - Restricted discretionary activities

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
91.1	The Glenara Family Trust		Seek Amendment	Add to Rule 13.14.4.3 [Sic - 13.14.4.1.3], a Restricted Discretionary Activity status for the construction of residential activities on a site listed in Appendix 13.14.6.2 that do not comply in all respects with the applicable activity and built form standards, along with the appropriate matters of discretion. Such provisions could be modelled on Rule 14.5.1.3 RD15-31 for similar proposals in the Medium Density Residential Zone (MRZ).	Accept in part
91.2	The Glenara Family Trust		Seek Amendment	As an alternative, a provision could be made in Rule 13.14.4.1.3 for a single omnibus Restricted Discretionary Activity (RDA) that cross-refers to Rule 14.5.1.3 RD15-RD31. The Trust does not oppose a limitation of building height to 3 storeys or less on its land, so it does not seek the inclusion of RD14 from the list in Rule 14.5.1.3.	Accept in part

13 - Specific Purpose Zones > 13.14 - Specific Purpose (Otakaro Avon River Corridor) Zone > 13.14.4 - Rules - Specific Purpose (Otakaro Avon River Corridor) Zone > 13.14.4.2 - Built form standards > 13.14.4.2.8 - Recession planes

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
63.21	Kathleen Crisley		Support	Retain provisions in relation to recession planes in final plan decision.	Accept

14 - Residential

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
834.119	Kāinga Ora –		Oppose	Rules 14.4.2.– 14.11.2 – Residential Built Form Standards.	Accept in part
	Homes and			Delete Section 6.10A and all associated provisions.	
	Communities				

14 - Residential > 14.4 - Rules - Residential Suburban Zone and Residential Suburban Density Transition Zone > 14.4.2 - Built form standards > 14.4.2.2 - Tree and garden planting

Submission	Submitter	Further	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
No		submission No			

443.2	Summerset Group Holdings Limited		Seek Amendment	Amend all tree canopy provisions as they apply to residential zones within Christchurch City to specifically exclude retirement villages: b. For single and/or multi residential unit developments, other than multi-unit residential complexes and social housing complexes, <u>excluding retirement</u> <u>villages</u> a minimum tree canopy cover of 20% of the development site area must be provided in accordance with the Chapter 6.10A rules. The tree canopy cover planting area may be combined with the landscaping area in whole or in part, may be located on any part of the development site, and does not have to be associated with each residential unit	Reject
	Further submitte	r			
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.313	Oppose		Accept
571.19	James Harwood		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
615.15	Analijia Thomas		Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
814.152	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose Rule 14.4.2.2. Seek that this is deleted.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	r			
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.982	Seek amendment		Accept in part
823.122	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	Delete	Accept in part
	Further submitte	r			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1354	Oppose		Accept in part
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.295	Support		Accept in part
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.982	Seek amendment		Accept in part
834.170	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities		Oppose	Delete the proposed amendments and retain the Operative Plan rule	Accept in part
	Further submitte	r			

	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	FS2049.86	Support		Accept in part
835.9	Historic Places Canterbury		Support	The submitter supports all efforts to incentivise tree planting, including the canopy cover requirements relating to development and subdivision consents.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.596 & FS2037.694	Support		Accept in part
877.25	Otautahi Community Housing Trust		Seek Amendment	[Regarding 14.4.2.2] Delete the proposed amendments and retain the Operative Plan rule.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	Further submitter			
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1253 & FS2082.1321	Seek amendment		Accept in part

14 - Residential > 14.5 - Rules - Medium Density Residential Zone > 14.5.2 - Built form standards > 14.5.2.2 - Landscaped area and tree canopy cover

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
65.2	Ali McGregor		Seek Amendment	Encourage retention of tree canopy and green space on residential sites.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.131	Support		Accept in part
89.5	Andrew Evans		Oppose	Oppose the proposed provision 14.5.2.2c-e Landscaped area and tree canopy cover.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			Further submitter
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.161	Oppose		Accept in part
145.12	Te Mana Ora/Communit y and Public Health		Support	Te Mana Ora supports the different proposals to support tree cover in the Housing and Business Choice Plan Change Consultation Document, including to [] incentivise more tree planting, Financial Contributions, [].	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			

	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.207	Support		Accept in part
146.2	Julie Kidd		Support	[S]upport[s] as much being done as possible to maintain tree canopy cover.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.214	Support		Accept in part
233.2	Paul Clark		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
254.6	Emma Besley		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
261.2	Maia Gerard		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
262.2	Alfred Lang		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
263.2	Harley Peddie		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
264.2	Aaron Tily		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
265.2	John Bryant		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
266.2	Alex Hobson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
267.2	Justin Muirhead		Support	The council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
268.2	Clare Marshall		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
269.2	Yvonne Gilmore		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
270.2	Rob Harris		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
271.4	Pippa Marshall		Support	[S]seek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
272.8	Caitriona Cameron		Seek Amendment	"The proposal should increase minimum protection of green space and canopy cover. o All developments should include whatever green space is considered to be	Accept in part

				the minimum (i.e. no 'buying out'). o []	
	Further submitte	er 🛛			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.390	Support		Accept in part
273.2	lan Chesterman		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
274.2	Robert Fleming		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
342.2	Adrien Taylor		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
345.2	Monique Knaggs		Support	Seeks that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
346.2	George Laxton		Support	[Seek] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
347.2	Elena Sharkova		Support	I seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
350.2	Felix Harper		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopyrequirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
361.2	James Gardner		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
362.2	Cynthia Roberts		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
363.2	Peter Galbraith		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
364.2	John Reily		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
365.2	Andrew Douglas-Clifford		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
366.2	Olivia Doyle		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
370.4	Simon Fitchett		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part

371.2	Nkau Ferguson-	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and	Accept in part
	spence		contributions plan.	
372.2	Julia Tokumaru	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
373.4	Mark Stringer	Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
374.2	Michael Redepenning	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
375.2	Aidan Ponsonby	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
379.2	Indiana De Boo	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopyrequirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
384.2	Christopher Seay	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
387.2	Christopher Henderson	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
389.1	Emma Coumbe	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
391.2	Ezra Holder	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
392.2	Ella McFarlane	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
393.2	Sarah Laxton	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
394.2	Lesley Kettle	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
395.2	Emily Lane	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
415.7	Blake Thomas	Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
416.11	Anake Goodall	Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

