

APPENDIX I – RESPONSE TO REQUEST 43

1. The Panel's request was:

In respect of Rule 9.3.6.4, proposed matter of discretion (e) ("*whether the site has cultural or spiritual significance to mana whenua or is to be used for Papakāinga/Kāinga Nohoanga and the outcome of any consultation undertaken with Papatipu Rūnanga*"), clarify policy and rule linkage and consider splitting into two separate matters.

2. The Council responds as follows:

9.3.6.4 Residential Heritage Areas (excluding Akaroa Township Heritage Area) – new buildings, fences and walls and alterations to buildings.

Wording of e. (from updated provisions on IHP website)

- e. Whether the site has cultural or spiritual significance to mana whenua or is to be used for Papakāinga/Kāinga Nohoanga and the outcome of any consultation undertaken with Papatipu Rūnanga

Proposed rewording:

- e. Whether the site has cultural or spiritual significance to mana whenua and the outcome of any consultation undertaken with Papatipu Rūnanga (ie return to notified wording)
- f. If the site is to be used for Papakāinga/Kāinga Nohoanga, the extent to which the matters listed above are not compatible with providing for the housing needs of Ngāi Tahu whānui, and the outcome of any consultation undertaken with Papatipu Rūnanga.

Explanation – not to be part of District Plan wording:

The proposed new matter of discretion f. derives from Objective 14.2.1.a.i (Housing supply), Policy 14.2.1.3 (Needs of Ngai Tahu whānui), Objective 14.2.3.a.i (MDRS Objective 2) and Objective 14.2.5 (High quality residential environments).

The wording is consistent with a new matter of discretion proposed for the Lyttelton Character Area under Matters of Discretion 14.15.27 Character Area Overlay. These amendments to RCA and RHA provisions were proposed following the discussion with Rāpaki Rūnanga representatives on facilitating papakāinga housing in Lyttelton, described in the Council response on Request 46.