443.3	Summerset		Seek	Amend all tree canopy provisions as they apply to residential zones within	Accept in part
	Group Holdings		Amendment	Christchurch City to specifically exclude retirement villages. For example	a secolo in logi a
	Limited			14.5.2.2 Tree and garden planting Landscaped area and tree canopy cover	
				c. For single and/or multi residential unit developments, excluding retirement villages, a minimum tree canopy cover of 20% of the development site area must be provided	
				f. All other sites shall include the minimum tree and garden planting as set out in the below table: For all non-residential activities and retirement villages , except permitted commercial activities int he Sumner Master plan Overlay	
	Further submitte	er			
	Clare Dale	FS2029.29	Support		Accept in part
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.314	Oppose		Accept in part
456.3	Michelle Alexandre		Support	Support more greenery, more trees	Accept in part
503.4	Jamie Lang		Support	Seeks that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
505.11	Jarred Bowden		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
506.4	Alex Mcmahon		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
510.8	Ewan McLennan		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
512.8	Harrison McEvoy		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
514.8	Ann Vanschevenste en		Support	The council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
515.2	Zachary Freiberg		Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
516.2	Jessica Nimmo		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
517.2	Alex McNeill		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

518.2	Sarah Meikle	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
519.21	James Carr	Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
520.2	Amelie Harris	Support	I seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
521.2	Thomas Garner	Support	I seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
522.2	Lisa Smailes	Support	I seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
523.11	Adam Currie	Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
524.2	Daniel Tredinnick	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
525.2	Gideon Hodge	Support	S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
527.2	Kaden Adlington	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
528.9	Kelsey Clousgon	Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
529.2	Daniel Carter	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
531.11	Claire Cox	Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
532.2	Albert Nisbet	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopyrequirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
533.2	Frederick Markwell	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
537.2	Matt Johnston	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part

551.5	Llopry Cood	C	Support the Tree Concert Cover rules and Einspriel Contributions to restore our	
551.5	Henry Seed	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
551.7	Henry Seed	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
552.5	David Moore	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
553.5	Josh Flores	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
554.5	Fraser Beckwith	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
555.5	James Cunniffe	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
557.13	Peter Beswick	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
558.4	Jan-Yves Ruzicka	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
559.5	Mitchell Tobin	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
560.5	Reece Pomeroy	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
562.5	Rob McNeur	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
563.2	Peter Cross	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

565.2	Angela Nathan		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
566.3	Bruce Chen		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
567.2	Mark Mayo		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
568.2	Hazel Shanks		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
569.2	Marcus Devine		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
570.2	Christine Albertson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
571.2	James Harwood		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
572.2	Yu Kai Lim		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
573.2	Jeff Louttit		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
574.2	Henry Bersani		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
575.2	Jeremy Ditzel		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
576.2	Juliette Sargeant		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
577.3	James Robinson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
578.2	Jamie Dawson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
580.11	Darin Cusack		Seek Amendment	[That] more green space [is] provided if there are any changes in additional housing density.	Accept in part
586.2	Joe Clowes		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.532	Support		Accept in part

587.2	Ciaran Mee	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
588.4	David Lee	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
589.2	Krystal Boland	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
590.2	Todd Hartshorn	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
591.2	Helen Jacka	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
594.6	Hao Ning Tan	Support	Seeks that the Council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
595.5	Logan Sanko	Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
596.5	Hayley Woods	Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
597.5	Karl Moffatt- Vallance	Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
598.5	Caleb Sixtus	Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
600.2	Maggie Lawson	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopyrequirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
601.5	Jack Hobern	Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
602.5	Devanh Patel	Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
603.5	Evan Ross	Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
604.5	Daniel Morris	Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
605.2	Benjamin Wilton	Support	I support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter			

	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1196	Support		Accept in part
606.5	Alanna Reid		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
611.4	Ailbhe Redmile		Support	Seek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
615.5	Analijia Thomas		Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
621.2	Loren Kennedy		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
622.2	Ella Herriot		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
623.6	Peter Dobbs		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy. Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
624.11	Daniel Scott		Support	[Supports] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy. Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
639.11	Rory Evans Fee		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
643.2	Keegan Phipps		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
646.2	Archie Manur		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
652.5	Declan Cruickshank		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
655.2	Daymian Johnson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
656.2	Francesca Teague- Wytenburg		Support	Seeks that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

658.11	Ben Thorpe		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
659.2	Lucy Wingrove		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
660.1	Bray Cooke		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	r			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1185	Support		Accept in part
661.11	Edward Parkes		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
662.11	Bryce Harwood		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy seek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
713.2	Girish Ramlugun		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
714.3	Russell Stewart		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
715.2	Sara Campbell		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
717.2	Jonty Coulson		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
718.2	Gareth Holler		Support	I support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

719.2	Andrew Cockburn		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
721.9	Ethan Pasco		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy seek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
727.9	Birdie Young		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
733.3	Michael Hall		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitt	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.971	Support		Accept in part
738.2	Pim Van Duin		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
752.2	Amanda Smithies		Support	support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitt	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.820	Support		Accept in part
753.2	Piripi Baker		Support	[Support] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
754.2	Alex Shaw		Support	Supports the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitt	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.817	Support		Accept in part
762.4	New Zealand Institute of Architects Canterbury Branch		Support	[Supports] [e]stablishing provisions that aim to encourage tree protection and planting through financial contributions.	Accept in part

	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.769	Support		Accept in part
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.545	Oppose		Reject
788.6	Marc Duff		Seek Amendment	Hornby should be exempt from the Tree Levy and Developers should be made to ensure density developments have a 20% tree canopy cover.	Reject
794.4	Greg Partridge		Oppose	The submitter opposes the reduction in Christchurch's tree canopy cover by housing intensification. The Council should seek an immediate amendment to the Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters Act to be implemented in order for regulations to be introduced that protect the city's tree canopy from being decimated by property developers.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.727	Support		Reject
798.8	Wolfbrook		Oppose	Delete the financial contribution provisions, which may require up to 40% landscaping on a site in conflict with the MDRS and the RMA	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.95	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.92	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.579	Support		Reject
811.53	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Inc		Seek Amendment	Amend Standard 14.5.2.2 as follows, to provide for retirement units and to remove the requirement for residential developments to provide tree canopy cover: 14.5.2.2 Landscaped area and tree canopy cover a. A residential unit or retirement unit at ground floor level must have a landscaped area of a minimum of 20% of a developed site with grass or plants, and can include the canopy of trees regardless of the ground treatment below them.	Accept in part

	Further submitte			b. The landscaped area may be located on any part of the development site, and does not need to be associated with each residential unit <u>or retirement</u> <u>unit</u> . c [remove remainder of standard] d f	
	Brighton	FS2092.27	Support		Accept in part
	Observatory of Environment and Economics	F32092.27	Support		Accept in part
	Summerset Group Holdings Limited	FS2097.48	Support		Accept in part
814.155	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose 14.5.2.2 (c)-(e). Seek that these be deleted.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.985	Seek amendment		Accept in part
823.124	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	14.5.2.2 c) - e). Delete all new or amended provisions, to the extent that they conflict with or are less enabling than the mandatory MDRS and/or impose additional constraints relative to the status quo.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1356	Oppose		Accept in part
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.297	Support		Accept in part
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1080	Seek amendment		Accept in part
832.2	Finn Jackson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
834.181	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities		Oppose	Delete rule and replace with the following: <u>14.5.2.2 landscaped area.</u>	Reject

	Further submitte	er		(1) A residential unit at ground floor level must have a landscaped area of a minimum of 20% of a developed site with grass or plants, and can include the canopy of trees regardless of the ground treatment below them. 2. The landscaped area may be located on any part of the development site, and does not need to be associated with each residential unit. 3. Non-residential activities must have a landscaped area of a minimum of 20% of a developed site with grass or plants, and can include the canopy of trees regardless of the ground treatment below them.	
	Christchurch Civic Trust LMM	FS2037.26 FS2049.97	Support Support		Reject Reject
	Investments 2012 Limited				
835.10	Historic Places Canterbury		Support	The submitter supports all efforts to incentivise tree planting, including the canopy cover requirements relating to development and subdivision consents.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.597 & FS2037.695	Support		Accept in part
837.2	Sylvia Maclaren		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
839.2	Jacinta O'Reilly		Support	[S]eek[s]that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
840.2	Rosa Shaw		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
841.2	Jess Gaisford		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
843.2	Allan Taunt		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
844.2	Hayden Smythe		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
846.4	Lauren Bonner		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
847.2	Will Struthers		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

877.19	Otautahi Community Housing Trust		Seek Amendment	Delete the provisions relating to the tree canopy financial contribution and associated tree canopy rules.	Accept in part
	Further submitt	Further submitter			
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.176	Support		Accept in part
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.170	Support		Accept in part
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1247 & FS2082.1315	Support		Accept in part
877.27	Otautahi Community Housing Trust		Seek Amendment	 [Regarding 14.5.2.2] Delete rule and replace with the following: 14.5.2.2 landscaped area. (1) A residential unit at ground floor level must have a landscaped area of a minimum of 20% of a developed site with grass or plants, and can include the canopy of trees regardless of the ground treatment below them. 2. The landscaped area may be located on any part of the development site, and does not need to be associated with each residential unit. 3. Non-residential activities must have a landscaped area of a minimum of 20% of a developed site with grass or plants, and can include the canopy of trees regardless of the ground treatment below them. 	Reject
	Further submitt	er			
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1255 & FS2082.1323	Support		Reject
918.2	Geoff Banks		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
1049.2	Dylan Lange		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

TREE CANOPY COVER-FINANCIAL CONTRIBUTIONS/ PUBLIC OPEN SPACE QM/ SES, SCS, ONL, ONF, WATER BODY SETBACKS QM 14 - Residential > 14.6 - Rules - High Density Residential Zone > 14.6.2 - Built form standards > 14.6.2.7 - Landscaped area and tree canopy cover

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
65.4	Ali McGregor		Seek Amendment	Encourage retention of tree canopy and green space on residential sites.	Accept in part
	Further submitter	r			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.133	Support		Accept in part
89.18	Andrew Evans		Oppose	Oppose the proposed provisions 14.6.2.7d-f Landscaped area and tree canopy	Accept in part
				Seek amendment to 14.6.2.7c: alter clause to 'The 20% landscaped area may be	
				provided as a sum across the site, as long as there is a minimum dimension of 0.45m . (was 0.6m).	
145.13	Te Mana Ora/Community and Public Health		Support	Te Mana Ora supports the different proposals to support tree cover in the Housing and Business Choice Plan Change Consultation Document, including to update tree setbacks to better protect individual trees and to incentivise more tree planting, Financial Contributions, and the Schedule of Significant and Other Trees becoming a Qualifying matter.	Accept in part
146.3	Julie Kidd		Support	[S]upport[s] as much being done as possible to maintain tree canopy cover.	Accept in part
233.3	Paul Clark		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
237.37	Marjorie Manthei		Support	[Retain 14.6.2.7]	Accept in part
254.7	Emma Besley		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
261.3	Maia Gerard		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
262.3	Alfred Lang		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
263.3	Harley Peddie		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
264.3	Aaron Tily		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

265.3	John Bryant		[Slock[c] that the council retains the tree capery requirement and contributions	
203.3	John Bryant	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
266.3	Alex Hobson	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
267.3	Justin Muirhead	Support	The council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
268.3	Clare Marshall	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
269.3	Yvonne Gilmore	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
270.3	Rob Harris	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
271.3	Pippa Marshall	Support	[S]seek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
272.9	Caitriona Cameron	Seek Amendment	The proposal should increase minimum protection of green space and canopy cover. o All developments should include whatever green space is considered to be the minimum (i.e. no 'buying out'). o []	Accept in part
273.3	lan Chesterman	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
274.3	Robert Fleming	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
342.3	Adrien Taylor	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
345.3	Monique Knaggs	Support	Seeks that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
346.3	George Laxton	Support	[Seek] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
347.3	Elena Sharkova	Support	I seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
350.3	Felix Harper	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopyrequirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
361.3	James Gardner	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter			

	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.294	Support		Accept in part
362.3	Cynthia Roberts		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
363.3	Peter Galbraith		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
364.3	John Reily		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
365.3	Andrew Douglas- Clifford		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
366.3	Olivia Doyle		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
370.3	Simon Fitchett		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
371.3	Nkau Ferguson- spence		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
372.3	Julia Tokumaru		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
373.3	Mark Stringer		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
374.3	Michael Redepenning		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
375.3	Aidan Ponsonby		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
379.3	Indiana De Boo		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopyrequirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
384.3	Christopher Seay		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
387.3	Christopher Henderson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
389.11	Emma Coumbe		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
391.3	Ezra Holder		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

392.3	Ella McFarlane		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
393.3	Sarah Laxton		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
394.3	Lesley Kettle		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
395.3	Emily Lane		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
415.6	Blake Thomas		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
416.10	Anake Goodall		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
443.4	Summerset Group Holdings Limited		Seek Amendment	Amend 14.6.2.7 as follows: d. For single and/or multi residential unit developments, excluding retirement villages a minimum tree canopy cover of 20% of the development site area must be provided in accordance with the Chapter 6.10A rules. The tree canopy cover planting area may be combined with the landscaping area in whole or in part, may be located on any part of the development site, and does not have to be associated with each residential unit	Accept in part
	Further submitter	r			
	Clare Dale	FS2029.30	Support		Accept in part
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.315	Oppose		Accept in part
456.4	Michelle Alexandre		Support	Support more greenery, more trees	Accept in part
503.5	Jamie Lang		Support	Seeks that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter	r			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.501	Support		Accept in part

505.10	Jarred Bowden		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
506.5	Alex Mcmahon		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.507	Support		Accept in part
510.9	Ewan McLennan		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
512.9	Harrison McEvoy		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
514.9	Ann Vanschevensteen		Support	The council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
515.3	Zachary Freiberg		Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
516.3	Jessica Nimmo		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
517.3	Alex McNeill		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
518.3	Sarah Meikle		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
519.20	James Carr		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
520.3	Amelie Harris		Support	I seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
521.3	Thomas Garner		Support	I seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
522.3	Lisa Smailes		Support	I seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
523.10	Adam Currie		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

524.3	Daniel Tredinnick	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
525.3	Gideon Hodge	Support	Seeks that Council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
527.3	Kaden Adlington	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
528.8	Kelsey Clousgon	Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
529.3	Daniel Carter	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
531.10	Claire Cox	Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
532.3	Albert Nisbet	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
533.3	Frederick Markwell	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
537.3	Matt Johnston	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
551.8	Henry Seed	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
552.6	David Moore	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
553.6	Josh Flores	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
554.6	Fraser Beckwith	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
555.6	James Cunniffe	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

557.14	Peter Beswick	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
558.5	Jan-Yves Ruzicka	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
559.6	Mitchell Tobin	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
560.6	Reece Pomeroy	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
562.6	Rob McNeur	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
563.13	Peter Cross	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
565.3	Angela Nathan	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
566.4	Bruce Chen	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
567.3	Mark Mayo	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
568.3	Hazel Shanks	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
569.3	Marcus Devine	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
570.3	Christine Albertson	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
571.3	James Harwood	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
572.3	Yu Kai Lim	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

573.3	Jeff Louttit		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
574.3	Henry Bersani		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
575.3	Jeremy Ditzel		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
576.3	Juliette Sargeant		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
577.4	James Robinson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
578.3	Jamie Dawson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
586.3	Joe Clowes		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter	Further submitter			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.533	Support		Accept in part
587.3	Ciaran Mee		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
588.5	David Lee		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
589.3	Krystal Boland		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
590.3	Todd Hartshorn		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
591.3	Helen Jacka		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
594.7	Hao Ning Tan		Support	Seeks that the Council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
595.6	Logan Sanko		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
596.6	Hayley Woods		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
597.6	Karl Moffatt- Vallance		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

598.6	Caleb Sixtus		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
600.3	Maggie Lawson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopyrequirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
601.6	Jack Hobern		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
602.6	Devanh Patel		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
603.6	Evan Ross		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
604.6	Daniel Morris		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
605.3	Benjamin Wilton		Support	I support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter	Further submitter			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1214	Support		Accept in part
606.6	Alanna Reid		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
611.5	Ailbhe Redmile		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
615.6	Analijia Thomas		Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
621.3	Loren Kennedy		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
622.3	Ella Herriot		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
623.7	Peter Dobbs		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy. Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

624.4	Daniel Scott		Support	[Supports] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy. Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
639.10	Rory Evans Fee		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
643.3	Keegan Phipps		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
646.3	Archie Manur		Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
652.6	Declan Cruickshank		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
655.3	Daymian Johnson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
656.3	Francesca Teague- Wytenburg		Support	Seeks that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
658.10	Ben Thorpe		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	r			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1195	Support		Accept in part
659.3	Lucy Wingrove		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
660.3	Bray Cooke		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
661.10	Edward Parkes		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
662.10	Bryce Harwood		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy seek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

664.3	Catherine & Peter Morrison	Oppose	Require minimum tree cover. Oppose financial contributions as mitigation.	Accept in part
685.61	Canterbury / Westland Branch of Architectural Designers NZ	Seek Amendment	Develop a mechanism where public property can accommodate tree planting, for example a financial contribution to aid in street planting upgrades in lieu of building setbacks.	Accept in part
713.3	Girish Ramlugun	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
714.2	Russell Stewart	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
715.3	Sara Campbell	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
717.3	Jonty Coulson	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
718.3	Gareth Holler	Support	I support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
719.3	Andrew Cockburn	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
720.31	Mitchell Coll	Support	Develop a mechanism where public property can accommodate tree planting, for example a financial contribution to aid in street planting upgrades in lieu of building setbacks.	Accept in part
721.10	Ethan Pasco	Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy seek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
727.10	Birdie Young	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
733.4	Michael Hall	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter			

	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.972	Support		Accept in part
738.3	Pim Van Duin		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
752.3	Amanda Smithies		Support	support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.821	Support		Accept in part
753.3	Piripi Baker		Support	[Support] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
754.3	Alex Shaw		Support	Supports the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.818	Support		Accept in part
762.5	New Zealand Institute of Architects Canterbury Branch		Support	[Supports] [e]stablishing provisions that aim to encourage tree protection and planting through financial contributions.	Accept in part
	Further submitte				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.770	Support		Accept in part
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.546	Oppose		Accept in part
762.26	New Zealand Institute of Architects Canterbury Branch		Seek Amendment	[Amend text to address spelling mistake in rule 14.6.2.7 g.ii "lanscaping"]	Accept
	Further submitte	er			

	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.791	Support		Accept
788.5	Marc Duff		Seek Amendment	Hornby should be exempt from the Tree Levy and Developers should be made to ensure density developments have a 20% tree canopy cover.	Reject
794.5	Greg Partridge		Oppose	The submitter opposes the reduction in Christchurch's tree canopy cover by housing intensification. The Council should seek an immediate amendment to the Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters Act to be implemented in order for regulations to be introduced that protect the city's tree canopy from being decimated by property developers.	Reject
	Further submitte	r			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.728	Support		Reject
798.9	Wolfbrook		Oppose	delete the financial contribution provisions, which may require up to 40% landscaping on a site in conflict with the MDRS and the RMA	Reject
	Further submitte	Further submitter			
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.96	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.93	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.580	Support		Reject
811.73	811.73 Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Inc		Support	[Retain Standard 14.6.2.7 as notified]	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Summerset Group Holdings Limited	FS2097.67	Support		Accept in part
814.177	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Oppose Rule 14.6.2.7. Seek that this is deleted.	Accept in part

	Further submitter	•			
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1007	Seek amendment		Accept in part
823.143	The Catholic Diocese of Christchurch		Oppose	Delete	Accept in part
	Further submitter	•			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1375	Oppose		Accept in part
	Carter Group Limited	FS2045.316	Support		Accept in part
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1159	Seek amendment		Accept in part
832.3	Finn Jackson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
834.121	Kāinga Ora — Homes and Communities		Oppose	Delete Section 6.10A and all associated provisions.	Reject
	Further submitter	•			
	Catholic Diocese of Christchurch	FS2044.86	Support		Reject
	LMM Investments 2012 Limited	FS2049.44	Support		Reject
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.138	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.133	Support		Reject
834.224	Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities		Seek Amendment	Delete [standard] and replace with the following: <u>14.5.2.2 landscaped area</u>	Reject

				(1) A verification with at ground floor level wavet have a level as a second and a floor	
				(1) A residential unit at ground floor level must have a landscaped area of a	
				minimum of 20% of a developed site with grass or plants, and can include the	
				canopy of trees regardless of the ground treatment below them.	
				2. The landscaped area may be located on any part of the development site,	
				and does not need to be associated with each residential unit.	
				3. Non-residential activities must have a landscaped area of a minimum of 20%	
				of a developed site with grass or plants, and can include the canopy of trees	
				regardless of the ground treatment below them.	
	Further submitter	r			
	Geoffrey Banks	FS2018.2	Oppose		Accept
	LMM	FS2049.144	Support		Reject
	Investments				,
	2012 Limited				
835.11	Historic Places		Not Stated	The submitter supports all efforts to incentivise tree planting, including the	Accept in part
000.11	Canterbury		Nototated	canopy cover requirements relating to development and subdivision consents.	, teeche bare
	Further submitter	r		canopy cover requirements relating to development and subdivision consents.	
	Christchurch	FS2037.598	Support		Accort in part
			Support		Accept in part
	Civic Trust	and			
		FS2037.696			
837.3	Sylvia Maclaren		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions	Accept in part
				plan.	
839.3	Jacinta O'Reilly		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions	Accept in part
				plan.	
840.3	Rosa Shaw		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions	Accept in part
				plan.	
841.3	Jess Gaisford		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions	Accept in part
				plan.	
843.3	Allan Taunt		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions	Accept in part
				plan.	
844.3	Hayden Smythe		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions	Accept in part
01110	nayaen oniyene		Sapport	plan.	, teeche bare
846.5	Lauren Bonner		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions	Accept in part
0-0.5			Support	plan.	
847.3	Will Struthers		Support		Accort in part
647.3	will struthers		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions	Accept in part
				plan.	

877.18	Otautahi Community Housing Trust		Seek Amendment	Delete the provisions relating to the tree canopy financial contribution and associated tree canopy rules.	Accept in part
	Further submitter	r			
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.175	Support		Accept in part
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.169	Support		Accept in part
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1246 & FS2082.1314	Seek amendment		Accept in part
877.30	Otautahi Community Housing Trust		Seek Amendment	 [Regarding 14.6.2.7] Delete rule and replace with the following: 14.5.2.2 landscaped area (1)A residential unit atground floor level must have a landscaped area of a minimum of 20% of adeveloped site with grass or plants, and can include the canopy of treesregardless of the ground treatment below them. 2.The landscaped area may be located on any part of the development site, anddoes not need to be associated with each residential unit. 3. Non-residentialactivities must have a landscaped area of a minimum of 20% of a developed sitewith grass or plants, and can include the canopy of trees regardless of the ground treatment below them. 	Reject
	Further submitter	ſ			
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1258 & FS2082.1326	Seek amendment		Reject
918.3	Geoff Banks		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
1049.3	Dylan Lange		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

14 - Residential > 14.7 - Rules - Residential Hills Zone > 14.7.2 - Built form standards > 14.7.2.13 - Tree canopy cover and financial contributions

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
443.5	Summerset Group Holdings Limited		Seek Amendment	Amend 14.7.2.13 as follows: a. For single and/or multi residential unit developments, excluding retirement villages a minimum tree canopy cover of 20% of the development site area must be provided in accordance with the Chapter 6.10A rules. The tree canopy cover planting area may be combined with the landscaping area in whole or in part, may be located on any part of the development site, and does not have to be associated with each residential unit.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.316	Oppose		Accept
571.20	James Harwood		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
615.16	Analijia Thomas		Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

14 - Residential > 14.9 - Rules - Residential Large Lot Zone > 14.9.2 - Built form standards > 14.9.2.13 - Tree and garden planting

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
443.6	Summerset Group Holdings Limited		Seek Amendment	 Amend 14.9.2.13 as follows: a. Within the Rural Hamlet Precinct, for multi-unit residential complexes and social housing complexes only <u>and excluding retirement villages</u>, development sites shall include the following minimum tree and garden planting: b. For single and/or multi residential unit developments, other than multi-unit residential complexes and social housing complexes, <u>and excluding retirement villages</u> a minimum tree canopy cover of 20% of the development site area must be provided in accordance with the Chapter 6.10A rules. The tree canopy cover planting area may be combined with the landscaping area in whole or in part, may be located on any part of the development site, and does not have to be associated with each residential unit. 	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.317	Oppose		Accept

571.21	James	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and	Accept in part
	Harwood		contributions plan.	

14 - Residential > 14.11 - Rules - Residential Visitor Accommodation Zone > 14.11.2 - Built form standards > 14.11.2.8 - Landscaped areas and trees

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
443.7	Summerset Group Holdings Limited		Seek Amendment	Amend 14.11.2.8 to exclude retirement villages.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.318	Oppose		Accept

14 - Residential > 14.12 - Rules - Future Urban Zone > 14.12.2 - Built form standards > 14.12.2.7 - Landscaping and tree canopy cover

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
233.4	Paul Clark		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
254.8	Emma Besley		Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
261.4	Maia Gerard		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
262.4	Alfred Lang		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
263.4	Harley Peddie		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
264.4	Aaron Tily		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
265.4	John Bryant		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
266.4	Alex Hobson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
267.4	Justin Muirhead		Support	The council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

268.4	Clare Marshall	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
269.4	Yvonne Gilmore	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
270.4	Rob Harris	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
271.2	Pippa Marshall	Support	[S]seek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
272.10	Caitriona Cameron	Seek Amendment	The proposal should increase minimum protection of green space and canopy cover. o All developments should include whatever green space is considered to be the minimum (i.e. no 'buying out'). o []	Accept in part
273.4	lan Chesterman	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
274.4	Robert Fleming	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
342.4	Adrien Taylor	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
345.4	Monique Knaggs	Support	Seeks that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
346.4	George Laxton	Support	[Seek] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
347.4	Elena Sharkova	Support	I seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
350.10	Felix Harper	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
361.9	James Gardner	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
362.13	Cynthia Roberts	Support	[S]eek[s] that the Council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
363.4	Peter Galbraith	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
364.4	John Reily	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

365.4	Andrew Douglas- Clifford	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
366.4	Olivia Doyle	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
370.2	Simon Fitchett	Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
371.4	Nkau Ferguson- spence	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
372.4	Julia Tokumaru	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
373.2	Mark Stringer	Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
374.4	Michael Redepenning	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
375.4	Aidan Ponsonby	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
379.4	Indiana De Boo	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
384.4	Christopher Seay	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
387.4	Christopher Henderson	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
389.2	Emma Coumbe	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
391.4	Ezra Holder	Support	[S]eek[s] that the councilretains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
392.4	Ella McFarlane	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
394.4	Lesley Kettle	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
395.4	Emily Lane	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

415.5	Blake Thomas		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
416.9	Anake Goodall		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
443.8	Summerset Group Holdings Limited		Seek Amendment	Amend 14.12.2.7 as follows: d. For single and/or multi residential unit developments, excluding retirement villages a minimum tree canopy cover of 20% of the development site area must be provided in accordance with the Chapter 6.10A rules. The tree canopy cover planting area may be combined with the landscaping area in whole or in part, may be located on any part of the development site, and does not have to be associated with each residential unit.	Reject
	Further submitter				
503.6	Kāinga Ora Jamie Lang	FS2082.319	Oppose Support	Seeks that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept Accept in part
505.9	Jarred Bowden		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
506.6	Alex Mcmahon		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
510.10	Ewan McLennan		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
512.10	Harrison McEvoy		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
514.10	Ann Vanschevenste en		Support	The council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
515.4	Zachary Freiberg		Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
516.4	Jessica Nimmo		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

517.4	Alex McNeill	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
518.4	Sarah Meikle	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
519.19	James Carr	Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
520.4	Amelie Harris	Support	I seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
521.4	Thomas Garner	Support	I seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
522.4	Lisa Smailes	Support	I seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
523.9	Adam Currie	Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
524.4	Daniel Tredinnick	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
525.4	Gideon Hodge	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
527.4	Kaden Adlington	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
528.7	Kelsey Clousgon	Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
529.4	Daniel Carter	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
531.9	Claire Cox	Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
532.4	Albert Nisbet	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
533.4	Frederick Markwell	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

537.4	Matt Johnston	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
551.9	Henry Seed	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
552.7	David Moore	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
553.7	Josh Flores	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
554.7	Fraser Beckwith	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
555.7	James Cunniffe	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
557.15	Peter Beswick	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
558.6	Jan-Yves Ruzicka	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
559.7	Mitchell Tobin	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
560.7	Reece Pomeroy	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
562.7	Rob McNeur	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
563.3	Peter Cross	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

565.4	Angela Nathan		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
566.5	Bruce Chen		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
567.4	Mark Mayo		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
568.4	Hazel Shanks		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
569.4	Marcus Devine		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
570.4	Christine Albertson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
571.4	James Harwood		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
572.4	Yu Kai Lim		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
573.4	Jeff Louttit		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
574.4	Henry Bersani		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
575.4	Jeremy Ditzel		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
576.4	Juliette Sargeant		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
577.5	James Robinson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
578.4	Jamie Dawson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
586.4	Joe Clowes		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitt	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.534	Support		Accept in part
587.4	Ciaran Mee		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

588.6	David Lee		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
589.4	Krystal Boland		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
590.4	Todd Hartshorn		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
591.4	Helen Jacka		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
594.8	Hao Ning Tan		Support	Seeks that the Council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan	Accept in part
595.7	Logan Sanko		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
596.7	Hayley Woods		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
597.7	Karl Moffatt- Vallance		Support	Seeks that the Council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
598.7	Caleb Sixtus		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
600.4	Maggie Lawson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
601.7	Jack Hobern		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
602.7	Devanh Patel		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
603.7	Evan Ross		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
604.7	Daniel Morris		Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
605.4	Benjamin Wilton		Support	I support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1215	Support		Accept in part

606.7	Alanna Reid	Support	[S]eek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
611.6	Ailbhe Redmile	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
615.7	Analijia Thomas	Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
621.4	Loren Kennedy	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
622.4	Ella Herriot	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
623.8	Peter Dobbs	Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy. Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
624.5	Daniel Scott	Support	[Supports] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy. Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
639.9	Rory Evans Fee	Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
643.4	Keegan Phipps	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
646.4	Archie Manur	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
652.7	Declan Cruickshank	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
655.4	Daymian Johnson	Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
656.4	Francesca Teague- Wytenburg	Support	Seeks that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
658.9	Ben Thorpe	Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

	Further submitte	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.1194	Support		Accept in part	
659.4	Lucy Wingrove		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part	
660.4	Bray Cooke		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part	
661.9	Edward Parkes		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopyseek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part	
662.9	Bryce Harwood		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy seek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part	
713.4	Girish Ramlugun		Support	I support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part	
714.4	Russell Stewart		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part	
715.4	Sara Campbell		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part	
717.4	Jonty Coulson		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part	
718.4	Gareth Holler		Support	I support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part	
719.4	Andrew Cockburn		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part	

721.11	Ethan Pasco		Support	[S]upport[s] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy seek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
727.11	Birdie Young		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
733.5	Michael Hall		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitt	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.973	Support		Accept in part
738.4	Pim Van Duin		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
752.4	Amanda Smithies		Support	support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitt	Further submitter			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.822	Support		Accept in part
753.4	Piripi Baker		Support	[Support] the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
754.4	Alex Shaw		Support	Support the Tree Canopy Cover rules and Financial Contributions to restore our tree canopy and seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitt	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.816	Support		Accept in part
762.6	New Zealand Institute of Architects Canterbury Branch		Support	[Supports] [e]stablishing provisions that aim to encourage tree protection and planting through financial contributions.	Accept in part
	Further submitt	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.771	Support		Accept in part

	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.547	Oppose		Accept in part
762.8	New Zealand Institute of Architects Canterbury Branch		Seek Amendment	[Supports] [e]stablishing provisions that aim to encourage tree protection and planting through financial contributions.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.773	Support		Accept in part
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.549	Oppose		Accept in part
798.10	Wolfbrook		Oppose	delete the financial contribution provisions, which may require up to 40% landscaping on a site in conflict with the MDRS and the RMA	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.97	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.94	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.581	Support		Reject
832.4	Finn Jackson		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
837.4	Sylvia Maclaren		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
839.4	Jacinta O'Reilly		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
840.4	Rosa Shaw		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
841.4	Jess Gaisford		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
843.4	Allan Taunt		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

844.4	Hayden Smythe		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
846.6	Lauren Bonner		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
847.4	Will Struthers		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
877.17	Otautahi Community Housing Trust		Seek Amendment	Delete the provisions relating to the tree canopy financial contribution and associated tree canopy rules.	Reject
	Further submitte	er			
	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2063.174	Support		Reject
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2064.168	Support		Reject
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1245 & FS2082.1313	Seek amendment		Reject
918.4	Geoff Banks		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
1049.4	Dylan Lange		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

14 - Residential > 14.13 - Rules - Enhanced Development Mechanism > 14.13.3 - Built form standards > 14.13.3.9 - Landscaping and tree planting

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
571.22	James Harwood		Support	[S]eek[s] that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part
615.17	Analijia Thomas		Support	Seek that the council retains the tree canopy requirement and contributions plan.	Accept in part

18 - Open Space

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
834.33	Kāinga Ora –		Oppose	18.4-18.9, 6.1A[sic] Qualifying matters.	Reject
	Homes and			Delete the Open Space (recreation zone) qualifying matter and any relevant	
	Communities			provisions proposed in its entirety.	
	Further submitte	er			
	Halswell	FS2027.11	Oppose		Accept
	Hornby				
	Riccarton				
	Community				
	Board				
	Catholic	FS2044.27	Support		Reject
	Diocese of				
	Christchurch				
	Carter Group	FS2045.26	Support		Reject
	Limited				
	LMM	FS2049.18	Support		Reject
	Investments				
	2012 Limited				

18 - Open Space > 18.4 - Rules - Open Space Community Parks Zone > 18.4.2 - Built form standards - Open Space Community Parks Zone > 18.4.2.5 - Recession planes

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
63.70	Kathleen Crisley		Support	Retain provisions in relation to recession planes in final plan decision.	Accept

18 - Open Space > 18.5 - Rules - Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone > 18.5.2 - Built form standards - Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone > 18.5.2.5 - Recession planes

Submission	Submitter	Further	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
No		submission No			

63.71	Kathleen Crisley		Support	Retain provisions in relation to recession planes in final plan decision.	Accept
-------	---------------------	--	---------	---	--------

18 - Open Space > 18.5 - Rules - Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone > 18.5.4 - Area-specific rules - Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone (Temporary Christchurch Stadium) > 18.5.4.2 - Area-specific built form standards – Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone (Temporary Christchurch Stadium) > 18.5.4.2 - Area-specific built form standards – Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone (Temporary Christchurch Stadium) > 18.5.4.2 - Area-specific built form standards – Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone (Temporary Christchurch Stadium) > 18.5.4.2 - Area-specific built form standards – Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone (Temporary Christchurch Stadium) > 18.5.4.2 - Area-specific built form standards – Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone (Temporary Christchurch Stadium) > 18.5.4.2 - Area-specific built form standards – Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone (Temporary Christchurch Stadium) > 18.5.4.2 - Area-specific built form standards – Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone (Temporary Christchurch Stadium) > 18.5.4.2 - Area-specific built form standards – Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone (Temporary Christchurch Stadium) > 18.5.4.2 - Area-specific built form standards – Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone (Temporary Christchurch Stadium) > 18.5.4.2 - Area-specific built form standards – Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone (Temporary Christchurch Stadium) > 18.5.4.2 - Area-specific built form standards – Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone (Temporary Christchurch Stadium) > 18.5.4.2 - Area-specific built form standards – Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone (Temporary Christchurch Stadium) > 18.5.4.2 - Area-specific built form standards – Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone (Temporary Christchurch Stadium) > 18.5.4.2 - Area-specific built form standards – Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone (Temporary Christchurch Stadium) > 18.5.4.2 - Area-specific built form standards – Open Space Metropolitan Facilities Zone (Temporary Christchurch Stadium) > 18.5.4.2 - Area-spec

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
63.72	Kathleen Crisley		Support	Retain provisions in relation to recession planes in final plan decision.	Accept

18 - Open Space > 18.7 - Rules - Open Space Natural Zone > 18.7.1 - Activity status tables - Open Space Natural Zone > 18.7.1.3 - Restricted discretionary activities

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
63.73	Kathleen Crisley		Support	Retain provisions in relation to recession planes in final plan decision.	Accept

18 - Open Space > 18.7 - Rules - Open Space Natural Zone > 18.7.2 - Built form standards - Open Space Natural Zone > 18.7.2.4 - Recession planes

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
63.74	Kathleen Crisley		Support	Retain provisions in relation to recession planes in final plan decision.	Accept

18 - Open Space > 18.8 - Rules - Open Space Water and Margins Zone and Avon River Precinct/Te Papa Otakaro Zone > 18.8.2 - Built form standards - Open Space Water and Margins Zone and Avon River Precinct/Te Papa Otakaro Zone > 18.8.2.4 - Recession planes

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
63.75	Kathleen Crisley		Support	Retain provisions in relation to recession planes in final plan decision.	Accept

18 - Open Space > 18.10 - Matters of discretion > 18.10.18 - Recession planes

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
63.76	Kathleen Crisley		Support	Retain provisions in relation to recession planes in final plan decision.	Accept

19 - Planning Maps

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
2.4	Greg Olive		Seek Amendment	Delete Qualifying Matter Open Space/ Waterbody from 65 and 67 Richmond Avenue.	Accept in part
244.7	Harvey Armstrong		Seek Amendment	Remove ONL from 75 Aldersons Ave.	Reject
579.2	Gareth Bailey		Seek Amendment	Exclude properties within waterway setbacks from MDRZ classification.	Reject
903.45	Danne Mora Limited		Seek Amendment	The waterbodies on the planning maps to be identified as 'indicative locations only' or alternatively to show them in their correct location or not at all.	Accept in part

19 - Planning Maps - Commercial

Submission	Submitter	Further	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
No		submission No			
Accept835.13	Historic Places		Seek	The submitter suggests that creating a Qualifying Interface Area similar to that	Reject
& 835.12	Canterbury		Amendment	proposed for Riccarton Bush may be a more flexible means of providing a	Also see
				buffer for the heritage areas of Hagley Park, Cranmer Square and Latimer	recommendation on
				Square than adjusting the height limits around them. The submitter believes	these submissions &
				that it is important that some mechanism be put in place to protect their	835.1 in s42A –
				heritage values, their open space landscape values and the views outwards	Historic Heritage
				from within those spaces.	
	Further submitte	er			
	Christchurch	FS2037.599 &	Support		Reject
	Civic Trust	FS2037.697			
	Ryman	FS2063.158	Oppose		Accept
	Healthcare				
	Limited				

Retirement	FS2064.152	Oppose	Accept
Village			
Association of			
New Zealand			
Incorporated			
Kāinga Ora	FS2082.758	Oppose	

19 - Planning Maps – Any other QM

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
49.1 Holly Lea Villa	Holly Lea Village		Seek Amendment	Amend the Planning Maps to ensure the Water Body Setback Qualifying Matter accurately reflects the current alignment of Fendalton Stream at 123 Fendalton Road.	Accept in part
	Further submitte	rther submitter			
	Malcolm Hollis	FS2040.4	Oppose		Accept in part
79.1	Andy Hall		Seek Amendment	Amend the waterway overlay on the Planning Maps. Would like to have the waterway overlay on the Planning Maps to be stopped at my boundary (41 Rountree Str).	Accept in part
107.29	Heather Woods		Seek Amendment	Amend zoning 135 to 185 Wainoni Road (and further afield), to "Medium Density Residential Zone" because the Qualifying Matter of "Water body Setback" only applies to a very small (5m wide) part of the properties, and is less of a risk than places like Marine Parade that are "Medium Density Residential Zone" with the Qualifying Matter of "Coastal Hazard Medium Risk Management Area" applying to the whole property.	Reject Also refer to s42A reports for Residential Zones and Tsunami Risk and LPTAA QMs
145.16	Te Mana Ora/Community and Public Health		Support	Te Mana Ora supports the different proposals to support tree cover in the Housing and Business Choice Plan Change Consultation Document, including [] to incentivise more tree planting, Financial Contributions, [].	Accept in part
324.2	Ivan Thomson		Seek Amendment	Confirm the Waterway Setback that applies to Pope's Drain is 5m.	Accept
443.12	Summerset Group Holdings Limited		Seek Amendment	[Remove the Water Body Setback QM overlay from the Summerset on Cavendish village site at 147 Cavendish Road, Casebrook, Christchurch].	Accept

470.1	Dew & Associates (Academic Publishers) Further submitte		Not Stated	Provision: Subdivision, Development and Earthworks Decision Sought: For Chapter 8 and generally in relation to the RMA (and its successors), I recommend CCC impose an obligation on developers to either retain trees and similar oxygenators or provide them as part of the build permit. AND prosper all land-owners or users to institute a planting or shrub placement regime . Consider offering once in a lifetime at the time of taking up land or building ownership a one-off per site one-month-rate-holiday to an appropriate recipient.	Accept in part
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.494	Support		Accept in part
704.7	WDL Enterprises Limited and Birchs Village Limited		Oppose	That the QM Water body Setbacks be removed from the Land That the PC14 provisions be amended to give effect to the rezoning, removal of the QM Water Body Setbacks, and reflect the issues raised in this submission	Accept in part
755.5	Margaret Stewart		Seek Amendment	Make the residential red zone (Otakaro River Avon Corridor) a Qualifying Matter	Accept
792.13	Carmel Woods		Oppose	Oppose the Waterbody Setback QM as it applies to 135 to 185 Wainoni Road.	Accept in part
814.245	Carter Group Limited		Oppose	Amend the planning maps in respect of either side of Beachville Road, Redcliffs to remove the Sites of Cultural Significance overlay.	Reject
	Further submitter				
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.1075	Seek Amendment		Reject
908.1	Christchurch Civic Trust		Seek Amendment	[Seeks that] Hagley Park be included in PC14 as a Qualifying Matter.	Accept
	Further submitter				
	Christchurch Civic Trust	FS2037.670	Support		Accept
914.18	Davie Lovell- Smith Ltd		Seek Amendment	The waterbodies on the planning maps are to be identified as 'indicative locations only' or alternatively to show them in their correct location or not at all.	Accept in part
916.12	Milns Park Limited		Seek Amendment	The waterbodies on the planning maps are to be identified as 'indicative locations only' or alternatively to show them in their correct location or not at all.	Accept in part

896.2	Claire Coveney	Seek	Seeks that all high density housing is located near cycleways and rail corridors,	Accept in part
		Amendment	and away from wetlands and rivers.	

All of Plan

Submission No	Submitter	Further submission No	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
288.11	Waipapa Papanui Innes- Central Community Board		Seek Amendment	Seek Amendment to incorporate the goals of the Ōtautahi Christchurch Climate Resilience Strategy and the Ōtautahi Christchurch Urban Forest Plan in the Plan.	Accept in part
	Further submitter				
	Brighton Observatory of Environment and Economics	FS2092.17	Seek Amendment		Accept in part
741.5	Ryman Healthcare Limited	FS2095.1	Seek Amendment		Accept in part
	Retirement Village Association of New Zealand Incorporated	FS2096.1	Seek Amnedment		Accept in part
	Lower Cashmere Residents Association		Seek Amendment	The Opawaho Heathcote River corridor be designated as an area of special significance and area.	Accept
	Further submitter				
	Kāinga Ora	FS2082.495	Oppose		Reject
835.1	Historic Places Canterbury		Seek Amendment	Broadly supportive of the proposed changes, however amendments are suggested in respect of buffer zones surrounding Hagley Park, Cranmer Square and Latimer Square.	Reject Also see 835.12, 835.13 above

Further submitte	er		
Christchurch	FS2037.686 &		Reject
Civic Trust	FS2037.757		
Kāinga Ora	FS2082.757	Oppose	Accept